# 2006



AR/S P.E. 12-31-06

RECD S.E.O.

APR 1 7 2007

1080



BANCORS

PROCESSED

APR 2 3 2007



THONSON FINANCIAL

ANUAL REPORT



April 16, 2007

Dear Shareholders:

On behalf of our directors and officers, we are pleased to present our 2006 Annual Report and to share highlights of our financial performance.

During the year, we continued to execute our strategic plan of establishing ourselves as a leader in the suburban Maryland community banking marketplace, committed to providing customized banking solutions to the professional and business community. In July 2006, we took a major step towards achieving our strategic goals with the opening of our new corporate headquarters and new branch office in Bowie, Maryland. We are now the only commercial bank and holding company headquartered in Prince George's County. The Bowie branch office has provided an excellent growth environment for the Bank with over \$8,900,000 of new deposits at year end.

Market and branch expansion continue to be our focus over the next year. We have recently announced three major growth opportunities that we expect to further enhance our market position. In late spring 2007, we plan to open our sixth office at the Capital Office Park in Greenbelt, Maryland and in January 2008, we anticipate opening our College Park office. We believe both of these offices will offer tremendous support to our College Park lending team. Additionally, we hope to open our proposed Crofton, Maryland office in late 2008.

We ended the year with record total assets of \$218,130,662, deposits of \$169,671,846 and loans of \$150,417,217. Net income for the year was \$1,573,851, which represents a 38.19% increase over 2005 income. The Bank has continued its emphasis on small business banking and construction lending and has continued with its strategic plan of expansion to adjacent marketplaces. Loan growth was very strong for the year at \$46,167,834 or 44.29%, which includes a 90.31% increase of Commercial loans to \$35,914,383 and an increase of 51.48% of commercial real estate loans to \$73,510,971. Total deposits grew by \$50,000,247 or 41.78% over the prior year. Total assets increased by \$49,102,838 or 29.05%.

The Bank remains well capitalized according to regulatory standards with a risk based capital ratio of 21.20% which far exceeds the minimum capital ratio standard of 8%. Loan quality remains excellent. We did not have any loans that were 90 days or more past due or considered impaired at year end and 2006 marks Old Line Bank's ninth consecutive year without any non-performing loans.

Old Line Bancshares is poised to take advantage of new opportunities with a strong commitment to expansion throughout the marketplace. With our dedicated management team, staff and directors, we expect to continue to anticipate and meet market demand for progressive, customer oriented financial services, that we believe will result in continued growth and enhanced profit in the year ahead. We would like to close 2006 by once again extending our sincerest thanks to each of you for your investment in our organization and to express, on behalf of our directors, officers, and employees, our appreciation of your continued support.

Sincerely,

Craig E. Clark

Chairman of the Board

Crais & Clark

James W. Cornelsen

President & Chief Executive Officer

## United States Securities and Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-KSB

[X]	ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006	) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
[]	OR TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	For the transition period fromto	
	Commission File Num	ber 000-50345
	OLD LINE BANCSI (Name of small business is	
	MARYLAND	20-0154352
	r other jurisdiction of ration or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	1525 Pointer Ridge Place, 1 (Address of principal executive off	ices) (Zip Code)
	(301) 430-2 (Issuer's telephon	
	Securities registered under Section	·
	-	•
	Title of each class Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	Name of exchange on which registered The NASDQ Stock Market LLC
	Securities registered under Section None	12(g) of the Exchange Act:
Check v	whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuar	at to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. [ ]
the past subject		ed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during ant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been
and no		to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, ant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information 0-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. [X]
Indicate [ ] Yes	by check mark whether the registrant is a shell compa [X] No	ny (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
The issu	uer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were \$12,0	052,088
March	gregate market value of the common equity held by nor 19, 2007, based on a sales price of \$11.00 per share of on Stock was last traded on March 16, 2007 as reported	Common Stock, which is the sales price at which the
The nur	mber of shares outstanding of the issuer's Common Sto	ck was 4,253,698.5 as of March 22, 2006.
filed wi	DOCUMENTS INCORPORA's of the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting ith the Securities and Exchange Commission no later the trated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on	of Stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc., to be an 120 days after the close of the fiscal year, are
Transiti	ional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes	. No <u>X</u>

#### OLD LINE BANCSHARES, INC.

#### ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

* . *		Page #
Part I		
Item 1.	Description of Business	1
Item 2.	Description of Property	21
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	22
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	22
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	23
Item 6.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations	26
Item 7.	Financial Statements	20 55
item 7.	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended	
1	December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity For	
	the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the years ended	
	December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Item 8.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	
	And Financial Disclosure	85
Item 8A.	Controls and Procedures	
Item 8B.	Other Information	85
PART'III		
Item 9.	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, Control Persons, and Corporate	
	Governance Compliance with Section 16 (a) of the Exchange Act	
Item 10.	Executive Compensation	85
Item 11.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	86
Item 12.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.	86
Item 13.	Exhibits	
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	90

#### PART 1

#### Item 1. Description of Business

#### Business of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on April 11, 2003 to serve as the holding company of Old Line Bank. The primary business of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is to own all of the capital stock of Old Line Bank.

On May 22, 2003, the stockholders of Old Line Bank approved the reorganization of Old Line Bank into a holding company structure. The reorganization became effective at 12:01 a.m. on September 15, 2003. In connection with the reorganization, (i) Old Line Bank became our wholly-owned subsidiary and (ii) each outstanding share (or fraction thereof) of Old Line Bank common stock was converted into one share (or fraction thereof) of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. common stock, and the former holders of Old Line Bank common stock became the holders of all our outstanding shares.

Our primary business is to own all of the capital stock of Old Line Bank. We also have an approximately \$794,000 investment in a real estate investment limited liability company named Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC ("Pointer Ridge"). We own 50% of Pointer Ridge. In connection with our execution of a guarantee for a construction loan made to Pointer Ridge by an unrelated bank, in November 2005 we reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge and determined that under FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN46R"), Pointer Ridge was a variable interest entity, but that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary. Because we concluded that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary of Pointer Ridge under FIN46R, we did not consolidate Pointer Ridge's results and financial position with that of Old Line Bancshares. Rather, we accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method.

At the suggestion of our auditors and the direction of our audit committee, in May 2006, management requested guidance from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regarding FIN46R and our investment in Pointer Ridge. After discussions with the SEC, we reconsidered our original conclusions regarding our investment in Pointer Ridge. We again concluded that Pointer Ridge was a variable interest entity under FIN46R. We also concluded that our determination in November 2005 that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary was incorrect. Therefore, we consolidated the results and financial position of Pointer Ridge with Old Line Bancshares for the period ended June 30, 2006. The effect of the consolidation on our financial statements was immaterial and, accordingly, we did not restate prior periods.

On August 25, 2006, we executed a new Indemnity and Guaranty Agreement ("Guaranty Agreement") with a new lender that was effective upon Pointer Ridge's execution of an Amended Promissory Note and Amended Deed of Trust. As required under FIN46R, we once again reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge. Because the new Guaranty Agreement definitively limits Old Line's guaranty and the variability caused by previous contracts executed by Pointer Ridge ceases to exist, we have determined that Pointer Ridge is no longer a variable interest entity. Therefore, as of December 31, 2006, we have accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method.

In October 2005, we completed a public offering of 2,096,538 shares of common stock at an offering price of \$9.75 per share and received \$19.2 million in net offering proceeds, substantially all of which we invested in Old Line Bank.

#### Business of Old Line Bank

#### General

Old Line Bank is a trust company chartered under Subtitle 2 of Title 3 of the Financial Institutions Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Old Line Bank was originally chartered in 1989 as a national bank under the title "Old Line National Bank." In June 2002, Old Line Bank converted to a Maryland-chartered trust company exercising the powers of a commercial bank, and received a Certificate of Authority to do business from the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation.

Old Line Bank converted from a national bank to a Maryland-chartered trust company to reduce certain federal, supervisory and application fees that were then applicable to Old Line National Bank and to have a local primary regulator. Prior to the conversion, Old Line Bank's primary regulator was the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. Currently, Old Line Bank's primary regulator is the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation.

Old Line Bank does not exercise trust powers and its regulatory structure is the same as a Maryland chartered commercial bank. Old Line Bank is a member of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures its deposits.

We are headquartered in Bowie, Maryland, approximately 10 miles east of Andrews Air Force Base and 20 miles east of Washington, D.C. We engage in a general commercial banking business, making various types of loans and accepting deposits. We market our financial services to small to medium sized businesses, entrepreneurs, professionals, consumers and high net worth clients. Our current primary market area is the suburban Maryland (Washington, D.C. suburbs) counties of Prince George's, Charles and northern St. Mary's. We also target customers throughout the greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. Our branch offices generally operate six days per week from 8:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. on weekdays and from 8:00 a.m. until noon on Saturday. None of our branch offices are open on Sunday.

Our principal source of revenue is interest income and fees generated by lending and investing funds on deposit. We typically balance the loan and investment portfolio towards loans. Generally speaking, loans earn more attractive returns than investments and are a key source of product cross sales and customer referrals. Our loan and investment strategies balance the need to maintain adequate liquidity via excess cash or federal funds sold with opportunities to leverage our capital appropriately.

We have based our strategic plan on the premise of enhancing stockholder value and growth through branching and operating profits. Our short-term goals include maintaining credit quality, creating an attractive branch network, expanding fee income, generating extensions of core banking services and using technology to maximize stockholder value.

#### Location and Market Area

We consider our current primary market area to consist of the suburban Maryland (Washington, D.C. suburbs) counties of Prince George's, Charles and northern St. Mary's. The economy in our current primary market area has focused on real estate development, high technology, retail and the government sector.

In the second quarter of 2006, we moved our headquarters location from Waldorf, Maryland to the Pointer Ridge location in Bowie, Maryland in Prince George's County and we established a new branch at the Pointer Ridge location. A critical component of our strategic plan and future growth is Prince George's County. Prince George's County wraps around the eastern boundary of Washington, D.C. and offers urban, suburban and rural settings for employers and residents. There are several national and international airports less than an hour away, as is Baltimore. We currently have three branch locations in Prince George's County including our newest branch, which opened in 2006. In August 2005, we opened a loan production office in College Park, Prince George's County. We intend to open a branch in the office building in which the loan production office is located in 2008 and a new branch in Greenbelt, Prince George's County in 2007.

Two of our branch offices are located in Waldorf, Maryland in Charles County. Just 15 miles south of the Washington Capital Beltway, Charles County is the gateway to Southern Maryland. The northern part of Charles County is the "development district" where the commercial, residential and business growth is focused. Waldorf, White Plains and the planned community of St. Charles are located here.

As part of our expansion efforts, in July 2004, Old Line Bank executed a lease and applied to regulatory authorities to open a branch at 1641 State Route 3 North, Crofton, Maryland in Anne Arundel County, approximately 10 miles north of the Bowie, Maryland main office. In August 2004, we received regulatory authority to open the branch. We anticipated that construction of the building in which we planned to locate the branch would begin during the second or third quarter of 2006 and we expected to open the branch in the first quarter of 2007. However, the owner of the property was unable to complete the requirements contained in the lease and begin construction of the branch. We hope to either begin construction at this location or identify an alternative branch location in Anne Arundel County in 2007. Anne Arundel County borders the Chesapeake Bay and is situated in the high-tech corridor between Baltimore and Washington, D.C. With over 534 miles of shoreline, it provides waterfront living to many residential communities. Annapolis, the State Capital and home to the United States Naval Academy, and Baltimore/Washington International Thurgood Marshal Airport (BWI) are located in Anne Arundel County. Anne Arundel County has one of the strongest economies in the State of Maryland and its unemployment rate is consistently below the national average.

#### Lending Activities

General. Our primary market focus is on making loans to small and medium size businesses, entrepreneurs, professionals, consumers and high net worth clients in our primary market area. Our lending activities consist generally of short to medium term commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, real estate construction loans, home equity loans and consumer installment loans, both secured and unsecured. As a niche-lending product, we provide luxury boat financing to individuals, who generally tend to be high net worth individuals. These boats are generally Coast Guard documented and have a homeport of record in the Chesapeake Bay or its tributaries.

Credit Policies and Administration. We have adopted a comprehensive lending policy, which includes stringent underwriting standards for all types of loans. Our lending staff follows pricing guidelines established periodically by our management team. In an effort to manage risk, prior to funding, the loan committee consisting of the President, Chief Credit Officer, Chief Lending Officer and six members of the Board of Directors must approve by a majority vote all credit decisions in excess of a lending officer's lending authority. Management believes that it employs experienced lending officers, secures appropriate collateral and carefully monitors the financial condition of its borrowers and the concentration of loans in the portfolio.

In addition to the normal repayment risks, all loans in the portfolio are subject to the state of the economy and the related effects on the borrower and/or the real estate market. With the exception of loans provided to finance luxury boats, generally longer-term loans have periodic interest rate adjustments and/or call provisions. Senior management monitors the loan portfolio closely to ensure that we minimize past due loans and that we swiftly deal with potential problem loans.

In addition to the internal business processes employed in the credit administration area, Old Line Bank retains an outside, independent credit review firm to review the loan portfolio. This firm performs a detailed annual review and an interim update at least once a year. We use the results of the firm's report to validate our internal loan ratings and we review their commentary on specific loans and on our loan administration activities in order to improve our operations.

Commercial Business Lending. Our commercial business lending consists of lines of credit, revolving credit facilities, accounts receivable financing, term loans, equipment loans, SBA loans, stand-by letters of credit and unsecured loans. We originate commercial loans for any business purpose including the financing of leasehold improvements and equipment, the carrying of accounts receivable, general working capital, contract administration and acquisition activities. We have a diverse client base and we do not have a concentration of these types of loans in any specific industry segment. We generally secure commercial business loans with accounts receivable, equipment, deeds of trust and other collateral such as marketable securities, cash value of life insurance, and time deposits at Old Line Bank.

Commercial business loans have a higher degree of risk than residential mortgage loans because the availability of funds for repayment generally depends on the success of the business. They may also involve higher average balances, increased difficulty monitoring and a higher risk of default since their repayment generally depends on the successful operation of the borrower's business. To help manage this risk, we typically limit these loans to proven businesses and we generally obtain appropriate collateral and personal guarantees from the borrower's principal owners and monitor the financial condition of the business. For loans in excess of \$250,000, monitoring usually includes a review of the borrower's annual tax returns and updated financial statements.

Commercial Real Estate Lending. We finance commercial real estate for our clients, usually for owner occupied properties. We generally will finance owner-occupied commercial real estate at a maximum loan-to-value of 80%. Our underwriting policies and processes focus on the clients' ability to repay the loan as well as an assessment of the underlying real estate. We originate commercial real estate loans on a fixed rate or adjustable rate basis. Usually, these rates adjust during a three, five or seven year time period based on the then current treasury or prime rate index. Repayment terms include amortization schedules ranging from three years to a maximum of 25 years with principal and interest payments due monthly and with all remaining principal due at maturity.

Commercial real estate lending entails significant additional risks as compared with residential mortgage lending. Risks inherent in managing a commercial real estate portfolio relate to sudden or gradual drops in property values as well as changes in the economic climate that may detrimentally impact the borrower's ability to repay. We attempt to mitigate these risks by carefully underwriting these loans. Our underwriting generally includes an analysis of the borrower's capacity to repay, the current collateral value, a cash flow analysis and review of the character of the borrower and current and prospective conditions in the market. We generally limit loans in this category to 75%-85% of the value of the property and require personal and/or corporate guarantees. For loans of this type in excess of \$250,000, we monitor the financial condition and operating performance of the borrower through a review of annual tax returns and updated financial statements. In addition, we will meet with the borrower and/or perform site visits as required.

Real Estate Construction Lending. This segment of our loan portfolio consists of funds advanced for construction of single family residences, multi-family housing and commercial buildings. These loans have short durations, meaning maturities typically of nine months or less. Residential houses, multi-family dwellings and commercial buildings under construction and the underlying land for which the loan was obtained secure the construction loans. All of these loans are concentrated in our primary market area.

Construction lending entails significant risks compared with residential mortgage lending. These risks involve larger loan balances concentrated with single borrowers with funds advanced upon the security of the land or the project under construction. The value of the project is estimated prior to the completion of construction. Thus, it is more difficult to evaluate accurately the total loan funds required to complete a project and related loan-to-value ratios. To mitigate these risks, we generally limit loan amounts to 80% of appraised values and obtain first lien positions on the property. We generally only offer real estate construction financing to experienced builders and commercial entities or individuals who have demonstrated the ability to obtain a permanent loan "take-out." We also perform a complete analysis of the borrower and the project under construction. This analysis includes a review of the cost to construct, the borrower's ability to obtain a permanent "take out," the cash flow available to support the debt payments and construction costs in excess of loan proceeds, and the value of the collateral. During construction, we advance funds on these loans on a percentage of completion bases. We inspect each project as needed prior to advancing funds during the term of the construction loan.

Residential Real Estate Lending. We offer a variety of consumer-oriented residential real estate loans. The bulk of our portfolio is made up of home equity loans to individuals with a loan to value not exceeding 85%. We also offer fixed rate home improvement loans. Our home equity and home improvement loan portfolio gives us a diverse client base. Although most of these loans are in our primary market area, the diversity of the individual loans in the portfolio reduces our potential risk. Usually, we secure our home equity loans and lines of credit with a security interest in the borrower's primary or secondary residence. Our initial underwriting includes an analysis of the borrower's debt/income ratio which generally may not exceed 40%, collateral value, length of employment and prior credit history.

#### Consumer Installment Lending.

Luxury Boat Loans. We offer various types of secured and unsecured consumer loans. A primary aspect of our consumer lending is our financing for luxury boat purchases (\$21.6 million or 96.86% of the consumer loans, excluding consumer real estate, and 14.26% of gross loans at December 31, 2006). Our average loan in the luxury boat loan category is approximately \$150,000, with an 18 year term and a fixed interest rate. Our internal analysis and industry statistics indicate that the average life of these loans is approximately 42 months as the purchaser either trades or sells the vessel. These loans entail greater risks than residential mortgage lending because the boats that secure these loans are depreciable assets. Further, payment on these loans depends on the borrower's continuing financial stability. Job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy may adversely impact the borrower's ability to pay. To mitigate these risks, we have more stringent underwriting standards for these loans than for other installment loans. As a general guideline, the individuals' debt service should not exceed 36% of their gross income, they must own their home, have stability of employment and residency, verifiable liquidity, satisfactory prior credit repayment history and the loan to value ratio may not exceed 85%. To ascertain value, we generally receive a survey of the boat from a qualified surveyor and/or a current purchase agreement and compare the determined value to published industry values. The majority of these boats are United States Coast Guard documented vessels and we obtain a lien on the vessel with a first preferred ship mortgage, where applicable, or a security interest on the title. As a result of these stringent guidelines, this segment of our portfolio has experienced minimal delinquency. Since inception of the portfolio in 1997, only two accounts have experienced 30-day delinquency with total losses in the portfolio of \$20,000 from one account.

Historically, we have generally paid a fee to a broker to originate a boat loan. We will continue to pay brokers for boat loans and will originate boat loans for our portfolio through Old Line Marine. We do not plan to increase our boat loan portfolio because of Old Line Marine.

<u>Personal and Household Loans.</u> We also make consumer loans for personal, family or household purposes as a convenience to our customer base. However, these loans are not a focus of our lending activities. As a general guideline, a consumer's total debt service should not exceed 40% of their gross income. The underwriting standards for consumer loans include a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of his or her ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan.

Consumer loans may present greater credit risk than residential mortgage loans because many consumer loans are unsecured or rapidly depreciating assets secure these loans. Repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance because of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. Consumer loan collections depend on the borrower's continuing financial stability. If a borrower suffers personal financial difficulties, the loan may not be repaid. Also, various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount we can recover on such loans. However, in our opinion, many of these risks do not apply to the luxury boat loan portfolio due to the credit quality and liquidity of the borrowers.

Lending Limit. As of December 31, 2006, our legal lending limit for loans to one borrower was approximately \$4.7 million. As part of our risk management strategy, we may attempt to participate a portion of larger loans to other financial institutions. This strategy allows Old Line Bank to maintain customer relationships yet reduce credit exposure. However, this strategy may not always be available.

#### Old Line Marine

In February 2005, we established Old Line Marine as a division of Old Line Bank to serve as a boat loan broker and to originate loans for Old Line Bank. Currently, we have sales representatives in Annapolis, Maryland, Virginia Beach, Virginia and Wilmington, North Carolina. These representatives service the market from New York to Florida. We conduct secondary market activity in our marine division as a broker and we earn a fee.

#### Investments and Funding

We balance our liquidity needs based on loan and deposit growth via the investment portfolio and purchased funds. It is our goal to provide adequate liquidity to support our loan growth. In the event we have excess liquidity, we use investments to generate positive earnings. In the event deposit growth does not fully support our loan growth, we can use a combination of investment sales, federal funds and other purchased funds to augment our funding position.

We actively monitor our investment portfolio and the majority of the portfolio is generally classified as "available for sale." In general, under such a classification, we may sell investment instruments as management deems appropriate. On a monthly basis, we "mark to market" the investment portfolio via equity as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115 ("SFAS 115"). Additionally, we use the investment portfolio to balance our asset and liability position. We invest in fixed rate or floating rate instruments as necessary to reduce our interest rate risk exposure.

#### Other Banking Products

We offer our customers safe deposit boxes, wire transfer services, debit cards, ATM machines at all of our branch locations and credit cards through a third party processor. Additionally, we provide Internet banking capabilities to our customers. With our Internet banking service, our customers may view their accounts on-line and electronically remit bill payments. Our commercial account services include direct deposit of payroll for our commercial clients' employees and an overnight sweep service.

#### **Deposit Activities**

Deposits are the major source of our funding. We offer a broad array of deposit products that include demand, NOW, money market and savings accounts as well as certificates of deposit. We believe that we pay competitive rates on our interest bearing deposits. As a relationship-oriented organization, we generally seek to obtain deposit relationships with our loan clients.

As our overall balance sheet position dictates, we may become more or less competitive in our interest rate structure. During 2004 and 2005, we did not use brokered deposits. In the first quarter of 2006, we did begin using brokered certificate of deposits through the Promontory Interfinancial Network. Through this deposit matching network and its certificate of deposit account registry service (CDARS), we obtained the ability to offer our customers access to FDIC-insured deposit products in aggregate amounts exceeding current insurance limits. When we place funds through CDARS on behalf of a customer, we receive matching deposits through the network. In the fourth quarter of 2006, we also purchased brokered deposits.

#### Competition

The banking business is highly competitive. We compete with other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our primary market area and elsewhere.

We believe that we have effectively leveraged our talents, contacts and location to achieve a strong financial position. However, our primary market area is highly competitive and heavily branched. Competition in our primary market area for loans to small and medium sized businesses, entrepreneurs, professionals and high net worth clients is intense, and pricing is important. Most of our competitors have substantially greater resources and lending limits than we do and offer extensive and established branch networks and other services that we do not offer. Moreover, larger institutions operating in our primary market area have access to borrowed funds at a lower rate than is available to us. Deposit competition also is strong among institutions in our primary market area. As a result, it is possible that to remain competitive we may need to pay above market rates for deposits.

#### **Employees**

As of March 1, 2007, Old Line Bank had 52 full time and 4 part time employees. No collective bargaining unit represents any of our employees and we believe that relations with our employees are good. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. has no employees.

#### Supervision and Regulation

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Old Line Bank are subject to extensive regulation under state and federal banking laws and regulations. These laws impose specific requirements and restrictions on virtually all aspects of operations and generally are intended to protect depositors, not stockholders. The following discussion is only a summary and readers should refer to particular statutory and regulatory provisions for more detailed information. In addition, management cannot predict the nature or the extent of the effect on business and earnings that new federal or state legislation may have in the future.

#### Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. We are subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve Board, and are required to file periodic reports and any additional information that the Federal Reserve Board may require. The Bank Holding Company Act generally prohibits a bank holding company from engaging in activities other than banking, managing or controlling banks or other permissible subsidiaries and acquiring or retaining direct or indirect control of any company engaged in any activities closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks.

Historically, the Federal Reserve Board was required to approve, among other things, the acquisition by a proposed bank holding company of control of more than 5% of the voting shares, or substantially all the assets, of any bank, or the merger or consolidation by a bank holding company with another bank holding company. The Riegle-Neale Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (the "Riegle-Neal Act") repealed many of the restrictions on interstate acquisitions of banks by bank holding companies in September 1995. As a result of the Riegle-Neal Act, subject to certain time and deposit base requirements, we can acquire a bank located in Maryland or any other state, and a bank holding company located outside of Maryland can acquire any Maryland-based bank holding company or bank.

Subsidiary banks of a bank holding company are subject to certain restrictions imposed by statute on any extensions of credit to the bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries, or investments in their stock or other securities, and on taking such stock or securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. Further, a bank holding company and any of its subsidiary banks are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with the extension of credit. In 1997, the Federal Reserve Board adopted amendments to its Regulation Y, creating exceptions to the Bank Holding Company Act's anti-tying prohibitions that give bank subsidiaries of holding companies greater flexibility in packaging products and services with their affiliates.

In accordance with Federal Reserve Board policy, Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is expected to act as a source of financial strength to Old Line Bank and to commit resources to support Old Line Bank in circumstances in which Old Line Bancshares, Inc. might not otherwise do so. The Federal Reserve Board may require a bank holding company to terminate any activity or relinquish control of a non-bank subsidiary (other than a non-bank subsidiary of a bank) upon the Federal Reserve's determination that such activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness or stability of any subsidiary depository institution of the bank holding company. Further, federal bank regulatory authorities have additional discretion to require a bank holding company to divest itself of any bank or non-bank subsidiary if the agency determines that divestiture may aid the depository institution's financial condition.

The Federal Reserve Board imposes risk-based capital measures on bank holding companies in order to insure their capital adequacy.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc., as a bank holding company, is subject to dividend regulations of the Federal Reserve System. In general, a small bank holding company that has a debt to equity ratio greater than 1:1 is not expected to pay corporate dividends until such time as its debt to equity ratio declines to 1:1 or less and its bank subsidiary is otherwise well managed, well capitalized and not under any supervisory order. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is a small bank holding company, and does not have a debt to equity ratio that is greater than 1:1.

Effective March 11, 2000, pursuant to authority granted under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLBA"), a bank holding company may elect to become a financial holding company and thereby engage in a broader range of financial and other activities than are permissible for traditional bank holding companies. In order to qualify for the election, all of the depository institution subsidiaries of the bank holding company must be well capitalized and well managed, as defined by regulation, and all of its depository institution subsidiaries must have achieved a rating of satisfactory or better with respect to meeting community credit needs.

Pursuant to the GLBA, financial holding companies are permitted to engage in activities that are "financial in nature" or incidental or complementary thereto and not a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of the depository institution or the financial system in general, as determined by the Federal Reserve Board. The GLBA identifies several activities as "financial in nature," including, among others, insurance underwriting and agency, investment advisory services, merchant banking and underwriting, and dealing or making a market in securities. Being designated a financial holding company will allow insurance companies, securities brokers and other types of financial companies to affiliate with and/or acquire depository institutions. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. does not currently intend to become a financial holding company.

Under Maryland law, an existing bank holding company that desires to acquire a Maryland state-chartered bank or trust company, a federally-chartered bank with its main office in Maryland, or a bank holding company that has its principal place of business in Maryland, must file an application with the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation. In approving the application, the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation must consider whether the acquisition may be detrimental to the safety and soundness of the entity being acquired or whether the acquisition may result in an undue concentration of resources or a substantial reduction in competition in Maryland. The Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation may not approve an acquisition if, on consummation of the transaction, the acquiring company, together with all its insured depository institution affiliates, would control 30% or more of the total amount of deposits of insured depository institutions in Maryland. The Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation has authority to adopt by regulation a procedure to waive this requirement for good cause. In a transaction for which approval of the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation is not required due to an exemption under Maryland law, or for which federal law authorizes the transaction without application to the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation, the parties to the acquisition must provide written notice to the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation at least 15 days before the effective date of the transaction.

The status of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a registered bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act and a Maryland-chartered bank holding company does not exempt it from certain federal and state laws and regulations applicable to corporations generally, including, without limitation, certain provisions of the federal securities laws.

#### Old Line Bank

Old Line Bank is a Maryland chartered trust company (with all powers of a commercial bank), is a member of the Federal Reserve System (a "state member bank") and the Bank Insurance Fund of the FDIC insures its deposit accounts up to the maximum legal limits of the FDIC. It is subject to regulation, supervision and regular examination by the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation and the Federal Reserve Board. The regulations of these various agencies govern most aspects of Old Line Bank's business, including required reserves against deposits, loans, investments, mergers and acquisitions, borrowing, dividends and location and number of branch offices. The laws and regulations governing Old Line Bank generally have been promulgated to protect depositors and the deposit insurance funds, and not for the purpose of protecting stockholders.

The following references to the laws and regulations which regulate Old Line Bank are brief summaries thereof, do not purport to be complete, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such laws and regulations.

#### Branching and Interstate Banking

The federal banking agencies are authorized to approve interstate bank merger transactions without regard to whether such transactions are prohibited by the law of any state, unless the home state of one of the banks has opted out of the interstate bank merger provisions of the Riegle-Neal Act by adopting a law after the date of enactment of the Riegle-Neal Act and prior to June 1, 1997 which applies equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibits merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Interstate acquisitions of branches are permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits such acquisitions. Such interstate bank mergers and branch acquisitions are also subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration limitations described in the Riegle-Neal Act.

The Riegle-Neal Act authorizes the federal banking agencies to approve interstate branching de novo by national and state banks in states that specifically allow for such branching. The District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia have all enacted laws that permit interstate acquisitions of banks and bank branches and permit out-of-state banks to establish de novo branches.

#### Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act

The GLBA substantially altered the statutory framework for providing banking and other financial services in the United States of America. The GLBA, among other things, eliminated many of the restrictions on affiliations among banks and securities firms, insurance firms, and other financial service providers.

The GLBA also provides protections against the transfer and use by financial institutions of consumers' nonpublic personal information. A financial institution must provide to its customers, at the beginning of the customer relationship and annually thereafter, the institution's policies and procedures regarding the handling of customers' nonpublic personal financial information. The privacy provisions generally prohibit a financial institution from providing a customer's personal financial information to unaffiliated third parties unless the institution discloses to the customer that the information may be so provided and the customer is given the opportunity to opt out of such disclosure.

#### Capital Adequacy Guidelines

The Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have adopted risk based capital adequacy guidelines pursuant to which they assess the adequacy of capital in examining and supervising banks and in analyzing bank regulatory applications. Risk-based capital requirements determine the adequacy of capital based on the risk inherent in various classes of assets and off-balance sheet items.

State member banks are expected to meet a minimum ratio of total qualifying capital (the sum of core capital (Tier 1) and supplementary capital (Tier 2)) to risk weighted assets of 8%. At least half of this amount (4%) should be in the form of Tier 1 Capital. In general, this requirement is similar to the capital that a bank must have in order to be considered "adequately capitalized" under the prompt corrective action regulations. See "Prompt Corrective Action." Old Line Bank currently complies with this minimum requirement.

Tier 1 Capital generally consists of the sum of common stockholders' equity and perpetual preferred stock (subject in the case of the latter to limitations on the kind and amount of such stock which may be included as Tier 1 Capital), less goodwill, without adjustment for changes in the market value of securities classified as "available for sale" in accordance with SFAS 115. Tier 2 Capital consists of the following: hybrid capital instruments; perpetual preferred stock which is not otherwise eligible to be included as Tier 1 Capital; term subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock; and, subject to limitations, general allowances for loan losses. Assets are adjusted under the risk-based guidelines to take into account different risk characteristics, with the categories ranging from 0% (requiring no risk-based capital) for assets such as cash, to 100% for the bulk of assets which are typically held by a commercial bank, including certain multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans, commercial business loans and consumer loans. Residential first mortgage loans on one to four family residential real estate and certain seasoned multi-family residential real estate loans, which are not 90 days or more past-due or non-performing and which have been made in accordance with prudent underwriting standards are assigned a 50%

level in the risk-weighing system, as are certain privately-issued mortgage-backed securities representing indirect ownership of such loans. Off-balance sheet items also are adjusted to take into account certain risk characteristics.

In addition to the risk-based capital requirements, the Federal Reserve Board has established a minimum 3.0% Leverage Capital Ratio (Tier I Capital to total adjusted assets) requirement for the most highly-rated banks. with an additional cushion of at least 100 to 200 basis points for all other banks, which effectively increases the minimum Leverage Capital Ratio for such other banks to 4.0% - 5.0% or more. The highest-rated banks are those that are not anticipating or experiencing significant growth and have well diversified risk, including no undue interest rate risk exposure, excellent asset quality, high liquidity, good earnings and, in general, those which are considered a strong banking organization. A bank having less than the minimum Leverage Capital Ratio requirement must, within 60 days of the date as of which it fails to comply with such requirement, submit a reasonable plan describing the means and timing by which the bank will achieve its minimum Leverage Capital Ratio requirement. A bank which fails to file such a plan is deemed to be operating in an unsafe and unsound manner, and could be subject to a cease-and-desist order. Any insured depository institution with a Leverage Capital Ratio that is less than 2.0% is deemed to be operating in an unsafe or unsound condition pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (the "FDIA") and is subject to potential termination of deposit insurance. However, such an institution will not be subject to an enforcement proceeding solely on account of its capital ratios if it has entered into and is in compliance with a written agreement to increase its Leverage Capital Ratio and to take such other action as may be necessary for the institution to be operated in a safe and sound manner. The capital regulations also provide, among other things, for the issuance of a capital directive, which is a final order issued to a bank that fails to maintain minimum capital or to restore its capital to the minimum capital requirement within a specified time period.

#### **Prompt Corrective Action**

Under Section 38 of the FDIA, each federal banking agency is required to implement a system of prompt corrective action for institutions that it regulates. The federal banking agencies have promulgated substantially similar regulations to implement the system of prompt corrective action established by Section 38 of the FDIA. Under the regulations, a bank will be deemed to be: (i) "well capitalized" if it has a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 10.0% or more, a Tier 1 Risk Based Capital Ratio of 6.0% or more, a Leverage Capital Ratio of 5.0% or more and is not subject to any written capital order or directive; (ii) "adequately capitalized" if it has a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 8.0% or more, a Tier 1 Risk Based Capital Ratio of 4.0% or more and a Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio of 4.0% or more (3.0% under certain circumstances) and does not meet the definition of "well capitalized;" (iii) "undercapitalized" if it has a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio that is less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 Risk based Capital Ratio that is less than 4.0% (3.0% under certain circumstances); (iv) "significantly undercapitalized" if it has a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 Risk Based Capital Ratio that is less than 3.0%; and (v) "critically undercapitalized" if it has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2.0%.

An institution generally must file a written capital restoration plan which meets specified requirements with an appropriate federal banking agency within 45 days of the date the institution receives notice or is deemed to have notice that it is undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized. A federal banking agency must provide the institution with written notice of approval or disapproval within 60 days after receiving a capital restoration plan, subject to extensions by the applicable agency.

An institution that is required to submit a capital restoration plan must concurrently submit a performance guaranty by each company that controls the institution. Such guaranty will be limited to the lesser of (i) an amount equal to 5.0% of the institution's total assets at the time the institution was notified or deemed to have notice that it was undercapitalized or (ii) the amount necessary at such time to restore the relevant capital measures of the institution to the levels required for the institution to be classified as adequately capitalized. Such a guaranty will expire after the federal banking agency notifies the institution that it has remained adequately capitalized for each of four consecutive calendar quarters. An institution which fails to submit a written capital restoration plan within the requisite period, including any required performance guaranty, or fails in any material respect to implement a capital restoration plan, will be subject to the restrictions in Section 38 of the FDIA which are applicable to significantly undercapitalized institutions.

Immediately upon becoming undercapitalized, an institution becomes subject to the provisions of Section 38 of the FDIA, which (i) restrict payment of capital distributions and management fees; (ii) require that the appropriate federal banking agency monitor the condition of the institution and its efforts to restore its capital; (iii) require submission of a capital restoration plan; (iv) restrict the growth of the institution's assets; and (v) require prior approval of certain expansion proposals. The appropriate federal banking agency for an undercapitalized institution also may take any number of discretionary supervisory actions if the agency determines that any of these actions is necessary to resolve the problems of the institution at the least possible long-term cost to the deposit insurance fund, subject in certain cases to specified procedures. These discretionary supervisory actions include: requiring the institution to raise additional capital, restricting transactions affiliates, requiring divestiture of the institution or sale of the institution to a willing purchaser, and any other supervisory action that the agency deems appropriate. These and additional mandatory and permissive supervisory actions may be taken with respect to significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized institutions.

A "critically undercapitalized institution" will be placed in conservatorship or receivership within 90 days unless the FDIC formally determines that forbearance from such action would better protect the deposit insurance fund. Unless the FDIC or other appropriate federal banking regulatory agency makes specific further findings and certifies that the institution is viable and is not expected to fail, an institution that remains critically undercapitalized on average during the fourth calendar quarter after the date it becomes critically undercapitalized must be placed in receivership. The general rule is that the FDIC will be appointed as receiver within 90 days after a bank becomes critically undercapitalized unless extremely good cause is shown and the federal regulators agree to an extension. In general, good cause is defined as capital that has been raised and is immediately available for infusion into the bank except for certain technical requirements that may delay the infusion for a period of time beyond the 90 day time period.

Additionally, under Section 11(c)(5) of the FDIA, a conservator or receiver may be appointed for an institution where: (i) an institution's obligations exceed its assets; (ii) there is substantial dissipation of the institution's assets or earnings as a result of any violation of law or any unsafe or unsound practice; (iii) the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition; (iv) there is a willful violation of a cease-and-desist order; (v) the institution is unable to pay its obligations in the ordinary course of business; (vi) losses or threatened losses deplete all or substantially all of an institution's capital, and there is no reasonable prospect of becoming "adequately capitalized" without assistance; (vii) there is any violation of law or unsafe or unsound practice or condition that is likely to cause insolvency or substantial dissipation of assets or earnings, weaken the institution's condition, or otherwise seriously prejudice the interests of depositors or the insurance fund; (viii) an institution ceases to be insured; (ix) the institution is undercapitalized and has no reasonable prospect that it will become adequately capitalized, fails to become adequately capitalized when required to do so, or fails to submit or materially implement a capital restoration plan; or (x) the institution is critically undercapitalized or otherwise has substantially insufficient capital.

Currently, Old Line Bank is well capitalized under the prompt corrective actions regulations.

#### **Regulatory Enforcement Authority**

The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 ("FIRREA") included substantial enhancement to the enforcement powers available to federal banking regulators, including the Federal Reserve Board. This enforcement authority includes, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease-and-desist or removal orders and to initiate injunctive actions against banking organizations and institution-affiliated parties. In general, these enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices. Other actions or inactions may provide the basis for enforcement action, including misleading or untimely reports filed with regulatory authorities. FIRREA significantly increased the amount of and grounds for civil money penalties and requires, except under certain circumstances, public disclosure of final enforcement actions by the federal banking agencies.

The FDIC has adopted a risk-based deposit insurance assessment system. The FDIC assigns an institution to one of three capital categories based on the institution's financial information, as of the reporting period ending seven months before the assessment period, consisting of (i) well capitalized, (ii) adequately capitalized or (iii) undercapitalized, and one of three supervisory subcategories within each capital group. The supervisory subgroup to which an institution is assigned is based on a supervisory evaluation provided to the FDIC by the institution's

primary federal regulator and information that the FDIC determines to be relevant to the institution's financial condition and the risk posed to the deposit insurance funds. An institution's assessment rate depends on the capital category and supervisory subcategory to which it is assigned. Assessment rates for BIF deposits currently range from 5 basis points to 43 basis points. Old Line Bank was assigned to a capital and supervisory subcategory that had an assessment rate of 0 in 2006 and has not yet been assigned to a capital and supervisory subcategory for 2007. In addition, the FDIC imposes assessments to help pay off the \$780 million in annual interest payments on the approximate \$8.1 billion Financing Corporation noncallable bonds issued in the late 1980s as part of the government rescue of the thrift industry. The FDIC is authorized to raise the assessment rates in certain circumstances, including to maintain or achieve a designated reserve ratio for BIF deposits. The FDIC has exercised its authority to raise rates in the past and may raise insurance premiums in the future. If the FDIC takes such action, it could have an adverse effect on the earnings of Old Line Bank.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, insurance of deposits may be terminated by the FDIC upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

#### Maryland Regulatory Assessment

The Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation in the Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation assesses state chartered banks to cover the expense of regulating banking institutions. The Commissioner assesses each banking institution the sum of \$1,000, plus \$0.08 for each \$1,000 of assets of the institution over \$1,000,000, as disclosed on the banking institution's most recent financial report.

#### Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders

Maryland law imposes restrictions on certain transactions with affiliates of Maryland commercial banks. Generally, under Maryland law, a director, officer or employee of a commercial bank may not borrow, directly or indirectly, any money from the bank, unless the loan has been approved by a resolution adopted by and recorded in the minutes of the board of directors of the bank, or the executive committee of the bank, if that committee is authorized to make loans. If the executive committee approves such a loan, the loan approval must be reported to the board of directors at its next meeting. Certain commercial loans made to directors of a bank and certain consumer loans made to non-officer employees of the bank are exempt from the law's coverage.

In addition, Old Line Bank is subject to the provisions of Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation W of the Federal Reserve Bank (collectively, "Regulation W"), which limit the amount of loans or extensions of credit to, investments in, or certain other transactions with, affiliates, and limits the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates. Regulation W limits the aggregate amount of transactions with any individual affiliate to 10% of the capital and surplus of Old Line Bank and also limits the aggregate amount of transactions with all affiliates to 20% of capital and surplus. Loans and certain other extensions of credit to affiliates are required to be secured by collateral in an amount and of a type described in Regulation W, and the purchase of low quality assets from affiliates is generally prohibited.

Regulation W, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in certain transactions with certain affiliates (as defined in the Federal Reserve Act) unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to such institution and/or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated entities. In the absence of comparable transactions, such transactions may only occur under terms and circumstances, including credit standards that in good faith would be offered to or would apply to non-affiliated companies. In addition, under Regulation W:

- a bank and its subsidiaries may not purchase a low-quality asset from an affiliate;
- covered transactions and other specified transactions between a bank or its subsidiaries and an
  affiliate must be on terms and conditions that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices;
  and
- with some exceptions, each loan or extension of credit by a bank to an affiliate must be secured by collateral with a market value ranging from 100% to 130%, depending on the type of collateral, of the amount of the loan or extension of credit.

Regulation W generally excludes all nonbank and nonsavings association subsidiaries of banks from treatment as affiliates, except to the extent that the Federal Reserve Board decides to treat these subsidiaries as affiliates.

Old Line Bank also is subject to the restrictions contained in Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation O thereunder (collectively, "Regulation O"), which govern the loans and extensions of credit to executive officers, directors and principal stockholders. Under Regulation O, loans to a director, an executive officer or a greater-than-10% stockholder of a bank as well as certain affiliated interests of any of the foregoing may not exceed, together with all other outstanding loans to such person and affiliated interests, the loans-to-one-borrower limit applicable to national banks (generally 15% of the institution's unimpaired capital and surplus), and all loans to all such persons in the aggregate may not exceed the institution's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. Regulation O also prohibits the making of loans in an amount greater than \$25,000 or 5% of capital and surplus but in any event not over \$500,000, to directors, executive officers and greater-than-10% stockholders of a bank, and their respective affiliates, unless such loans are approved in advance by a majority of the Board of Directors of the bank with any "interested" director not participating in the voting. Further, Regulation O requires that loans to directors, executive officers and principal stockholders be made on terms substantially the same as those that are offered in comparable transactions to unrelated third parties unless the loans are made pursuant to a benefit or compensation program that is widely available to all employees of the bank and does not give preference to insiders over other employees. Regulation O also prohibits a depository institution from paying overdrafts over \$1,000 of any of its executive officers or directors unless they are paid pursuant to written pre-authorized extension of credit or transfer of funds plans.

All of Old Line Bank's loans to its and Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s executive officers, directors and greater-than-10% stockholders, and affiliated interests of such persons, comply with the requirements of Regulation W and Regulation O.

We have entered into banking transactions with our directors and executive officers and the business and professional organizations in which they are associated in the ordinary course of business. We make any loans and loan commitments in accordance with all applicable laws.

#### Loans to One Borrower

Old Line Bank is subject to the statutory and regulatory limits on the extension of credit to one borrower. Generally, the maximum amount of total outstanding loans that a Maryland chartered trust company may have to any one borrower at any one time is 15% of Old Line Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus.

#### Liquidity

Old Line Bank is subject to the reserve requirements imposed by the State of Maryland. A Maryland commercial bank is required to have at all times a reserve equal to at least 15% of its demand deposits. Old Line Bank is also subject to the reserve requirements of Federal Reserve Board Regulation D, which applies to all depository institutions. Specifically, as of December 31, 2006, amounts in transaction accounts above \$8,500,000 and up to \$45,800,000 must have reserves held against them in the ratio of three percent of the amount. Amounts above \$45,800,000 require reserves of \$1,119,000 plus 10 percent of the amount in excess of \$45,800,000. The Maryland reserve requirements may be used to satisfy the requirements of Federal Reserve Regulation D. Old Line Bank is in compliance with its reserve requirements.

#### Dividends

Under Maryland law, Old Line Bank may declare a cash dividend, after providing for due or accrued expenses, losses, interest, and taxes, from its undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation, from its surplus in excess of 100% of its required capital stock. Also, if Old Line Bank's surplus is less than 100% of its required capital stock, cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition to these specific restrictions, the bank regulatory agencies have the ability to prohibit or limit proposed dividends if such regulatory agencies determine the payment of such dividends would result in Old Line Bank being in an unsafe and unsound condition.

#### Community Reinvestment Act

Old Line Bank is required to comply with the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") regardless of its capital condition. The CRA requires that, in connection with its examinations of Old Line Bank, the Federal Reserve evaluates the record of Old Line Bank in meeting the credit needs of its local community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community, consistent with the CRA. These factors are considered in, among other things, evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility. The CRA also requires all institutions to make public disclosure of their CRA ratings. Old Line Bank received a "Satisfactory" rating in its latest CRA examination.

#### **USA Patriot Act**

On October 26, 2001, President Bush signed into law comprehensive anti-terrorism legislation known as the USA Patriot Act of 2001 (the "USA Patriot Act"). Title III of the USA Patriot Act substantially broadened the scope of U.S. anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the United States. The Patriot Act requires financial institutions, including banks, to establish anti-money laundering programs, including employee training and independent audit requirements, meet minimum standards specified by the act, follow minimum standards for customer identification and maintenance of customer identification records, and regularly compare customer lists against lists of suspected terrorists, terrorist organizations and money launderers. The U.S. Treasury Department ("Treasury") has issued a number of implementing regulations that apply to various requirements of the USA Patriot Act to financial institutions such as Old Line Bank. Those regulations impose new obligations on financial institutions to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing. Treasury is expected to issue additional regulations that will further clarify the USA Patriot Act's requirements.

Failure of a financial institution to comply with the USA Patriot Act's requirements could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution. Old Line Bank has adopted appropriate policies, procedures and controls to address compliance with the requirements of the USA Patriot Act under the existing regulations and will continue to revise and update its policies, procedures and controls to reflect changes required by the USA Patriot Act and Treasury's regulations.

The costs or other effects of the compliance burdens imposed by the Patriot Act or future anti-terrorist, homeland security or anti-money laundering legislation or regulations cannot be predicted with certainty.

#### Check 21

On October 28, 2003, President Bush signed into law the Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act, also known as "Check 21." The new law, which became effective on October 28, 2004, gives "substitute checks," such as a digital image of a check and copies made from that image, the same legal standing as the original paper check. Some of the major provisions include:

allowing check truncation without making it mandatory;

- requiring that every financial institution communicate to accountholders in writing a description of its substitute check processing program and their rights under the law;
- retaining in place the previously mandated electronic collection and return of checks between financial institutions only when individual agreements are in place;
- requiring that when accountholders request verification, financial institutions produce the original check (or a copy that accurately represents the original) and demonstrate that the account debit was accurate and valid; and
- requiring recrediting of funds to an individual's account on the next business day after a consumer proves that the financial institution has erred.

#### **Consumer Credit Reporting**

On December 4, 2003, President George W. Bush signed the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act amending the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act. These amendments to the Fair Credit Reporting Act (the "FCRA Amendments") became effective in 2004. The FCRA Amendments include, among other things:

- requirements for financial institutions to develop policies and procedures to identify potential identity theft and, upon the request of a consumer, place a fraud alert in the consumer's credit file stating that the consumer may be the victim of identity theft or other fraud;
- for entities that furnish information to consumer reporting agencies (which would include us), requirements to implement procedures and policies regarding the accuracy and integrity of the furnished information, and regarding the correction of previously furnished information that is later determined to be inaccurate; and
- a requirement for mortgage lenders to disclose credit scores to consumers.

The FCRA Amendments also prohibit a business that receives consumer information from an affiliate from using that information for marketing purposes unless the consumer is first provided a notice and an opportunity to direct the business not to use the information for such marketing purposes (the "opt-out"), subject to certain exceptions. We do not share consumer information among our affiliated companies for marketing purposes, except as allowed under exceptions to the notice and opt-out requirements. Because none of our affiliates is currently sharing consumer information with any other affiliate for marketing purposes, the limitations on sharing of information for marketing purposes do not have a significant impact on us.

#### Federal Deposit Insurance Reform

On February 8, 2006, President Bush signed the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 ("FDIRA"). Among other things, FDIRA changes the Federal deposit insurance system by:

- raising the coverage level for retirement accounts to \$250,000;
- indexing deposit insurance coverage levels for inflation beginning in 2012;
- prohibiting undercapitalized financial institutions from accepting employee benefit plan deposits;
- merging the Bank Insurance Fund and Savings Association Insurance Fund into a new Deposit Insurance Fund (the "DIF"); and
- providing credits to financial institutions that capitalized the FDIC prior to 1996 to offset future assessment premiums.

FDIRA also authorizes the FDIC to revise the current risk-based assessment system, subject to notice and comment and caps the amount of the DIF at 1.50% of domestic deposits. The FDIC must issue cash dividends, awarded on a historical basis, for the amount of the DIF over the 1.50% ratio. Additionally, if the DIF exceeds 1.35% of domestic deposits at year-end, the FDIC must issue cash dividends, awarded on a historical basis, for half of the amount of the excess.

The FDIC was required to adopt rules implementing the various provisions of FDIRA by November 5, 2006. The rules adopted include:

- Inflation Index; Certain Retirement Accounts and Employee Benefit Plan Accounts (effective 10/12/2006);
- One-time Assessment Credit (effective 11/17/2006);
- Assessment Dividends (effective 1/1/2007);
- Operational Processes Governing the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Assessment Statement (effective 1/1/2007);
- Risk-Based Assessment System (effective 1/1/2007);
- Designated Reserve Ratio (effective 1/1/2007); and
- Official FDIC Sign and Advertising of FDIC Membership (effective 11/13/2007).

#### Other Regulations

Interest and other charges we collect or contract for are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. For example, under the Service Members Civil Relief Act, which amended the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940, a lender is generally prohibited from charging an annual interest rate in excess of 6% on any obligation of a borrower who is on active duty with the United States military.

Our loan operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the following:

- The Federal Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- The Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;
- The Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies; and
- The rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing these federal laws.

Our deposit operations are subject to the following:

- The Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and
- The Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Federal Reserve Board to implement that act, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

#### Proposed Legislation and Regulatory Actions

New regulations and statutes are regularly proposed that contain wide-ranging proposals for altering the structures, regulations, and competitive relationships of the nation's financial institutions. We cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which our business may be affected by any new regulation or statute.

#### **Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies**

Our earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve Board's monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board affect the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its control over the issuance of United States government securities, its regulation of the discount rate applicable to member banks and its influence over reserve requirements to which member banks are subject. We cannot predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

#### Forward Looking Statements

Some of the matters discussed in this annual report including under the captions "Business of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.," "Business of Old Line Bank," "Risk Factors", and "Management's Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations" and elsewhere in this annual report, including with respect to anticipated expansion and the opening of new branches, growth of customer relationships and anticipated operating results, include forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include statements regarding profitability, liquidity, allowance for loan losses, interest rate sensitivity, market risk and financial and other goals. Forward-looking statements often use words such as "believe," "expect," "plan," "may," "will," "should," "project," "contemplate," "anticipate," "forecast," "intend" or other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. When you read a forward-looking statement, you should keep in mind the risk factors described below and any other information contained in this annual report, which identifies a risk or uncertainty. Our actual results and the actual outcome of our expectations and strategies could be different from that described in this annual report because of these risks and uncertainties and you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this filing, and we undertake no obligation to make any revisions to the forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this filing or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

#### **Risk Factors**

You should consider carefully the following risks, along with other information contained in this Form 10-KSB. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones that may affect us. Additional risks and uncertainties also may adversely affect our business and operations including those discussed in Item 6-Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. If any of the following events actually occur, it could materially and adversely affect our business and financial results.

We depend on the services of key personnel. The loss of any of these personnel could disrupt our operations and our business could suffer. Our success depends substantially on the skills and abilities of our senior management team, including Mr. Cornelsen, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Burnett, our Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer, and Ms. Rush, our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Credit Officer. They provide valuable services to us and would be difficult to replace. Although we have entered into employment agreements with these executives, the existence of such agreements does not assure that we will be able to retain their services.

Also, our growth and success and our anticipated future growth and success, in a large part, is due and we anticipate will be due to the relationships maintained by our banking executives with our customers. The loss of services of one or more of these executives or other key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations and our business could suffer. The experienced commercial lenders that we have hired are not a party to any employment agreement with us and they could terminate their employment with us at any time and for any reason.

Our growth and expansion strategy may not be successful. We intend to use the proceeds of the October 2005 public offering to support the further growth in the level of our assets and deposits and to add branches to our banking network. Our ability to grow depends upon our ability to open new branches, attract new deposits, identify loan and investment opportunities and maintain adequate capital levels. We may also grow through acquisitions of existing financial institutions or branches thereof. There are no guarantees that our expansion strategies will be successful. Also, we may be required to make additional investments in equipment and personnel to manage the anticipated and/or actual loan growth, which would also increase our non-interest expense.

We plan to open a new branch in Greenbelt in Prince George's County, Maryland during the second quarter of 2007. We also hope to open a new branch in Crofton in Anne Arundel County, Maryland during 2007. Additionally, we intend to open a branch in College Park in Prince George's County in January 2008. With respect to these branches or any other branches that we may open, we may not be able to correctly identify profitable or growing markets for such new branches. If we were to acquire another financial institution or branch thereof, we may not be able to integrate the institution or branch into our operations. Also, the costs to start up new branch facilities or to acquire existing financial institutions or branches thereof, and the additional costs to operate these

facilities, will increase our non-interest expense. It may also be difficult to adequately and profitably manage the anticipated growth from the new branches or acquisitions and we may not be able to maintain the relatively low levels of charge-offs and nonperforming loans that we have experienced.

If we grow too quickly and are not able to control costs and maintain asset quality, growth could materially adversely affect our financial performance.

Our focus on commercial and real estate loans may increase the risk of credit losses. We offer a variety of loans including commercial business loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans, home equity loans and consumer loans, which includes luxury boat financing. We secure many of our loans with real estate (both residential and commercial) in the Maryland suburbs of Washington, D.C. While we believe our credit underwriting adequately considers the underlying collateral in the evaluation process, a major change in the real estate market could have an adverse effect on our customers, which in turn could adversely impact us.

Our marine brokerage division may not be successful. In February 2005, we established Old Line Marine as a division of Old Line Bank to serve as a boat loan broker and to originate loans for Old Line Bank. This division incurred non-interest expenses of \$449,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and increased non-interest revenue by \$455,000 during the same period. We may not continue generating sufficient volume from this division to exceed the division's costs, and there can be no assurance that this division will ever generate sufficient volume to significantly contribute to our overall revenues and net profit. Also, the financial institutions to whom we broker loans will, among other things, evaluate our financial performance and the underlying performance of the loans we broker. If any of the investors to whom we broker loans terminate their relationships with us and we are not able to enter into new relationships with other investors, it could adversely affect the success of this division.

If our allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings will decrease. We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we believe is adequate for absorbing any potential losses in our loan portfolio. Management, through a periodic review and consideration of the loan portfolio, determines the amount of the allowance for loan losses. Although we believe the allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable losses in our loan portfolio, we cannot predict such losses or that our allowance will be adequate in the future. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb future losses, our earnings will suffer.

Our profitability depends on interest rates and changes in monetary policy may impact us. Our results of operations depend to a large extent on our "net interest income," which is the difference between the interest expense incurred in connection with our interest-bearing liabilities, such as interest on deposit accounts, and the interest income received from our interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities. Interest rates, because they are influenced by, among other things, expectations about future events, including the level of economic activity, federal monetary and fiscal policy, and geo-political stability, are not predictable or controllable. Additionally, competitive factors heavily influence the interest rates we can earn on our loan and investment portfolios and the interest rates we pay on our deposits. Community banks are often at a competitive disadvantage in managing their cost of funds compared to the large regional, super-regional or national banks that have access to the national and international capital markets. These factors influence our ability to maintain a stable net interest margin.

We seek to maintain a neutral position in terms of the volume of assets and liabilities that mature or reprice during any period so that we may reasonably predict our net interest margin. However, interest rate fluctuations, loan prepayments, loan production and deposit flows are constantly changing and influence our ability to maintain this neutral position. Generally speaking, our earnings are more sensitive to fluctuations in interest rates the greater the variance in the volume of assets and liabilities that mature and re-price in any period. The extent and duration of the sensitivity will depend on the cumulative variance over time, the velocity and direction of interest rates, and whether we are more asset sensitive. Accordingly, we may not be successful in maintaining this neutral position and, as a result, our net interest margin may suffer.

The market value of our investments could negatively impact stockholders' equity. Approximately 83.44% of our securities investment portfolio (and 6.47% of total assets) at December 31, 2006 has been designated as available for sale pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115 relating to accounting for

investments. SFAS 115 requires that unrealized gains and losses in the estimated value of the available for sale portfolio be "marked to market" and reflected as a separate item in stockholders' equity, net of tax. As of December 31, 2006, we had unrealized losses in our available for sale portfolio of \$280,092 (\$171,921 net of taxes). If the market value of the available for sale investment portfolio declines further, this will cause a corresponding decline in stockholders' equity.

Because Old Line Bank serves a limited market area in Maryland, an economic downturn in our market area could more adversely affect us than it affects our larger competitors that are more geographically diverse. Our current primary market area consists of the suburban Maryland (Washington, D.C. suburbs) counties of Prince George's, Charles and northern St. Mary's. We are expanding in Prince George's County and Anne Arundel County, Maryland and may expand in contiguous northern and western counties, such as Montgomery County and Howard County, Maryland. However, broad geographic diversification is not currently part of our community bank focus. As a result, if our market area suffers an economic downturn, it may more severely affect our business and financial condition than it affects larger bank competitors. Our larger competitors serve more geographically diverse market areas, parts of which may not be affected by the same economic conditions that may exist in our market area.

Old Line Bank faces substantial competition which could adversely affect our growth and operating results. Old Line Bank operates in a competitive market for financial services and faces intense competition from other financial institutions both in making loans and in attracting deposits. Many of these financial institutions have been in business for many years, are significantly larger, have established customer bases, have greater financial resources and lending limits than Old Line Bank, and are able to offer certain services that we are not able to offer. If Old Line Bank cannot attract deposits and make loans at a sufficient level, its operating results will suffer, as will its opportunities for growth.

We face limits on our ability to lend. We are limited in the amount we can loan to a single borrower by the amount of our capital. Generally, under current law, we may lend up to 15% of our unimpaired capital and surplus to any one borrower. As of December 31, 2006, we were able to lend approximately \$4.7 million to any one borrower. This amount is significantly less than that of many of our competitors and may discourage potential borrowers who have credit needs in excess of our legal lending limit from doing business with us. We generally try to accommodate larger loans by selling participations in those loans to other financial institutions, but this strategy is not always available. We may not be able to attract or maintain customers seeking larger loans and we may not be able to sell participations in such loans on terms we consider favorable.

Our need to comply with extensive and complex governmental regulation could have an adverse effect on our business and our growth strategy. The banking industry is subject to extensive regulation by state and federal banking authorities. Many of these regulations are intended to protect depositors, the public or the FDIC insurance funds, not stockholders. Regulatory requirements affect our lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy and many other aspects of our business. These requirements may constrain our rate of growth and changes in regulations could adversely affect us. The burden imposed by these federal and state regulations may place banks in general, and Old Line Bank specifically, at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors. In addition, the cost of compliance with regulatory requirements could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

In addition, because federal regulation of financial institutions changes regularly and is the subject of constant legislative debate, we cannot forecast how federal regulation of financial institutions may change in the future and impact our operations. Although Congress in recent years has sought to reduce the regulatory burden on financial institutions with respect to the approval of specific transactions, we fully expect that the financial institution industry will remain heavily regulated in the near future and that additional laws or regulations may be adopted further regulating specific banking practices.

Our stock benefit plans will increase our expenses, which may reduce our profitability and stockholders' equity. Pursuant to our compensation plans, we expect to grant additional stock options. The Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, which we implemented in January 2006. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and requires such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method and the resulting cost to

be recognized in the financial statements over the option vesting periods. Recording compensation expense in our statement of income for stock options using the fair value method has in 2006 and could continue to have a significant negative effect on our reported financial results, particularly if we grant a significant number of options in future periods.

The costs of being a public company are proportionately higher for small companies like us due to the requirement of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations promulgated by Securities and Exchange Commission have increased the scope, complexity, and cost of corporate governance, reporting, and disclosure practices. These regulations are applicable to our company. We expect to experience increasing compliance costs, including costs related to internal controls, as a result of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. These necessary costs are proportionately higher for a company of our size and will affect our profitability more than that of some of our larger competitors.

#### Item 2. Description of Property

In July 2006, we moved our main office facility from Waldorf, Maryland to 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland in Prince George's County and established a branch in this facility. Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC, an entity 50% owned by us and in which we currently have a \$793,714 investment, owns this property. Frank Lucente, a director of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Old Line Bank controls 25% of Pointer Ridge and controls the manager of Pointer Ridge. On June 6, 2006, we executed leases for 2,557 square feet on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor of the building for a new branch office, 5,449 square feet on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor and 11,053 square feet on the 4<sup>th</sup> floor of this building for our new headquarters. The leases which commenced on July 1, 2006, are for thirteen years, with two, five-year renewal options. Payment terms on the leases are "triple net" with basic monthly payments of \$40.558 and 3% annual increases.

We acquired a full service banking branch and office facility located at 2995 Crain Highway in Waldorf, Maryland, in 1998 for \$750,000, renovated the space at a cost of approximately \$716,000, and moved our main office into it from our branch office located at 12080 Old Line Centre in Waldorf, Maryland. As an accommodation to the seller, we purchased this facility subject to a 99-year lease that we have paid in full. In 2004, we exercised the option to purchase the facility for \$1.00. In July 2006, we moved our headquarters from this location to 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland. We have retained our branch office at 2995 Crain Highway and plan to lease the remainder of the space in this building. We have not currently identified a tenant for this space.

We continue to maintain a branch operation at the Old Line Centre location, and have done so since 1989. The lease, which commenced in August 1999, is for ten years with two, five-year renewal options. Payment terms on the lease are "triple net," at \$4,773 monthly with 1.5% annual increases.

In 1995, we opened a branch at 15808 Livingston Road in Accokeek, Maryland in Prince George's County in leased facilities. In March 2003, we purchased the Accokeek location for \$155,877.

Our Clinton, Maryland, Prince George's County branch, located at 7801 Old Branch Avenue, was opened in September 2002 in leased space. In November 2006, the monthly rent at this facility increased from \$825 to \$2,301. Exclusive of the monthly rent, we pay no utilities or other expenses associated with this facility. The lease incorporates additional increases in monthly rent in October 2008 to \$2,685 and 1.5% every year thereafter. The lease term is for a period of ten years, with three, five-year renewal options.

Our loan production office in College Park, Prince George's County, Maryland is located in leased space on the fourth floor of a four story building located at 9658 Baltimore Avenue. The lease which commenced in August 2005 is for two years and six months. Payment terms on the lease are "triple net," at \$2,601 monthly, with 3% annual increases. We have also leased space for a branch on the first floor of this building commencing January 2008 at \$5,000 monthly ("triple net") with 3% annual increases. The term for this space is 10 years with two five year renewal terms.

In August 2004, we announced plans to open a branch in Crofton, Maryland in Anne Arundel County, located at 1641 Route 3 North, Crofton Maryland approximately 10 miles north of Pointer Ridge, the new Bowie, Maryland office. We planned to open that branch in the fourth quarter of 2005 or the first quarter of 2006.

However, the owner of the property experienced engineering delays related to the construction of the facility that delayed Anne Arundel County's issuance of a building permit. The owner has received a building permit from Anne Arundel County. As a result of various delays, the owner has not yet started construction. We remain in discussions with the property owner regarding opening the branch. At this time, we are uncertain if or when this branch may open. We plan to continue discussions with the property owner while researching alternative locations.

Our loan production office in Gaithersburg, Montgomery County, Maryland is located in a leased space in an office building located at 12165 Darnestown Road, Gaithersburg, Maryland. The lease commenced on September 1, 2006 and is for twelve months. The total monthly lease payment for this facility is \$500 a month.

In January 2007, we announced plans to open a branch in Greenbelt, Maryland. We plan to lease 2,700 square feet of space on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor of an office building located at 6301 Ivy Lane, Greenbelt, Prince George's County, Maryland. The lease has an initial term of five years commencing when the applicable public authority has issued a certificate of occupancy for Old Line Bank to occupy the premises. The lease is "triple net" with fixed basic monthly rent of \$5,175 with 3% annual increases. The lease has an initial term of five years. After providing nine months written notice and paying a termination fee, Old Line Bank may terminate the lease after the 2<sup>nd</sup> anniversary of the commencement date. We have the right to extend the term of the lease for three additional five year terms. We anticipate we will open this branch during the third quarter of 2007.

On January 31, 2007, Old Line Bank also entered into a lease agreement to lease approximately 33,000 square feet of ground area located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Kenilworth Avenue and Ivy Lane in Greenbelt, Prince George's County Maryland and a free-standing bank building that Old Line Bank will construct. The lease commences on the earlier to occur of (i) the date on which Old Line Bank first opens for business at the premises, or (ii) 785 days after January 31, 2007. The lease has an initial term of 30 years with two successive renewal periods of ten years. The initial monthly installments on this lease are \$8,750. Old Line Bank plans to move the 6301 Ivy Lane, Greenbelt, Maryland branch into this new facility.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, Old Line Bancshares, Inc. or Old Line Bank may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its normal course of business. As of December 31, 2006, there were no material pending legal matters or litigation for Old Line Bank or Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### PART II

#### Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

#### **Common Stock Prices**

The table below shows the high and low sales information as reported on the Nasdaq Capital Market. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down, or commission, and may not represent actual transactions. The prices quoted for the first quarter of 2005 were adjusted to reflect our 20% stock dividend paid March 24, 2005.

#### Sale Price Range

·	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
<u>2005</u>		
First Quarter	\$12.50	\$9.79
Second Quarter	11.00	8.90
Third Quarter	11.00	9.60
Fourth Quarter	10.50	9.87
<u>2006</u>		
First Quarter	\$12.00	\$10.36
Second Quarter	12.00	11.50
Third Quarter	11.92	11.00
Fourth Quarter	11.70	10.50

As of December 31, 2006, there were 4,253,698.5 shares of common stock issued and outstanding held by approximately 270 stockholders of record. There were 182,820 shares of common stock issuable on the exercise of outstanding stock options 139,353 of which were exercisable. The remaining 43,467 are exercisable as follows:

Date Exercisable	# of Shares
August 1, 2007	6,400
November 1, 2007	3,000
December 31, 2007	12,267
August 1, 2008	6,400
November 1, 2008	3,000
August 1, 2009	6,400
November 1, 2009	3,000
November 1, 2009	3,000
Total	43,467

#### Dividends

We have paid the following dividends:

	2006		2005		2004
March	\$ 0.025	\$	0.025	\$	0.025
June	0.030		0.024		0.025
September	0.030		0.026		0.025
December	 0.030	_	0.025	_	0.025
Total	\$ 0.115	\$	0.100	\$	0.100

Our ability to pay dividends in the future will depend on the ability of Old Line Bank to pay dividends to us. Old Line Bank's ability to continue paying dividends will depend on Old Line Bank's compliance with certain dividend regulations imposed upon us by bank regulatory authorities. In addition, we will consider a number of other factors, including our income and financial condition, tax considerations, and general business conditions before deciding to pay additional dividends in the future. There can be no assurance that we will continue to pay dividends to our stockholders.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table summarizes Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s selected financial information and other financial data. The selected balance sheet and statement of income data are derived from our audited financial statements. This information should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report. Results for past periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any future period.

Year Ended December 31,	2006	2005	2004
	(Dollars in t	nousands, except p	per share data)
Income Statement Data:		,	,
Interest revenue	\$11,023	\$7,004	\$4,890
Interest expense	3,730	2,128	1,163
Net interest income	7,293	4,876	3,727
Provision for loan losses	339	204	220
Non-interest revenue	1,029	621	554
Non-interest expense	5,561	3,576	2,806
Income taxes	848	578	438
Net income	1,574	1,139	817
Per Share and Shares Outstanding Data: (1)	•		
Basic net income	\$0.37	\$0.44	\$0.38
Diluted net income	0.37	0.44	0.38
Cash dividends declared	0.115	0.10	0.10
Book value at period end	\$8.18	\$7.89	\$6.33
Shares outstanding, period end	4,253,699	4,248,899	2,131,673
Average shares outstanding, basic	4,250,240	2,559,627	2,127,837
Average shares outstanding, diluted	4,275,886	2,585,170	2,163,915
Balance Sheet Data:			
Total assets	\$218,131	\$169,028	\$113,569
Total loans, net	150,417	104,249	81,505
Total investment securities	16,921	16,130	17,817
Total deposits	169,672	119,672	88,965
Stockholders' equity	34,816	33,516	13.494
Performance Ratios:			
Return on average assets	0.86%	0.85%	0.82%
Return on average equity	4.58%	6.38%	6.27%
Net interest margin (2)	4.37%	3.93%	4.07%
Asset Quality Ratios:			
Allowance to period-end loans	0.85%	0.91%	0.91%
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Non-performing assets to provision for loan losses	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Capital Ratios:			
Tier I risk-based capital	20.5%	27.5%	15.4%
Total risk-based capital	21.2%	28.3%	16.3%
Leverage capital ratio	17.5%	21.5%	12.7%
Total equity to total assets	16.0%	19.8%	11.9%
Dividend payout ratio for period	31.1%	23.5%	26.1%

<sup>(1)</sup> All share amounts and dollar amounts per share with regard to the common stock have been adjusted, unless otherwise indicated, for our 20% stock dividend paid on March 24, 2005.

<sup>(2)</sup> See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operating-Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures."

#### Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All share amounts and dollar amounts per share with regard to the common stock have been adjusted, unless otherwise indicated, to reflect our 20% stock dividend paid on March 24, 2005.

#### Overview

We are pleased to report that we once again achieved record earnings in 2006 of \$1.6 million and exceeded \$200 million in total assets. As outlined in the financial table below, we saw significant growth in net income, loans and deposits during the year. In addition to meeting our financial goals, we also accomplished several non-financial objectives that we believe will allow us to continue to support our future growth. Specifically, during the year we:

- Moved our corporate headquarters to Bowie, Maryland,
- Opened a new branch at our new corporate headquarters location,
- Established a loan production office in Gaithersburg, Maryland,
- Expanded the geographic coverage of our Marine division,
- Executed the lease for a new branch location in Greenbelt, Maryland,
- Increased our corporate and branch staffing, and
- Added a new individual to our Board of Directors.

The new capital added in October 2005 allowed us to effectively service new and larger customer requirements, fund the infrastructure needed to support our growth and open new locations that we believe will enable us to continue to grow the organization and enhance future earnings. While the 2,096,538 additional shares issued during the capital offering in October 2005 negatively impacted earnings per share, we believe that the investment of these proceeds into loans, infrastructure and new locations will vastly improve the long term rewards for our shareholders, employees and customers.

The following summarizes the highlights of our financial performance for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2006 compared to the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005:

### Twelve months ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands)

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	\$ Change	% Change
Net income	\$ 1,574	\$ 1,139	\$ 435	38.19 %
Interest revenue	11,023	7,004	4,019	57.38
Interest expense Net interest income after	3,730	2,128	1,602	75.28
provision for loan losses	6,954	4,672	2,282	48.84
Non-interest revenue	1,029	621	408	65.70
Non-interest expense	5,561	3,576	1,985	55.51
Average interest earning assets	169,436	126,087	43,349	34.38
Average non interest bearing deposits	33,723	28,995	4,728	16.31
Average gross loans	125,927	90,085	35,842	39.79
Average interest bearing deposits	99,709	74,655	25,054	33.56
Interest Margin (1)	4.37%	3.93%		
Return on average equity	4.58%	6.38%		
Earnings per share basic	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.44	\$ (0.07)	(15.91%)
Earnings per share diluted	0.37	0.44	(0.07)	(15.91%)

<sup>(1)</sup> See "Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures"

#### **Public Offering**

On October 21, 2005, we closed our public offering in which we sold 2,096,538 shares of common stock at a per share purchase price of \$9.75. Our proceeds, after underwriters' commissions and expenses, were \$19.2 million. We used substantially all of the net offering proceeds to provide additional capital to Old Line Bank to support its growth and expansion. To date, we have invested these additional funds in liquid assets, specifically federal funds, and funded new loans. Over time, we expect to continue to deploy these funds into new loans. While the additional capital has had and should continue to have a positive impact on interest revenue and net interest income, the increase in the number of shares caused a negative impact on earnings per share.

#### **Growth Strategy**

We have based our strategic plan on the premise of enhancing stockholder value and growth through branching and operating profits. Our short-term goals include maintaining credit quality, creating an attractive branch network, expanding fee income, generating extensions of core banking services and using technology to maximize stockholder value.

#### Expansion

We believe a natural evolution of a community-focused bank like Old Line Bank is to expand the delivery channels via the branch network. We are planning to expand in Prince George's County and Anne Arundel County, Maryland, and may expand in Charles County and contiguous northern and western counties, such as Montgomery County and Howard County, Maryland.

As outlined previously, Old Line Bancshares, Inc. owns a 50% equity investment in Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC. In April 2005, Pointer Ridge executed a contract with Waverly Construction Inc. ("Waverly"), an unrelated party, to construct an approximately 40,000 square foot commercial office building at 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland. In July 2006, we moved into this building (from our existing main office in Waldorf, Maryland) and we lease approximately half of this building for our main office and operate a branch of Old Line Bank from this address.

In August 2004, we announced plans to open a branch in Crofton, Maryland in Anne Arundel County, located at 1641 Route 3 North, Crofton, Maryland approximately 10 miles north of Pointer Ridge, the new Bowie, Maryland office. We planned to open that branch in the fourth quarter of 2005 or the first quarter of 2006. However, the owner of the property experienced engineering delays related to the construction of the facility that delayed Anne Arundel County's issuance of a building permit. The owner informed us he has received a building permit from Anne Arundel County. As a result of various delays, the owner has not yet started construction. We remain in discussions with the property owner regarding opening the branch. At this time, we are uncertain if or when this branch may open. We plan to continue discussions with the property owner while researching alternative locations.

We plan to open a new branch in College Park (Prince George's County), Maryland in the same building as the loan production office that houses our team of loan officers (see "Expansion of Commercial, Construction, and Commercial Real Estate Lending" below). Our lease provides that we will lease the branch space in January 2008 when the existing branch of a large southeastern regional bank moves from the space.

We also plan to open a new branch in Greenbelt (Prince George's County), Maryland. Initially, we will open this branch on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor of an office building located at 6301 Ivy Lane, Greenbelt, Maryland. We anticipate we will open this branch during the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2007. Upon completion of construction of a bank building, we plan to move this branch to the southwest corner of the intersection of Kenilworth Avenue and Ivy Lane, Greenbelt, Maryland.

Because of the new branches, we anticipate salaries and benefits expenses and other operating expenses will increase. We anticipate that, over time, income generated from the branches will offset any increase in expenses.

#### Expansion of Commercial, Construction and Commercial Real Estate Lending

In August 2005, we added a team of three experienced, highly skilled loan officers to our staff. Each of these individuals has over 25 years of commercial banking experience and was employed by a large southeastern regional bank with offices in the suburban Maryland market prior to joining us. These individuals have worked in our market area for approximately 18 years, have worked together as a team for over 14 years and have a history of successfully generating a high volume of commercial, construction and commercial real estate loans. This team operates from our College Park, Maryland loan production office.

As we expected, the addition of these individuals caused an increase in salary and benefit expenses and an increase in rent expense in 2006. During the fourth quarter of 2005 and the entire year of 2006, these individuals developed and established several new customer relationships for us that had a positive impact on deposit and loan growth. As a result of their efforts, we anticipate the bank will experience continued improvement in loan growth during 2007 and beyond.

In July 2006, we hired a new Vice President of Business Development who was formerly Executive Director of the Prince George's County Chamber of Commerce. This individual works from our Bowie main office. We expect this individual's expertise and market knowledge will allow us to continue to enhance our presence in the Prince George's County market and beyond.

In August 2006, we hired a new Vice President of Commercial Lending who has over 30 years of lending experience and is a significant addition to our lending team. This individual operates from a loan production office in Gaithersburg (Montgomery County), Maryland. We anticipate this lender's expertise and market knowledge will allow us to expand our presence into southern Montgomery County and the District of Columbia.

The additional capital from the offering should allow us to continue to leverage our balance sheet to support the anticipated loan growth from these new hires.

#### Old Line Marine Division

In February 2005, we established Old Line Marine as a division of Old Line Bank to serve as a luxury boat loan broker and to originate loans for Old Line Bank. We hired a veteran in the marine lending industry with over 27 years of experience to head this division. Since that time, we have hired three additional sales representatives. Currently we have sales representatives in Annapolis, Maryland, Virginia Beach, Virginia and Wilmington, North Carolina. These representatives service the market from New York to Florida. Prior to joining us, each of these individuals operated as brokers in these markets. We conduct secondary market activity in our marine division as a broker and we earn a fee. In addition to increasing our non-interest income, we expect to capitalize on our relationships with high net worth individuals through this division.

The establishment of this division increased non-interest expense by approximately \$449,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and increased non-interest revenue by \$455,000 during the same period. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, this division had a modest positive impact on net income. We anticipate this division will improve its profitability in 2007 and have a modest, positive impact on net income for the year.

#### Addition to the Board of Directors

In February 2007, we announced the appointment of John M. Suit, II to our Board of Directors. Mr. Suit formerly served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Farmers National Bancorp and Farmers National Bank of Maryland from 1989-1996 and later as Chairman of the Board of Farmers Bank of Maryland from 1996-2003. Most recently, following the 2003 Branch Bank and Trust (BB&T) acquisition of Farmers Bank of Maryland, Mr. Suit was a senior advisor and Senior Vice President for BB&T. Mr. Suit will serve on the Audit and Loan Committees.

#### Other Opportunities

We use the Internet and technology to augment our growth plans. Currently, we offer our customers image technology, telephone banking and Internet banking with on-line account access and bill payer service. We will continue to evaluate cost effective ways that technology can enhance our management, products and services. In 2007, we plan to offer to selective commercial customers the ability to remotely capture their deposits and electronically transmit them to us. We anticipate that this service will modestly increase equipment cost, reduce courier fees, and positively impact deposit growth.

We continually evaluate new products and services that may enhance the service we provide our customers. In February 2006, we began accepting brokered certificates of deposit through the Promontory Interfinancial Network. Through this deposit matching network and its certificate of deposit account registry service (CDARS), we obtained the ability to offer our customers access to FDIC-insured deposit products in aggregate amounts that exceed current insurance limits. When we place funds through CDARS on behalf of a customer, we receive matching deposits through the network.

We plan to take advantage of strategic opportunities presented to us via mergers occurring in our marketplace. For example, we may purchase branches that other banks close or lease branch space from other banks. We currently have no specific plans regarding acquisitions of existing financial institutions or branches thereof.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between income on interest earning assets and the cost of funds supporting those assets. Earning assets are comprised primarily of loans, investments, and federal funds sold; interest-bearing deposits and other borrowings make up the cost of funds. Non-interest bearing deposits and capital are also funding sources. Changes in the volume and mix of earning assets and funding sources along with changes in associated interest rates determine changes in net interest income.

#### 2006 compared to 2005

Net interest income after provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$2.3 million or 48.94% to \$7.0 million from \$4.7 million for the same period in 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in total average interest earning assets. The proceeds from the capital offering together with loan growth caused the increase in average interest earning assets.

Interest revenue increased from \$7.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 to \$11.0 million for the same period in 2006. Interest expense for all interest bearing liabilities amounted to \$3.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 versus \$2.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005. As discussed below and outlined in detail in the Rate/Volume Analysis, these changes were the result of substantial increases in earning assets and increasing market interest rates. The increase in earnings assets continued to be directly attributable to the increased legal lending limit, the addition of the three new loan officers in the College Park loan production office and increased business development efforts from the entire Old Line Bank lending team. Additionally, we believe that the move to our new Bowie headquarters and the opening of the Bowie branch provided us with increased name recognition that also contributed to our growth.

Our net interest margin was 4.37% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, as compared to 3.93% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005. The increase in the net interest margin is the result of several components. The yield on average interest-earning assets improved during the period 95 basis points from 5.62% in 2005 to 6.57% in 2006, and average interest-earning assets grew by \$43.3 million. An 84 basis point increase of the yield on average interest-bearing liabilities from 2.44% in 2005 to 3.28% in 2006, and a \$26.2 million increase in interest bearing liabilities partially offset these improvements.

The yield on average interest-earning assets improved and the cost of interest bearing liabilities increased because of increases in market interest rates. On January 1, 2006, the prime rate was 7.25% by December 31, 2006

it had increased to 8.25%. On January 1, 2005, it was 5.25% and on December 31, 2005 it was 7.25%. The yield also improved because loans, net of allowance comprised 73.59% of total average interest earning assets in 2006 versus 70.74% in 2005.

The increased interest rates allowed us to earn a 152 basis point higher average yield on our federal funds and an 80 basis point higher average yield on our loan portfolio. The increased market interest rates, the introduction of the CDARS product in February 2006, and the purchase of \$10.1 million in brokered certificates of deposit in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2006 caused the cost of average interest bearing liabilities to increase 84 basis points during the period. We expect further improvement in our net interest margin during 2007 because we expect the volume of and rates on loans to grow at a faster rate than the volume of and rates on interest bearing liabilities. We will offer promotional campaigns to attract deposits throughout the year or seek brokered deposits, if required, to maintain an acceptable loan to deposit ratio.

Because of the three loan officers in the College Park loan production office, increased recognition in the Prince George's County market, the addition of the Bowie and Greenbelt branches, the loan production office in Gaithersburg, the new addition to the Board of Directors and with continued growth in deposits, we anticipate that we will continue to grow earning assets during 2007. We believe that the anticipated growth in earning assets, the change in the composition of earning assets as more funds are deployed to loans and the relatively low cost of funds will result in an increase in our net interest income, although there is no assurance that this will be the case.

#### 2005 compared to 2004

Net interest income after provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2005 amounted to \$4.7 million, which was \$1.2 million or 34.29% greater than the 2004 level of \$3.5 million. The increase was primarily attributable to a 34.87% or \$32.6 million increase in total average interest earning assets to \$126.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 from \$93.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004.

Interest revenue increased from \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Interest expense for all interest bearing liabilities amounted to \$2.1 million in 2005, which was \$964,700 higher than the 2004 level of \$1.2 million. As discussed below and outlined in detail in the Rate/Volume Analysis, these changes were the result of substantial increases in earning assets and increasing market interest rates. The increase in earning assets was directly attributable to the increased legal lending limit, the addition of the three new loan officers in the College Park loan production office and increased business development efforts.

Our net interest margin was 3.93% for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to 4.07% for the year ended December 31, 2004. The decrease in the net interest margin is the result of several components. The yield on average interest-earning assets improved during the period 31 basis points from 5.31% in 2004 to 5.62% in 2005, and average interest-earning assets grew by \$32.6 million. A 64 basis point increase of the yield on average interest-bearing liabilities from 1.80% in 2004 to 2.44% in 2005, and a \$22.7 million increase in interest bearing liabilities offset these improvements.

The yield on average interest-earning assets improved and the cost of interest bearing liabilities increased because of increases in market interest rates. On January 1, 2004, the federal funds rate was 0.94% and on December 31, 2004 the federal funds rate was 1.97% and on December 31, 2005 it was 4.09%. The prime rate was 4.00% on January 1, 2004, it was 5.25% on December 31, 2004 and on December 31, 2005 it was 7.25%.

These increased interest rates allowed us to earn a 221 basis point higher average yield on our federal funds and a 46 basis point higher average yield on our loan portfolio. The increased market interest rates and a promotional campaign used to attract certificates of deposits in January 2005 caused the cost of average interest bearing liabilities to increase 64 basis points during the period.

The following table illustrates average balances of total interest earning assets and total interest bearing liabilities for the periods indicated, showing the average distribution of assets, liabilities, stockholders' equity and related income, expense and corresponding weighted average yields and rates. The average balances used in this table and other statistical data were calculated using average daily balances.

Average Balances, Interest and Yields

			2006			,	2005					2004						
		Average					_	Average						Average				_
		balance		Interest	Yield			balance		Interest	Yield			balance		Interest	Yield	_
Assets:																		
Federal funds sold(1)	\$	26,348,931	S	1,318,670	5.00	%	s	18,195,459	s	633,182	3.48	%	S	4,055,755	s	51,368	1.27	<b>%</b>
Interest-bearing deposits	-	1,753	-	23	1.31		•	57,260	•	2,124	3.71		•	551,093	-	16,746	3.04	
Investment securities(1)(2)										•				•		·		
U.S. Treasury		4,000,200		133,465	3.34			4,000,400		133,465	3.34			3,654,031		119,041	3.26	
U.S. Government agency		8,039,268		284,778	3.54			7,431,990		247,993	3.34			8,752,327		308,354	3.52	
Mortgage-backed securities		1,658,240		65,186	3.93		•	2,146,501		84,139	3.92			2,988,771		118,088	3.95	
Tax exempt securities		3,324,982		163,691	4,92			3,447,709		160,550	4.66			3,401,218		163,754	4.81	
Other	_	1,367,533		<u>81,109</u>	<u>5.93</u>		_	1,607,775		45,912	2,86		_	1,015,507	_	35,097	<u>3.46</u>	
Total investment securities Loans: (3)		18,390,223	_	728,229	_3.96		_	18,634,375	_	672,059	3.61		_	19,811,854		744,334	_3.76	
Commercial		25,996,077		2,180,477	8.39			13,050,801		999,552	7.66			9,224,604		648,870	7.03	
Mortgage		77,545,669		5,713,871	7.37			54,257,380		3,582,824	6.60			39,958,732		2,388,944	5.98	
Installment	_	22,385,611	_	1,185,854	5.30		_	22,776,395	_	1,190,587	_5.23			20,517,755	_	1,112,861	5.42	
Total loans Allowance for loan losses		125,927,357 1,232,674	_	9,080,202	7.21	_		90,084,576 884,562		5,772,963	6.41	_		69,701,091 654,007	_	4,150,675	5.95	
Total loans, net of allowance											- 1-							
		124,694,683		9,080,202	_7.28			89,200,014	_	5,772,963	<u>6.47</u>			69,047,084	_	4,150,675	6.01	
Total interest-earning assets(1)		169,435,590	_	11,127,124	6.57			126,087,108	_	7,080,328	_5,62			93,465,786	_	4,963,123	<u> 5.31</u>	
Noninterest-bearing cash		3,848,386						3,083,227						2,471,507				
Premises and equipment Other assets		2,984,349						2,393,397						2,278,670				
Total assets(1)	_	6,314,672					_	3,102,641						1,108,945				
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:	3	182,582,997					<u>.</u>	134,666,373					<u>→</u>	99,324,908				
Interest-bearing deposits																		
Savings	\$	8,693,260	\$	60,720	0.70		\$	9,374,143	\$	47,168	0.50		\$	11,252,236	\$	54,698	0.49	
Money market and NOW		22,453,969		343,202	1.53			17,794,352		156,523	0.88			16,912,834		78,162	0.46	
Other time deposits		68,561,412	_	2,815,904	4.11		_	47,486,890		1,587,004	3,34		_	30,438,116		800,697	2.63	
Total interest-bearing																		
deposits		99,708,641		3,219,826	3.23			74,655,385		1,790,695	2.40			58,603,186		933,557	1.59	
Borrowed funds		13,831,772	_	509,851	_3.69			12,624,230	_	337,258	_2.67			6,036,503	_	229,696	3.81	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		112 640 412		2 220 627	2 20			07 270 616		2 127 052	2.44			(4 (20 (00		1 162 262	1.00	
		113,540,413 33,723,186		3,729,677	3.28			87,279,615 28,995,265		2,127,953	2.44			64,639,689 21,222,852		1,163,253	1.80	
Non-interest-bearing deposits	_	147,263,599	_	3,729,677	2.53	-	_	116,274,880		2,127,953	1.83	-	_	85,862,541	_	1,163,253	1.35	
Other liabilities		941,585		3,729,077	4.33			536,458		2,127,933	1.03			431,255		1,103,233	1.33	
Stockholders' equity		34,377,813						17,855,035						13,031,112				
Total liabilities and																		
stockholders' equity	<u>\$</u>	182,582,997					<u>\$</u>	134,666,373					<u>\$</u>	99,324,908				
Net interest spread(1)					3.29						3.18						3.51	
Net interest income(1)			<u>\$</u>	7,397,447	4.37				<u>\$</u>	4,952,375	3.93	%			<u>s</u>	3,799,870	4.07	%

<sup>1)</sup> Interest revenue is presented on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax favored status of these types of securities. Management believes providing this information on a FTE basis provides investors with a more accurate picture of our net interest spread and net interest income and we believe it to be the preferred industry measurement of these calculations. See "Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures."

<sup>)</sup> Available for sale investment securities are presented at amortized cost.

We had no non-accruing loans for the periods presented.

The following table describes the impact on our interest income and expense resulting from changes in average balances and average rates for the periods indicated. The change in interest income due to both volume and rate is reported with the rate variance.

#### Rate/Volume Variance Analysis

	Twelve mo	onths Ended Dec	cember 31,	Twelve months Ended December 31,								
	200	6 compared to 2	005	2005 compared to 2004								
	•	Variance due to:	:	Variance due to:								
	Total	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Total</u>	Rate	<u>Volume</u>						
Interest Earning Assets:												
Federal funds sold(1)	\$ 685,488	\$ 401,747	\$ 283,741	\$ 581,814	\$ 402,240	\$ 179,574						
Interest bearing deposits Investment Securities(1)	(2,101)	(42)	(2,059)	(14,622)	391	(15,013)						
U.S. Treasury	-	-	-	14,424	3,132	11,292						
U.S. Government agency	36,785	16,502	20,283	(60,361)	(13,885)	(46,476)						
Mortgage-backed securities	(18,953)	187	(19,140)	(33,949)	(679)	(33,270)						
Tax exempt securities	3,141	8,860	(5,719)	(3,204)	(5,440)	2,236						
Other	35,197	42,068	(6,871)	10,815	(9,677)	20,492						
Loans:												
Commercial	1,180,925	189,317	991,608	350,682	81,700	268,982						
Mortgage	2,131,047	594,020	1,537,027	1,193,880	338,821	855,059						
Installment	(4,733)	15,705	(20,438)	77,726	(44,692)	122,418						
Total interest revenue (1)	4,046,796	1,268,364	2,778,432	2,117,205	751,911	1,365,294						
Interest-bearing liabilities												
Savings	13,552	16,956	(3,404)	(7,530)	1,673	(9,203)						
Money market and NOW	186,679	145,674	41,005	78,361	74,306	4,055						
Other time deposits	1,228,900	525,011	703,889	786,307	337,924	448,383						
Borrowed funds	172,593	140,352	32,241	107,562	(143,430)	250,992						
Total interest expense	1,601,724	827,993	773,731	964,700	270,473	694,227						
Net interest income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,445,072	\$ 440,371	\$ 2,004,701	\$ 1,152,505	481,438	\$ 671,067						

Interest revenue is presented on a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis. Management believes providing this information on a FTE
basis provides investors with a more accurate picture of our net interest spread and net interest income and we believe it to be the
preferred industry measurement of these calculations. See "Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures."

#### Provision for Loan Losses

Originating loans involves a degree of risk that credit losses will occur in varying amounts according to, among other factors, the type of loans being made, the credit-worthiness of the borrowers over the term of the loans, the quality of the collateral for the loan, if any, as well as general economic conditions. We charge the provision for loan losses to earnings to maintain the total allowance for loan losses at a level considered by management to represent its best estimate of the losses known and inherent in the portfolio that are both probable and reasonable to estimate, based on, among other factors, prior loss experience, volume and type of lending conducted, estimated value of any underlying collateral, economic conditions (particularly as such conditions relate to Old Line Bank's

market area), regulatory guidance, peer statistics, management's judgment, past due loans in the loan portfolio, loan charge off experience and concentrations of risk (if any). We charge losses on loans against the allowance when we believe that collection of loan principal is unlikely. We add back recoveries on loans previously charged to the allowance.

The provision for loan losses was \$339,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$204,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$135,000 or 66.18%. During the twelve month period, we increased the provision for loan losses because of the 44.34% growth in our loan portfolio, particularly the commercial real estate segment.

The provision for loan losses was \$204,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, as compared to \$220,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004, a decrease of \$16,000 or 7.27%. The decrease was primarily the result of changes in the composition of the portfolio and recoveries. Additionally, our review of our 18 month historical loss experience and delinquency in the various segments of the portfolio did not warrant a higher provision.

We review the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at least quarterly. Our review includes evaluation of impaired loans as required by SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan-Income Recognition and Disclosure. Also incorporated in determining the adequacy of the allowance is guidance contained in the Securities and Exchange Commissions SAB No. 102, Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation; the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's Policy Statement on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Methodologies and Documentation for Banks and Savings Institutions and the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses provided by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, National Credit Union Administration and Office of Thrift Supervision.

We base the evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses upon loan categories. We categorize loans as installment and other consumer loans (other than boat loans), boat loans, mortgage loans (commercial real estate, residential real estate and real estate construction) and commercial loans. We apply loss ratios to each category of loan other than commercial loans (including letters of credit and unused commitments). We further divide commercial loans by risk rating and apply loss ratios by risk rating, to determine estimated loss amounts. We evaluate delinquent loans and loans for which management has knowledge about possible credit problems of the borrower or knowledge of problems with loan collateral separately and assign loss amounts based upon the evaluation.

We determine loss ratios for installment and other consumer loans (other than boat loans), boat loans and mortgage loans (commercial real estate, residential real estate and real estate construction) based upon a review of prior 18 months delinquency trends for the category, the three year loss ratio for the category, peer group loss ratios and industry standards.

With respect to commercial loans, management assigns a risk rating of one through eight to each loan at inception, with a risk rating of one having the least amount of risk and a risk rating of eight having the greatest amount of risk. For commercial loans of less than \$250,000, we may review the risk rating annually based on, among other things, the borrower's financial condition, cash flow and ongoing financial viability; the collateral securing the loan; the borrower's industry and payment history. We review the risk rating for all commercial loans in excess of \$250,000 at least annually. We evaluate loans with a risk rating of five or greater separately and assign loss amounts based upon the evaluation. For loans with risk ratings between one and four, we determine loss ratios based upon a review of prior 18 months delinquency trends, the three year loss ratio, peer group loss ratios and industry standards.

We also identify and make any necessary allocation adjustments for any specific concentrations of credit in a loan category that in management's estimation increase the risk inherent in the category. If necessary, we will also make an adjustment within one or more loan categories for economic considerations in our market area that may impact the quality of the loans in the category. For all periods presented, there were no specific adjustments made for concentrations of credit or economic considerations. We consider qualitative or environmental factors that are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with our existing portfolio to differ from historical loss experience.

These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, changes in the experience, ability and depth of lending management and the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in our existing portfolio.

In the event that our review of the adequacy of the allowance results in any unallocated amounts, we reallocate such amounts to our loan categories based on the percentage that each category represents to total gross loans. We have risk management practices designed to ensure timely identification of changes in loan risk profiles. However, undetected losses inherently exist within the portfolio. We believe that the allocation of the unallocated portion of the reserve in the manner described above is appropriate.

We will not create a separate valuation allowance unless we consider a loan impaired under SFAS No. 114 and SFAS No. 118. For all periods presented, we had no impaired loans.

Our policies require a review of assets on a regular basis, and we believe that we appropriately classify loans as well as other assets if warranted. We believe that we use the best information available to make a determination with respect to the allowance for loan losses, recognizing that the determination is inherently subjective and that future adjustments may be necessary depending upon, among other factors, a change in economic conditions of specific borrowers or generally in the economy, and new information that becomes available to us. However, there are no assurances that the allowance for loan losses will be sufficient to absorb losses on non-performing assets, or that the allowance will be sufficient to cover losses on non-performing assets in the future.

The allowance for loan losses represents 0.85% of total loans at December 31, 2006, and 0.91% at December 31, 2005 and 2004. We have no exposure to foreign countries or foreign borrowers. For seven years, we have had no non-performing loans and minimal past dues and charge-offs. Based on our analysis and the satisfactory historical performance of the loan portfolio, we believe this allowance appropriately reflects the inherent risk of loss in our portfolio.

The following table represents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

# Allowance for Loan Losses

Year Ended December 31,		2006		2005		2004
Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses	\$	954,706 339,000	\$ —	744,862 204,000	<b>\$</b>	547,690 220,000
Chargeoffs:						
Commercial		(15,772)		-		(20,599)
Mortgage		-		•		-
Installment		(2,685)		(135)	_	(18,408)
Total chargeoffs		(18,457)		(135)		(39,007)
Recoveries:						
Commercial		-		2,997		-
Mortgage		-		-		•
Installment		5,147		2,982		16,179
Total recoveries		5,147		5,979		16,179
Net (chargeoffs) recoveries		(13,310)		5,844		(22,828)
Balance, end of period	<u>\$</u>	1,280,396	<u>\$</u>	954,706	<u>\$</u>	744,862
Allowance for loan losses to gross loans		0.85%		0.91%		0.91%
Ratio of net-chargeoffs during period to average loans outstanding during period		0.011%		(0.006%)		0.033%

The following table provides a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses:

# Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

December 31,	200	6	2005	20	04
		% of Loans in Each	% of Loans in Each		% of Loans in Each
	Amount	Category	Amount Category	<u>Amount</u>	Category
Installment & others	\$ 8,939	0.45 %	<b>\$</b> 6,995 0.57	% \$ 7,120	0.72 %
Boat	169,093	14.29	148,045 21.22	148,411	25.35
Mortgage	869,101	61.55	483,245 60.21	401,585	60.27
Commercial	233,263	23.71	316,421 18.00	187,746	13.66
Total	\$ 1,280,396	100.00 %	\$ 954,706 100.00	% <u>\$ 744,862</u>	100.00 %

#### Non-interest Revenue

#### 2006 compared to 2005

Non-interest revenue totaled \$1.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$408,182 or 65.74% from the 2005 amount of \$620,862. Non-interest revenue for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 included fee income from service charges on deposit accounts, broker origination fees from the marine division, income from our investment in real estate, LLC (Pointer Ridge) and other fees and commissions.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, service charges on deposit accounts increased \$24,616, marine division broker origination fees increased \$282,069, and earnings on bank owned life insurance increased \$67,522. Service charges on deposit accounts increased due to increases in the number of customers and the services they used. The marine division's broker origination fees increased due to the increase in the number of transactions and the average dollar amount of the transactions that the division brokered. Earnings on bank owned life insurance increased because we purchased the investment in June 2005 and had a full year of earnings in 2006 versus a half year of earnings in 2005. Pointer Ridge began leasing its building to tenants in June 2006 and our interest in the earnings for the twelve month period increased \$56,342. Other fees and commissions decreased \$22,367 because we collected fewer loan fees on construction loans during the year.

Because of the new lenders we have hired and the new Bowie and Greenbelt (which we anticipate will open in the third quarter of 2007) branches, we expect that customer relationships will grow during 2007. We anticipate this growth will cause an increase in service charges on deposit accounts. We anticipate we will expand the marine division and it will continue to increase income from broker origination fees. We believe the demand in the commercial real estate market will remain stable and we will have an additional number of opportunities to provide financing of these facilities. Therefore, other loan fees which are included in other fees and commissions should remain constant. We expect our earnings on bank owned life insurance will increase in 2007 because we plan to purchase additional insurance during the year. We anticipate the income from Pointer Ridge will stabilize during the year and will produce break-even profitability. As a result, we expect our earnings in Pointer Ridge during 2007 will be nominal.

#### 2005 compared to 2004

Non-interest revenue totaled \$620,862 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$66,661 or 12.03% from the 2004 amount of \$554,201. Non-interest revenue for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 included primarily fee income from service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage origination fees from a third party processor, credit card fees, ATM fees, letter of credit fees, and other loan fees. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, non-interest revenue also included \$109,669 in broker origination fees from the marine division established in February 2005 and \$78,358 of earnings on the \$3.5 million investment in bank owned life insurance purchased in June 2005. The additions from the marine division and the bank owned life insurance were offset by an \$86,834 reduction in miscellaneous loan fees and letter of credit fees, and a \$10,365 reduction in mortgage origination fees. These reductions occurred because the dollar value of letters of credit that we issued decreased. We collected fewer miscellaneous fees on loans because we originated a lower number of construction loans and performed fewer inspections on properties under construction. Mortgage origination fees declined because of a rise in interest rates that caused a decrease in the refinancing of residential mortgages.

In the second quarter of 2005, we began classifying fees from advances on construction loans as part of interest income instead of non-interest revenue. In 2005, management determined that this revenue relates more to the use of funds than to commitments to make such funds available. We have also reclassified these fees for 2004. Some of our residential builders who have revolving lines of credit for home construction pay fees for us to provide advances under these revolving lines of credit. The amounts reclassified did not have a material effect on total interest revenue on loans or other non-interest revenue.

#### Non-interest Expense

#### 2006 compared to 2005

Non-interest expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 was \$5.6 million versus \$3.6 million for the same period in 2005. The \$2.0 million or 55.56% increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.2 million increase in salary and benefit expense, a \$297,041 increase in occupancy expense, an \$86,084 increase in equipment expense, a \$44,719 increase in data processing costs and a \$372,695 increase in other operating expenses.

Salary and benefit expenses increased because of general salary increases and because of the new individuals hired in the marine division, the College Park loan production office, the new staff for the Bowie branch, the new loan officer in Gaithersburg, the new business development officer and additions to corporate and branch staff. At March 1, 2007, we had 52 full time and 4 part time employees compared to 44 full time and 5 part time employees at March 1, 2006. The recognition of stock based compensation awards in our financial statements also increased benefit expenses approximately \$107,000.

Occupancy expense increased because of the new corporate headquarters, the addition of the new Bowie branch in July 2006, and the establishment of the College Park loan production office in August 2005. Data processing increased because of the new locations, new services provided by our data processor, and contractual increases. Other operating expenses increased because of a \$67,262 increase in business development and advertising costs, a \$41,518 increase in courier costs, an approximately \$45,000 increase in costs associated with the move to Bowie and opening of the Bowie branch and a \$40,380 increase in broker fees paid by the marine division to outside referral sources.

In 2007, we anticipate non-interest expense will continue to increase. In addition to the personnel increases discussed above, we will incur increased rent expense relating to our July 2006 move of our main office from Waldorf to Bowie, Maryland and the opening of our Bowie branch. In the third quarter, we anticipate we will open the new Greenbelt location that will also increase rent and operational expenses. We will have increased stock based compensation costs associated with awards granted in 2006 and 2007. We expect to somewhat offset the effect of these increases with increases in non-interest income that derives from the boat brokerage business and continued increases in interest income through loan growth.

#### 2005 compared to 2004

Non-interest expense was \$3.6 million in 2005, which was \$769,816 greater than the 2004 level of \$2.8 million. Salaries and benefit costs amounted to \$2.3 million in 2005, as compared to \$1.7 million in 2004. During the past eighteen months, we increased staff to support our growth. In March 2004, we hired a new credit officer, in September 2004 a new branch manager, in February 2005 a new loan officer for the marine division, a new originator in the marine division in April 2005 and one in June 2005, and we hired three new loan officers for the College Park loan production office in August 2005. These items in addition to annual salary and bonus increases caused the increase in salaries and benefits.

Occupancy expenses increased \$33,352 or 16.46% in 2005. This increase was because of the establishment of the College Park loan production office in August 2005 and annual escalation clauses in existing leases.

Other operating expenses increased \$132,704 or 19.07% from \$695,904 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$828,608 for the year ended December 31, 2005. This was primarily the result of the costs associated with the establishment of the College Park office and business development efforts of the new lenders as well as the marine division. Director fees also increased \$14,341 during the year as a result of the increase in the fee paid per meeting and an increase in the number of meetings. These increases were offset by a \$37,946 reduction in robbery, organizational and legal expenses and branch security costs. In June 2004, we experienced a robbery loss and completed installation of new security devices in all of our branches. These devices reduced security expenses and reduced the risk of robbery.

#### Income Taxes

# 2006 Compared to 2005

Income tax expense was \$848,196 (35.02% of pre-tax income) for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$577,651 (33.65% of pre-tax income) for the same period in 2005. The increase in the effective tax rate is primarily due to the recognition of the stock based compensation expense of approximately \$107,000 which is a non-deductible expense offset by an increase in interest income from tax exempt investments.

#### 2005 Compared to 2004

Income tax expense was \$577,651 (33.65% of pre-tax income) for 2005 as compared to \$438,203 (34.92% of pre-tax income) for 2004.

#### Net Income

#### 2006 Compared to 2005 .

Net income was \$1.6 million or \$0.37 basic and diluted earning per common share for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2006, an increase of \$434,912 or 38.19% compared to net income of \$1.1 million or \$0.44 basic and diluted earnings per common share for the same period in 2005. The increase in net income was the result of a \$2.3 million increase in net interest income after provision for loan losses and a \$408,182 increase in non-interest revenue. A \$2.0 million increase in non-interest expense and a \$270,545 increase in income tax expense for the period compared to the same period in 2005 offset the increase in income. Earnings per share decreased on basic and diluted basis because of the increase in the number of average shares outstanding that derived from the public offering in October 2005.

# 2005 Compared to 2004

Net income was \$1,138,939 or \$.44 basic and diluted earnings per common share for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$322,298 or 39.47%, compared to net income of \$816,641 for the same period during 2004. The increase in net income was the result of increases in net interest income of \$1.2 million and non-interest revenue of \$66,661, and a decrease in the provision for loan losses of \$16,000. These changes were offset by an increase in non-interest expense of \$769,816 and income taxes of \$139,448.

#### Analysis of Financial Condition

# Investment Securities

Our portfolio consists primarily of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities, securities issued by states, counties and municipalities, mortgage-backed securities, and certain equity securities, including Federal Reserve Bank stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, Maryland Financial Bank stock and Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock. The portfolio provides a source of liquidity, collateral for repurchase agreements as well as a means of diversifying our earning asset portfolio. While we generally intend to hold the investment portfolio assets until maturity, we classify a significant portion of the portfolio as available for sale. We account for securities so classified at fair value and report the unrealized appreciation and depreciation as a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of income tax effects. We account for securities classified in the held to maturity category at amortized cost. We invest in securities for the yield they produce and not to profit from trading the securities. There are no trading securities in the portfolio.

The investment portfolio at December 31, 2006 amounted to \$16.9 million, an increase of \$791,482 million, or 4.91%, from the December 31, 2005 amount of \$16.1 million. Available for sale investment securities increased to \$14.1 million at December 31, 2006 from \$13.9 million at December 31, 2005. The increase in the available for sale investment portfolio occurred because some of these assets matured and we purchased new securities. Held to maturity securities increased to \$2.8 million on December 31, 2006 as compared to \$2.2 million

on December 31, 2005. The carrying value of available for sale securities included net unrealized losses of \$280,092 at December 31, 2006 (reflected as unrealized losses of \$171,921 in stockholders' equity after deferred taxes) as compared to net unrealized losses of \$414,777 (\$254,590 net of taxes) as of December 31, 2005. In general, the decrease in unrealized losses was a result of the maturity of securities and a shortening of the remaining term until maturity. As required under SFAS No. 115, we have evaluated securities with unrealized losses for an extended period of time and determined that these losses are temporary because we expect to hold them until maturity. As the maturity date moves closer and/or interest rates decline, the unrealized losses in the portfolio will decline or dissipate.

The investment portfolio at December 31, 2005 amounted to \$16.1 million, a decrease of \$1.7 million, or 9.55%, from the December 31, 2004 amount of \$17.8 million. Available for sale investment securities decreased to \$13.9 million at December 31, 2005 from \$15.6 million at December 31, 2004. The decrease in the available for sale investment portfolio occurred because some of these assets matured or were called and we deployed the proceeds into loans and federal funds for future loan funding. Held to maturity securities remained the same as reported on December 31, 2004 at \$2.2 million on December 31, 2005. The carrying value of available for sale securities included net unrealized losses of \$414,777 at December 31, 2005 (reflected as unrealized losses of \$254,590 in stockholders' equity after deferred taxes) as compared to net unrealized losses of \$141,229 (\$90,386 net of taxes) as of December 31, 2004. In general, the increase in unrealized losses was a result of rising interest rates.

The following table sets forth a summary of the investment securities portfolio as of the periods indicated. Available for sale securities are reported at estimated fair value; held to maturity securities are reported at amortized cost.

# Investment Securities (Dollars in thousands)

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Available For Sale Securities			
U.S. Treasury	\$ 1,962	\$ . 1,945	\$ 1,980
U.S. Government agency	7,839		7,778
Tax exempt state, county and municipal	2,889	3,092	3,322
Mortgage-backed	1,429	1,741	2,532
Total Available for Sale Securities	\$ 14,119	\$ 13,926	\$ 15,612
Held To Maturity Securities			
U.S. Treasury	\$ 2,001	\$ 2,002	\$ 2,003
U.S. government agency	500	-	-
Tax exempt state, county, and municipal	301	201	201
Total Held to Maturity Securities	\$ 2,802	\$ 2,203	\$ 2,204
Equity Securities	\$ 1,576	\$ 1,103	\$ 1,080

The following table shows the maturities for the securities portfolio at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

## Amortized Cost, Carrying Value and Average Yield

		Ava	le for Sale	Held to Maturity						
		Amortized		Market	Average		Amortized		Market	Average
December 31, 2006		Cost		Value	Yield		Cost		Value	Yield
Maturing										
3 months or less	\$	499,882	\$	499,062	2.48%	\$	-	\$	•	
Over 3 months through 1 year		2,442,791		2,406,916	3.14%		500,000		500,530	5.65%
Over one to five years		10,756,631		10,523,594	3.75%		2,101,138		2,052,154	3.40%
Over five to ten years		699,437		689,077	3.30%		-		-	
Over ten years							201,251		193,988	4.91%
	\$	14,398,741	\$	14,118,649		\$	2,802,389	\$	2,746,672	
Pledged Securities	<u>\$</u>	8,709,125	<u>s</u>	8,502,696		<u>\$</u>	2,001,350	<u>\$</u>	1,952,344	
		Ava	ilab	le for Sale			He	ld to	Maturity	
		Amortized		Market	Average		Amortized		Market	Average
December 31, 2005		Cost		Value	Yield		Cost		Value	Yield
Maturing										
3 months or less	\$	-	\$	. •		\$	-	\$	-	
Over 3 months through 1 year		2,117,990		2,078,615	2.98%		-		-	
Over one to five years		11,191,747		10,834,907	3.28%		2,002,061		1,948,047	3.40%
Over five to ten years		1,031,151		1,012,589	3.41%		•		•	
Over ten years							201,384		197,596	4.91%
	<u>\$</u>	14,340,888	\$	13,926,111		<u>s</u>	2,203,445	\$	2,145,643	
Pledged Securities										

Contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities are not reliable indicators of their expected life because mortgage borrowers have the right to prepay mortgages at any time. Additionally, the issuer may call the callable agency securities listed above prior to the contractual maturity.

#### Investment in real estate, LLC

As discussed above, Old Line Bancshares also has a \$793,714 investment in Pointer Ridge, a real estate investment limited liability company. In December 2006, Pointer Ridge returned \$100,000 in paid in capital to Old Line Bancshares. Old Line Bancshares continues to own 50% of Pointer Ridge.

In connection with our execution of a guarantee for a construction loan made to Pointer Ridge by an unrelated bank, in November 2005 we reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge and determined that under FASB Interpretation No. 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN46R"), Pointer Ridge was a variable interest entity, but that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary. Because we concluded that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary of Pointer Ridge under FIN46R, we did not consolidate Pointer Ridge's

results and financial position with that of Old Line Bancshares. Rather, we accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method.

At the suggestion of our auditors and the direction of our audit committee, in May 2006, we requested guidance from the SEC regarding FIN46R and our investment in Pointer Ridge. After discussions with the SEC, we reconsidered our original conclusions regarding our investment in Pointer Ridge. We again concluded that Pointer Ridge was a variable interest entity under FIN46R. We also concluded that our determination in November 2005 that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary was incorrect. Therefore, we consolidated the results and financial position of Pointer Ridge with Old Line Bancshares for the period ended June 30, 2006. We did not restate our financial statements for the periods ended December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 to reflect these changes since the impact is immaterial.

On August 25, 2006, as discussed further below, we executed a new "Guaranty Agreement" with a new lender that was effective upon Pointer Ridge's execution of an Amended Promissory Note and Amended Deed of Trust, as described immediately below. As required under FIN46R, we once again reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge. Because the new Guaranty Agreement definitively limits Old Line's guaranty and the variability caused by previous contracts executed by Pointer Ridge ceases to exist, we have determined that Pointer Ridge is no longer a variable interest entity and, therefore, we have accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method. However, even if we had continued to consolidate Pointer Ridge's results and financial position, the effect of the consolidation on our financial statements would be immaterial.

On September 25, 2006, Pointer Ridge advised us that on August 25, 2006, Pointer Ridge had entered into, with an unrelated lender, (1) an Amended and Restated Promissory Note that increased the principal amount of the current Deed of Trust Note dated November 3, 2005 from \$5,880,000 to \$6,620,000 (the "Amended Promissory Note") and (2) an Amended and Restated Deed of Trust and Security Agreement that amended and restated the current Deed of Trust Assignment and Security Agreement dated November 3, 2005 (the "Amended Deed of Trust") for that purpose and to reflect the other modifications, terms and conditions agreed upon by Pointer Ridge and the lender.

The Amended Promissory Note provides that the loan will accrue interest from the date of the Amended Promissory Note through September 5, 2016 at a rate of 6.28% ("Initial Term Interest Rate"). After September 5, 2016, the interest rate will adjust to the greater of (i) the Initial Term Interest Rate plus 200 basis points or (ii) the Treasury Rate (as defined in the Amended Promissory Note) plus 200 basis points.

Payments on the Amended Promissory Note began October 5, 2006. For the first 12 months, Pointer Ridge will pay to the lender an installment of interest only. Commencing with the 13<sup>th</sup> payment and continuing until August 5, 2036, Pointer Ridge will pay equal monthly payments of principal and interest based on a 30-year amortization. There is a prepayment penalty if Pointer Ridge prepays the loan prior to September 5, 2016. At December 31, 2006, Pointer Ridge had borrowed \$6.6 million under the Amended Promissory Note.

On August 25, 2006, Old Line executed a new Guaranty Agreement with the lender that was effective upon Pointer Ridge's execution of the Amended Promissory Note and Amended Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the terms of the guaranty, Old Line has guaranteed the payment to the lender of up to 50% of the loan amount plus any costs incurred by the lender resulting from any acts, omissions or alleged acts or omissions arising out of or relating to: (1) the misapplication or misappropriation by Pointer Ridge of any or all money collected, paid or received; (2) rents, issues, profits and revenues of all or any portion of the property located at 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland (the "Security Property") received or applicable to a period after the occurrence of any Event of Default which are not applied to pay, first (a) real estate taxes and other charges which, if unpaid, could result in liens superior to that of the Amended Deed of Trust and (b) premiums on insurance policies required under the loan documents, and, second, the other ordinary and necessary expenses of owning and operating the Security Property; (3) waste committed on the Security Property or damage to the Security Property as a result of intentional misconduct or gross negligence or the removal of all or any portion of the Security Property in violation of the terms of the loan documents; (4) fraud or material misrepresentation or failure to disclose a material fact; (5) the filing of any petition for bankruptcy; or (6) Pointer Ridge's failure to maintain its status as a single purpose entity as required by the loan documents.

#### Loan Portfolio

Loans secured by real estate or luxury boats comprise the majority of the loan portfolio. Old Line Bank's loan customers are generally located in the greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan area.

The loan portfolio, net of allowance, unearned fees and origination costs, increased \$46.2 million or 44.34% to \$150.4 million at December 31, 2006 from \$104.2 million at December 31, 2005. Commercial business loans increased by \$17.0 million (89.95%), commercial real estate loans (generally owner-occupied) increased by \$25.0 million (51.55%), residential real estate loans (generally home equity and fixed rate home improvement loans) increased by \$1.6 million (16.33%), real estate construction loans increased by \$3.5 million (72.92%) and installment loans decreased by \$512,000 (2.24%) from their respective balances at December 31, 2005.

The loan portfolio, net of allowance, unearned fees and origination costs increased \$22.7 million or 27.85% to \$104.2 million at December 31, 2005 from \$81.5 million at December 31, 2004. Commercial business loans increased by \$7.7 million (68.75%), commercial real estate loans (generally owner-occupied) increased by \$14.2 million (41.4%), residential real estate loans (generally home equity and fixed rate home improvement loans) increased by \$1.3 million (15.29%), real estate construction loans decreased by \$1.8 million (27.27%) and installment loans increased by \$1.4 million (6.54%) from their respective balances at December 31, 2004.

During the fourth quarter of 2005 and all of 2006, we saw loan and deposit growth generated from the team of lenders we hired for the College Park loan production office in August 2005, as well as our existing staff. We anticipate our entire team of lenders will continue to focus their efforts on business development during 2007 and continue to grow the loan portfolio. The October 2005 capital offering increased our legal lending limit to \$4.2 million effective January 2006. At December 31, 2006 it was \$4.7 million. We expect that this increased limit will continue to allow us to originate and retain loans with a higher dollar value.

The following table summarizes the composition of the loan portfolio by dollar amount and percentages:

Loan Portfolio
(Dollars in thousands)

December 31,	2006			2005				2004				
Poul Patra						,						
Real Estate												
Commercial	\$	73,511	48.54	%	\$	48,530	46.29	%	\$	34,300	41.86	%
Construction		8,321	5.49			4,823	4.60			6,551	8.00	
Residential		11,391	7.52			9,767	9.32			8,530	10.41	
Commercial		35,914	23.71			18,871	18.00			11,190	13.66	
Installment		22,330	14.74			22,842	21.79		_	21,356	26.07	
	\$	151,467	100.00	%	\$	104,833	100.00	%	\$	81,927	100.00	%
Allowance for loan losses		(1,280)				(955)				(745)		
Net deferred loan												
(fees) and costs	_	230				371			_	323		
	\$	150,417			<u>\$</u>	104,249			<u>\$</u>	81,505		

The following table presents the maturities or repricing periods of selected loans outstanding at December 31, 2006:

	Loan Maturity Distribution at December 31, 2006											
	1	year or less		1-5 years	Α	fter 5 years		Total				
				(Dollars in t								
Real Estate												
Commercial	\$	15,033,666	\$	54,907,463	\$	3,569,842	\$	73,510,971				
Construction		7,052,718		987,105		280,966		8,320,789				
Residential		9,041,321		1,909,508		440,306		11,391,135				
Commercial		20,224,945		15,041,758		647,680		35,914,383				
Installment		1,010,683		281,728		21,037,955	_	22,330,366				
Total Loans	<u>\$</u> .	52,363,333	\$	73,127,562	\$	25,976,749	\$	151,467,644				
Fixed Rates		17,016,860		50,928,022		21,900,896		89,845,778				
Variable Rates		35,346,473		22,199,540		4,075,853		61,621,866				
Total Loans	\$	52,363,333	\$	73,127,562	\$	25,976,749	\$	151,467,644				

## Asset Quality

Management performs reviews of all delinquent loans and relationship officers are charged with working with customers to resolve potential credit issues in a timely manner. Management generally classifies loans as non-accrual when collection of full principal and interest under the original terms of the loan is not expected or payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due. Classifying a loan as non-accrual results in our no longer accruing interest on such loan and reversing any interest previously accrued but not collected. We will generally restore a non-accrual loan to accrual status when delinquent principal and interest payments are brought current and we expect to collect future monthly principal and interest payments. We recognize interest on non-accrual loans only when received. There were no non-accrual loans as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. No loans were 90 days or more past due as of December 31, 2006, 2005 or 2004.

We classify any property acquired as a result of foreclosure on a mortgage loan as "real estate owned" and record it at the lower of the unpaid principal balance or fair value at the date of acquisition and subsequently carry the loan at the lower of cost or net realizable value. We charge any required write-down of the loan to its net realizable value against the allowance for loan losses at the time of foreclosure. We charge to expense any subsequent adjustments to net realizable value. Upon foreclosure, Old Line Bank generally requires an appraisal of the property and, thereafter, appraisals of the property on at least an annual basis and external inspections on at least a quarterly basis. As of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we held no real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure.

We apply the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 114 ("SFAS No. 114"), Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 118 ("SFAS No. 118"), Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan-Income Recognition and Disclosure. SFAS No. 114 and SFAS No. 118 require that impaired loans, which consist of all modified loans and other loans for which collection of all contractual principal and interest is not probable, be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance and corresponding provision for credit losses. Old Line Bank considers consumer loans as homogenous loans and thus does not apply the SFAS No. 114 impairment test to these loans. We write off impaired loans when collection of the loan is doubtful.

As of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we had no impaired or restructured loans.

# Bank owned life insurance

In June 2005, we purchased \$3.3 million of "Bank Owned Life Insurance" ("BOLI") on the lives of our executive officers, Messrs. Cornelsen and Burnett and Ms. Rush. We anticipate funding our obligations under our Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Life Insurance Agreements that we entered into with our executives from earnings derived from the BOLI. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, the cash surrender value of the insurance policies increased \$133,405. In 2007, we plan to invest additional funds in bank owned life insurance and insure the lives of several key officers of Old Line Bank.

On January 3, 2006, Old Line Bank entered into Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Life Insurance Agreements, and started accruing for a related annual expense, with Mr. Cornelsen, Mr. Burnett and Ms. Rush. Under these agreements, and in accordance with the conditions specified therein, benefits accrue over time from the date of the agreement until the executive reaches the age of 65. Upon full vesting of the benefit, the executives will be paid the following annual amounts for 15 years: Mr. Cornelsen — \$131,607; Mr. Burnett — \$23,177; and Ms. Rush — \$56,658. Under the Supplemental Life Insurance Agreements, Old Line Bank is obligated to cause the payment of death benefits to the executives' designated beneficiaries in the following amounts: Mr. Cornelsen— \$668,327; Mr. Burnett — \$396,161 and Ms. Rush — \$813,365. Old Line Bank has funded these obligations through the BOLI outlined above.

# Deposits

We seek deposits within our market area by paying competitive interest rates, offering high quality customer service and using technology to deliver deposit services effectively.

At December 31, 2006, the deposit portfolio had grown to \$169.7 million, a \$50.0 million or 41.77% increase over the December 31, 2005 level of \$119.7 million. We have seen growth in several key categories over the period. Non-interest bearing deposits grew \$7.6 million during the period to \$38.0 million from \$30.4 million due to new and expanded commercial relationships. Interest-bearing deposits grew \$42.4 million to \$131.7 million from \$89.3 million. The majority of the growth in interest-bearing deposits was in other time deposits (primarily, certificates of deposit), which increased from \$55.8 million at December 31, 2005 to \$94.8 million at December 31, 2006. Certificates of deposits grew due to increased customer relationships, and the introduction of the CDARS product in February 2006 which totaled \$15.8 million at December 31, 2006. We also acquired \$10.1 million of brokered certificates of deposit in October 2006. Money market and NOW accounts increased from \$25.3 million at December 31, 2005 to \$25.6 million at December 31, 2006 while savings accounts increased by \$3.0 million to \$11.2 million at December 31, 2006 from \$8.2 million at December 31, 2005. Deposits in all categories increased because of the opening of the Bowie branch in July 2006 and the successful business development efforts of our branch staff, lending personnel and Board of Directors.

At December 31, 2005, the deposit portfolio had grown to \$119.7 million, a \$30.7 million or 34.49% increase over the December 31, 2004 level of \$89.0 million. We have seen growth in several key categories over the period. Non-interest bearing deposits grew \$5.0 million during the period to \$30.4 million from \$25.4 million due to new and expanded commercial relationships. Interest-bearing deposits grew \$25.8 million to \$89.3 million from \$63.5 million. Most of the growth in interest-bearing deposits was in other time deposits, which increased from \$36.4 million at December 31, 2004 to \$55.8 million at December 31, 2005. Other time deposits grew due to increased customer relationships and a promotional certificate of deposit campaign in January 2005. Money market and NOW accounts grew \$8.8 million from \$16.5 million at December 31, 2004 to \$25.3 million at December 31, 2005 while savings accounts declined by \$2.5 million to \$8.2 million at December 31, 2005 from \$10.7 million at December 31, 2004. The money market and NOW accounts grew because of the transfer of funds from savings accounts to money market and NOW accounts as well as because of increased customer relationships.

Historically, we have not purchased brokered deposits. In the first quarter of 2006, we began acquiring brokered certificate of deposits through the Promontory Interfinancial Network. Through this deposit matching network and its certificate of deposit account registry service (CDARS), we obtained the ability to offer our customers access to FDIC-insured deposit products in aggregate amounts exceeding current insurance limits. When we place funds through CDARS on behalf of a customer, we receive matching deposits through the network. As a result of this service, we expect that we will have brokered deposits in 2006.

The following is a summary of the maturity distribution of certificates of deposit as of December 31, 2006.

# Certificate of Deposit Maturity Distribution December 31, 2006

			Thr	ee Months		·-·				
	Thre	ee Months or Less		to Fwelve Months		Over Twelve Months		Total		
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Certificates of deposit										
Less than \$100,000	\$	7,738	\$	39,991	\$	15,306	\$	63,035		
Greater than or equal to \$100,000		745		22,377		8,677		31,799		
Total	\$	8,483	\$	62,368	\$	23,983	\$	94,834		

# Borrowings

Old Line Bank has available lines of credit, including overnight federal funds and repurchase agreements from its correspondent banks totaling \$22.8 million as of December 31, 2006. Old Line Bank has an additional secured line of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (FHLB) that totaled \$53.8 million at December 31, 2006 and \$56.3 million at December 31, 2005. Of this, we had borrowed \$5 million at December 31, 2006 and \$6 million as of December 31, 2005.

Short-term borrowings consisted of short-term promissory notes issued to Old Line Bank's customers, federal funds purchased and advances from the FHLB. In 2004, Old Line Bank developed an enhancement to the basic non-interest bearing demand deposit account for its commercial clients. This service electronically sweeps excess funds from the customer's account into an interest bearing Master Note with Old Line Bank. These Master Notes re-price daily and have maturities of 270 days or less. At December 31, 2006, Old Line Bank had \$7.2 million outstanding in these short term promissory notes with an average interest rate of 3.46%. At December 31, 2005, Old Line Bank had \$9.3 million outstanding with an average interest rate of 2.02%. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, Old Line Bank did not have any borrowings in overnight federal funds with the FHLB. On December 31, 2006, Old Line Bank had \$2 million outstanding in short term advances from the FHLB. On July 16, 2006, Old Line Bank borrowed \$2 million from the FHLB at an interest rate of 5.65% monthly. The balance is due in full on January 16, 2007.

At December 31, 2006, long term borrowings were one advance from the FHLB. On July 20, 2006, Old Line Bank borrowed \$3.0 million and pays interest at 5.328% each January, April, July and October. The balance is due in full on July 20, 2009. The FHLB has the one-time option to terminate the transaction and require payment in full on July 20, 2007. There is a prepayment penalty for early prepayment on this facility.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, long term borrowings were comprised of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta totaling \$5 million and \$6 million, respectively. Old Line Bank borrowed \$4.0 million of the \$6.0 million in January 2001, paid interest only at 4.80%, with total repayment of the \$4.0 million due in January 2011. Interest was payable January 3, April 3, July 3, and October 3 of each year. Effective January 3, 2002 and any payment date thereafter, the FHLB had the option to convert the interest rate into a three (3) month LIBOR based floating rate.

In March 2004, Old Line Bank borrowed an additional \$2 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank. Old Line Bank paid interest only, at 1.79% with total repayment of the \$2.0 million due in March 2009. Interest was payable March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17, of each year. Effective March 16, 2006 and any payment date thereafter, the FHLB had the option to convert the interest rate into a three (3) month LIBOR based floating rate.

In March 2006, the FHLB increased the interest rate on the \$2 million borrowing and offered Old Line Bank the option to prepay the facility. Old Line Bank paid the \$2 million borrowing. In July 2006, the FHLB increased the interest rate on the \$4 million advance and offered Old Line Bank the option to prepay the facility. Old Line Bank repaid the advance in full on July 3, 2006. There were no penalties associated with these prepayments.

#### Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis and Interest Rate Risk Management

A principal objective of Old Line Bank's asset/liability management policy is to minimize exposure to changes in interest rates by an ongoing review of the maturity and re-pricing of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The Asset and Liability Committee of the Board of Directors oversees this review.

The Asset and Liability Committee establishes policies to control interest rate sensitivity. Interest rate sensitivity is the volatility of a bank's earnings resulting from movements in market interest rates. Management monitors rate sensitivity in order to reduce vulnerability to interest rate fluctuations while maintaining adequate capital levels and acceptable levels of liquidity. Monthly financial reports supply management with information to evaluate and manage rate sensitivity and adherence to policy. Old Line Bank's asset/liability policy's goal is to manage assets and liabilities in a manner that stabilizes net interest income and net economic value within a broad range of interest rate environments. Adjustments to the mix of assets and liabilities are made periodically in an effort to achieve dependable, steady growth in net interest income regardless of the behavior of interest rates in general.

As part of the interest rate risk sensitivity analysis, the Asset and Liability Committee examines the extent to which Old Line Bank's assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive and monitors the interest rate sensitivity gap. An interest rate sensitive asset or liability is one that, within a defined time period, either matures or experiences an interest rate change in line with general market rates. The interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities scheduled to mature or re-price within such time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the interest rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income. If re-pricing of assets and liabilities were equally flexible and moved concurrently, the impact of any increase or decrease in interest rates on net interest income would be minimal.

Old Line Bank currently has a negative gap over the short term, which suggests that the net yield on interest earning assets may decrease during periods of rising interest rates. However, a simple interest rate "gap" analysis by itself may not be an accurate indicator of how changes in interest rates will affect net interest income. Changes in interest rates may not uniformly affect income associated with interest-earning assets and costs associated with interest-bearing liabilities. In addition, the magnitude and duration of changes in interest rates may have a significant impact on net interest income. Although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of re-pricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities fluctuate in advance of changes in general market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in general market rates. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels also could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the interest-rate gap. The ability of many borrowers to service their debts also may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.

The table below presents Old Line Bank's interest rate sensitivity at December 31, 2006. Because certain categories of securities and loans are prepaid before their maturity date even without regard to interest rate fluctuations, we have made certain assumptions to calculate the expected maturity of securities and loans.

	Interest Sensitivity Analysis											
•				De	cen	nber 31, 2	006					
				Mat	urii	ig or Repr	icin	g				
		Within		4-12		1-5		Over				
	_3	Months	ľ	Months		Years		Years		Total		
			(Dollars in thousands)									
Interest Earning Assets:												
Investment securities	\$	999	\$	4,508	\$	10,524	\$	890	\$	16,921		
Loans		44,301		8,062		73,128		25,977		151,468		
Federal funds sold		34,508				-	_			34,508		
Total interest earning assets		79,808		12,570	_	83,652		26,867	_	202,897		
Interest Bearing Liabilities:												
Interest-bearing transaction deposits		15,382		10,255		-		-		25,637		
Savings accounts		3,746		3,746		3,745				11,237		
Time deposits		8,483	_	62,368		23,983		•		94,834		
Total interest-bearing deposits		27,611	_	76,369		27,728			_	131,708		
FHLB Advances		2,000		-		3,000		•		5,000		
Other borrowings		7,193							_	7,193		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	_	36,804		76,369	_	30,728		-		143,901		
Period Gap	<u>\$</u>	43,004	\$	(63,799)	\$	52,924	\$	26,867	<u>\$</u>	58,996		
Cumulative Gap	<u>\$</u>	43,004	<u>\$</u>	(20,795)	<u>\$</u>	32,129	\$	58,996				
Cumulative Gap/Total Assets		19.71%		(9.53%)		14.73%		27.05%				

#### Liquidity

Our overall asset/liability strategy takes into account our need to maintain adequate liquidity to fund asset growth and deposit runoff. Our management monitors the liquidity position daily in conjunction with Federal Reserve guidelines. We have credit lines unsecured and secured available from several correspondent banks totaling \$22.8 million. Additionally, we may borrow funds from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta. We can use these credit facilities in conjunction with the normal deposit strategies, which include pricing changes to increase deposits as necessary. We can also sell or pledge available for sale investment securities to create additional liquidity. From time to time we may sell or participate out loans to create additional liquidity as required. Additional sources of liquidity include funds held in time deposits and cash from the investment and loan portfolios.

Our immediate sources of liquidity are cash and due from banks and federal funds sold. As of December 31, 2006, we had \$5.1 million in cash and due from banks, and \$34.5 million in federal funds sold and other overnight investments. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had \$4.4 million and \$4.1 million in cash and due from banks, and \$35.6 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, in federal funds sold and other overnight

investments. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, our investment in federal funds was significantly higher than prior periods because of the \$19.2 million in net proceeds received from the capital offering in October 2005. As we continue to deploy these proceeds into loans, we anticipate these balances will decline.

Old Line Bank has sufficient liquidity to meet its loan commitments as well as fluctuations in deposits. We usually retain maturing certificates of deposit as we offer competitive rates on certificates of deposit. Management is not aware of any demands, trends, commitments, or events that would result in Old Line Bank's inability to meet anticipated or unexpected liquidity needs.

# Capital

Our stockholders' equity amounted to \$34.8 million at December 31, 2006, \$33.5 million at December 31, 2005, and \$13.5 million at December 31, 2004. We are considered "well capitalized" under the risk-based capital guidelines adopted by the Federal Reserve.

The following table shows Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s regulatory capital ratios and the minimum capital ratios currently required by its banking regulator to be "well capitalized." For a discussion of these capital requirements, see "Supervision and Regulation – Capital Adequacy Guidelines."

# Risk Based Capital Analysis

(Dollars in thousands)

December 31,	2006	2005	2004	
Tier I Capital				
Common stock	\$ 43	\$ 42	\$ 18	
Additional paid-in capital	31,868	31,736	12,446	
Retained earnings	3,077	1,991	1,121	
Less: disallowed assets		<u> </u>		
Total Tier 1 Capital	\$ 34,988	\$ 33,769	\$ 13,585	
Tier 2 Capital:				
Allowance for loan losses	1,280	955	745	
Total Risk Based Capital	\$ 36,268	\$ 34,724	\$ 14,330	
Risk weighted assets	\$ 170,809	\$ 122,829	<u>\$ 88,131</u>	
				Regulatory Minimum
Capital Ratios:				
Tier 1 risk based capital ratio	20.5%	27.5%	15.4%	4.00%
Total risk based capital ratio	21.2%	28.3%	16.3%	8.00%
Leverage ratio	17.5%	21.5%	12.7%	4.00%

# Return on Average Assets and Average Equity

The ratio of net income to average equity and average assets and certain other ratios are as follows:

	December 31,								
	2006	2005	2004						
	(Do	llars in thousands)							
Average total assets	<u>\$182,583</u>	<u>\$134,666</u>	<u>\$99,325</u>						
Average equity	<u>34,378</u>	<u>17,855</u>	13,031						
Net income	<u>1,574</u>	<u>1,139</u>	<u>817</u>						
Cash dividends declared	489	<u>. 267</u>	213						
Divided payout ratio for period	<u>31.06%</u>	<u>23.46%</u>	<u>26.09%</u>						
Return on average assets	<u>0.86%</u>	_0.85%	0.82%						
Return on average equity	<u>4.58%</u>	6.38%	<u>6.27%</u>						
Average stockholders' equity to	10 020/	12 240/	13.12%						
average total assets	<u>18.83%</u>	<u>13.26%</u>	13.1470						

# Contractual Obligations, Commitments, Contingent Liabilities, and Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business. These financial instruments primarily include commitments to extend credit, lines of credit and standby letters of credit. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. uses these financial instruments to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, and liquidity risk. These do not represent unusual risks and management does not anticipate any losses which would have a material effect on Old Line Bancshares, Inc. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. also has operating lease obligations.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s guaranty obligation made in connection with Pointer Ridge's construction loan also creates off-balance sheet risk, as further described below.

Outstanding loan commitments and lines and letters of credit at December 31 of 2006, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

December 31,		2004				
		. (D	ollars	in thousand	ls)	
Commitments to extend credit and available credit lines:						
Commercial	\$	13,095	\$	5,225	\$	2,896
Real estate-undisbursed development and construction		27,295		13,921		7,419
Real estate-undisbursed home equity lines of credit		4,525		4,886		3,426
	<u>\$</u>	44,915	<u>\$</u>	24,032	<u>\$</u>	13,741
Standby letters of credit	<u>\$</u>	1,515	<u>\$</u>	1,807	<u>\$</u>	1,307

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. generally requires collateral to support financial instruments with credit risk on the same basis as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The collateral is based on management's credit evaluation of the counter party. Commitments generally have interest rates fixed at current market rates, expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Available credit lines represent the unused portion of lines of credit previously extended and available to the customer so long as there is no violation of any contractual condition. These lines generally have variable interest rates. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, and since it is unlikely that customers will draw upon their lines of credit in full at any time, the total commitment amount or line of credit amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's credit-worthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. We are not aware of any loss that we would incur by funding our commitments or lines of credit.

Commitments for real estate development and construction, which totaled \$27.3 million, or 60.80% of the \$44.9 million, are generally short-term and turn over rapidly, satisfying cash requirements with principal repayments and from sales of the properties financed.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the customer is the contract amount of the commitment. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

In general, loan commitments, credit lines and letters of credit are made on the same terms, including with respect to collateral, as outstanding loans. We evaluate each customer's credit-worthiness and the collateral required on a case-by-case basis.

As indicated above, on August 25, 2006, Pointer Ridge, entered into a loan agreement with an unrelated bank, pursuant to which the bank agreed to make a mortgage loan to Pointer Ridge in a principal amount of \$6.6 million to finance the commercial office building at 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland. We lease approximately half of this building for our main office and operate a branch of Old Line Bank from this address. Old Line Bancshares, Inc. has a 50% ownership in Pointer Ridge and we record this investment on the equity method.

The Amended Promissory Note provides that the loan will accrue interest from the date of the Amended Promissory Note through September 5, 2016 at a rate of 6.28% ("Initial Term Interest Rate"). After September 5, 2016, the interest rate will adjust to the greater of (i) the Initial Term Interest Rate plus 200 basis points or (ii) the Treasury Rate (as defined in the Amended Promissory Note) plus 200 basis points.

Payments on the Amended Promissory Note began October 5, 2006. For the first 12 months, Pointer Ridge will pay to the lender an installment of interest only. Commencing with the 13<sup>th</sup> payment and continuing until August 5, 2036, Pointer Ridge will pay equal monthly payments of principal and interest based on a 30-year amortization. There is a prepayment penalty if Pointer Ridge prepays the loan prior to September 5, 2016. At December 31, 2006, Pointer Ridge had borrowed \$6.6 million under the Amended Promissory Note.

On August 25, 2006, Old Line executed a new Guaranty Agreement with the lender that was effective upon Pointer Ridge's execution of the Amended Promissory Note and Amended Deed of Trust. Pursuant to the terms of the guaranty, Old Line has guaranteed the payment to the lender of up to 50% of the loan amount plus any costs incurred by the lender resulting from any acts, omissions or alleged acts or omissions arising out of or relating to: (1) the misapplication or misappropriation by Pointer Ridge of any or all money collected, paid or received; (2) rents, issues, profits and revenues of all or any portion of the property located at 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland (the "Security Property") received or applicable to a period after the occurrence of any Event of Default which are not applied to pay, first (a) real estate taxes and other charges which, if unpaid, could result in liens superior to that of the Amended Deed of Trust and (b) premiums on insurance policies required under the loan documents, and, second, the other ordinary and necessary expenses of owning and operating the Security Property; (3) waste committed on the Security Property or damage to the Security Property as a result of intentional misconduct or gross negligence or the removal of all or any portion of the Security Property in violation of the terms of the loan documents; (4) fraud or material misrepresentation or failure to disclose a material fact; (5) the filing of any petition for bankruptcy; or (6) Pointer Ridge's failure to maintain its status as a single purpose entity as required by the loan documents.

We do not believe that we will incur any obligations under the guaranty. If we were to become obligated under the guaranty, we do not believe that it would have any material effect on our liquidity or capital resources.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. has various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commitments. The following table presents, as of December 31, 2006, significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations to third parties by payment date.

# Contractual Obligations (Dollars in thousands)

	(	Within one year	One to three years	Three to five years	,	Over five years		Total
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$	37,963	\$ •	\$ -	\$	-	\$	37,963
Interest-bearing deposits		104,610	20,125	7,604		-		132,339
Short-term borrowings		9,193	-	•		-		9,193
Long-term borrowings		-	3,000	•		-	•	3,000
Purchase obligations		760	462	•		•		1,222
Operating leases		649	1,481	 1,530		18,264	_	21,924
Total	<u>s</u>	153,175	\$ 25,068	\$ 9,134	\$	18,264	\$	205,641

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s operating lease obligations represents rental payments for three branches, two loan production offices, and our corporate headquarters. We also assume we become obligated on the Greenbelt lease in July 2007 and include these payments in lease obligations. We have not included any amounts for the Crofton lease. The interest-bearing obligations include accrued interest. Purchase obligations represent estimated obligations under agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding. The purchase obligation amounts presented above primarily relate to estimated obligations under data and item processing contracts, and accounts payable for goods and services received through December 31, 2006.

# **Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures**

Below is a reconciliation of the FTE adjustments and the GAAP basis information presented in this report:

# Twelve months ended December 31, 2006

	Federal				Net
	Funds	Investment	Interest	Net Interest	Interest
	<u>Sold</u>	<b>Securities</b>	Earning Assets	<u>Income</u>	<b>Spread</b>
GAAP interest income	\$ 1,288,536	\$ 654,283	\$ 11,023,044	\$ 7,293,367	
Tax equivalent adjustment	30,134	73,946	104,080	104,080	
Tax equivalent interest income	\$ 1,318,670	\$ 728,229	<u>\$ 11,127,124</u>	\$ 7,397,447	
GAAP interest yield	4.89%	3.56%	6.51%	4.31%	3.22%
Taxable equivalent adjustment	<u>0.11</u> %	<u>0.40</u> %	0.06%	<u>0.06</u> %	<u>0.06</u> %
Tax equivalent interest yield	5.00%	<u>3.96</u> %	6.57%	4.37%	3.28%

# Twelve months ended December 31, 2005

	Federal							Net
	Funds	I	nvestment		Interest	ľ	Net Interest	Interest
	<u>Sold</u>	5	Securities	<u>Ea</u>	rning Assets		<u>Income</u>	Spread
GAAP interest income	\$ 622,070	\$	606,299	\$	7,003,456	\$	4,875,503	
Tax equivalent adjustment	 11,112	_	65,760		76,872	_	76,872	
Tax equivalent interest income	\$ 633,182	\$	672,059	<u>\$</u>	7,080,328	<u>\$</u> _	4,952,375	
GAAP interest yield	3.42%		3.25%		5.55%		3.87%	3.12%
Taxable equivalent adjustment	<u>0.06</u> %		0.36%		0.07%		0.06%	0.06%
Tax equivalent interest yield	3.48%		3.61%		5.62%		<u>3.93</u> %	3.18%

# Twelve months ended December 31, 2004

	1	Federal							Net
		Funds	In	vestment	vestment Interest		N	let Interest	Interest
		<u>Sold</u>	<u>S</u>	ecurities	<u>Ea</u>	rning Assets		<u>Income</u>	<u>Spread</u>
GAAP interest income	\$	50,936	\$	671,498	\$	4,889,855	\$	3,726,602	
Tax equivalent adjustment		432		72,836	_	73,268		73,268	
Tax equivalent interest income	\$	51,368	<u>\$</u>	744,334	<u>\$</u>	4,963,123	<u>\$</u>	3,799,870	
GAAP interest yield		1.26%		3.39%	)	5.24%		3.99%	3.44%
Taxable equivalent adjustment		<u>0.01</u> %		<u>0.37</u> %	ı	<u>0.07</u> %		<u>0.08</u> %	<u>0.07</u> %
Tax equivalent interest yield		1.27%		3.76%	•	<u>5.31</u> %		<u>4.07</u> %	3.51%

# Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices and Seasonality

The financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Unlike industrial companies, virtually all the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the price of goods and services, and may frequently reflect government policy initiatives or economic factors not measured by price index. As discussed above, we strive to manage our interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to offset the effects of rate changes and inflation.

#### **Application of Critical Accounting Policies**

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industry in which we operate. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based either on quoted market prices or are provided by other third-party sources, when available.

The most significant accounting policies that we follow are presented in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes and in this financial review, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the provision for loan losses as the accounting area that requires the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

The provision for loan losses represents management's best estimate of the losses known and inherent in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonable to estimate, based on, among other factors, prior loss experience, volume and type of lending conducted, estimated value of any underlying collateral, economic conditions (particularly as such conditions relate to Old Line Bank's market area), regulatory guidance, peer statistics, management's judgment, past due loans in the loan portfolio, loan charge off experience and concentrations of risk (if any). Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant estimates, assumptions, and judgments. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated balance sheets.

The evaluation of the adequacy of the provision for loan losses is based upon loan categories except for delinquent loans and loans for which management has knowledge about possible credit problems of the borrower or knowledge of problems with loan collateral, which are evaluated separately and assigned loss amounts based upon the evaluation. Loss ratios are applied to each category of loan other than commercial loans (including letters of credit and unused commitments), where the loans are further divided by risk rating and loss ratios are applied by risk rating, to determine estimated loss amounts. Categories of loans are installment and other consumer loans (other than boat loans), boat loans, mortgage loans (commercial real estate, residential real estate and real estate construction) and commercial loans.

Management has significant discretion in making the judgments inherent in the determination of the provision and allowance for loan losses, including in connection with the valuation of collateral and the financial condition of the borrower, and in establishing loss ratios and risk ratings. The establishment of allowance factors is a continuing exercise and allowance factors may change over time, resulting in an increase or decrease in the amount of the provision or allowance based upon the same volume and classification of loans.

Changes in allowance factors or in management's interpretation of those factors will have a direct impact on the amount of the provision, and a corresponding effect on income and assets. Also, errors in management's perception and assessment of the allowance factors could result in the allowance not being adequate to cover losses in the portfolio, and may result in additional provisions or charge-offs, which would adversely affect income and capital. For additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses, see the "Provision for Loan Losses" section of this financial review.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

The following are recent accounting pronouncements approved by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). These Statements will not have any material impact on the financial statements of Bancshares or the Bank.

In October 2006, the FASB ratified EITF 06-05, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance-Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4. This issue addresses how an entity should determine the amount the entity could realize under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date in applying FTB85-4 and if the determination should be on an individual or group policy basis. We anticipate the adoption of EITF 06-5, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, will not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") on issue No. 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangement determining whether the postretirement benefit associated with an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement is effectively settled in accordance with FASB Statement No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (or Opinion 12, Omnibus Opinion-1967, if the arrangement does not constitute a plan). The Task Force concluded that for a split-dollar life insurance arrangement, an employer should recognize a liability for future benefits in accordance with Statement 106 or Opinion 12 (depending on whether a substantive plan is deemed to exist) based on the substantive agreement with the employee. We expect the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-4, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007, will not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The standard applies whenever other standards require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. The standard does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, and amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). This standard requires that we recognize a net liability or asset to report the funded or unfunded status of our defined benefit pension and other post retirement benefit plans on our balance sheet. The effective date of the recognition and disclosure provisions is for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We do not expect that SFAS No. 158 will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. This guidance clarifies what criteria must be met prior to recognition of the financial statement benefit of a position taken in a tax return. Additionally, it applies to the recognition and measurement of income tax uncertainties resulting from a purchase business combination. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We anticipate FIN48 will not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets. SFAS No. 156 amends SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. Among other requirements, SFAS No. 156 requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract. The standard requires initial measurement of all newly-purchased or issued separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value, if practicable. Subsequent measurements may be made using either the fair value or amortization method. Statement No. 156 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006 with early adoption permitted in the quarter ended March 31, 2006. We do not anticipate this statement will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

On February 16, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments. This standard amends the guidance in FASB Statements No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. We do not anticipate this statement will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

#### Item 7. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements are filed with this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Income - For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity - For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For the year ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements



# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Old Line Bancshares, Inc. Bowie, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the three years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123R, Share-Based Payment.

Baltimore, Maryland January 31, 2007

Rowles & Company, LLP

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31,		2006		2005	_	2004
Assets						
Cash and due from banks	\$	5,120,068	\$	4,387,676	\$	4,090,776
Federal funds sold		34,508,127		35,573,704		5,229,867
Total cash and cash equivalents		39,628,195		39,961,380		9,320,643
Time deposits in other banks		-		<b>' -</b>		300,000
Investment securities available for sale		14,118,649		13,926,111		15,612,411
Investment securities held to maturity		2,802,389		2,203,445		2,204,290
Loans, less allowance for loan losses		150,417,217		104,249,383		81,504,890
Restricted equity securities at cost		1,575,550		1,102,750		1,079,950
Investment in real estate, LLC		793,714		837,436		550,000
Bank premises and equipment		4,049,393		2,436,652		2,352,348
Accrued interest receivable		820,628		504,299		365,388
Deferred income taxes		226,873		200,663		88,723
Bank owned life insurance		3,458,065		3,324,660		-
Other assets		239,989		281,045		190,675
	\$	218,130,662	\$	169,027,824	\$	113,569,318
Deposits	•	27.062.066	•	20 417 959		
Noninterest-bearing	\$	37,963,066	\$	30,417,858	-	
Interest-bearing					\$	25,424,314
Total deposits		131,708,780	_	89,253,741	<b>-</b>	63,540,800
		131,708,780 169,671,846		89,253,741 119,671,599		63,540,800 88,965,114
Short-term borrowings		169,671,846 9,193,391		89,253,741		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012
Long-term borrowings		169,671,846		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000
<del>-</del>	_	169,671,846 9,193,391	_	89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868	\$	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320
Long-term borrowings	_	169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496	_	89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151	\$	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418	_	89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873	\$	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151	\$ 	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873	<b>S</b>	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873	<b>5</b>	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004 Additional paid-in capital		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708 42,537 31,868,025		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997 42,489 31,735,627		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708 42,537 31,868,025 3,077,313		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997  42,489 31,735,627 1,992,301		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006 17,764 12,446,229 1,120,705
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004 Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708 42,537 31,868,025 3,077,313 34,987,875		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997  42,489 31,735,627 1,992,301 33,770,417	-	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006 17,764 12,446,229 1,120,705 13,584,698
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004 Additional paid-in capital		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708 42,537 31,868,025 3,077,313 34,987,875 (171,921)		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997  42,489 31,735,627 1,992,301 33,770,417 (254,590)	-	63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006 17,764 12,446,229 1,120,705 13,584,698 (90,386)
Long-term borrowings Accrued interest payable Income tax payable Other liabilities  Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares in 2006 and 5,000,000 shares in 2005 and 2004; issued and outstanding 4,253,698.5 in 2006, 4,248,898.5 in 2005, and 1,776,394.5 in 2004 Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings		169,671,846 9,193,391 3,000,000 629,557 334,496 485,418 183,314,708 42,537 31,868,025 3,077,313 34,987,875		89,253,741 119,671,599 9,292,506 6,000,000 336,868 86,151 124,873 135,511,997  42,489 31,735,627 1,992,301 33,770,417		63,540,800 88,965,114 4,637,012 6,000,000 173,320 184,975 114,585 100,075,006 17,764 12,446,229 1,120,705 13,584,698

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Income

Years Ended December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Interest revenue			
Loans, including fees	\$ 9,080,202	\$ 5,772,963	\$ 4,150,675
U.S. Treasury securities	127,299	127,299	113,541
U.S. government agency securities	271,621	236,536	294,108
Mortgage backed securities	65,186	84,139	118,088
Tax exempt securities	110,555	113,514	111,236
Federal funds sold	1,288,536	622,070	50,936
Other	79,645	46,935	51,271
Total interest revenue	11,023,044	7,003,456	4,889,855
Interest expense			
Deposits	3,219,826	1,790,695	933,557
Borrowed funds	509,851	337,258	229,696
Total interest expense	3,729,677	2,127,953	1,163,253
Net interest income	7,293,367	4,875,503	3,726,602
Provision for loan losses	339,000	204,000	220,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	6,954,367	4,671,503	3,506,602
Non-interest revenue			
Service charges on deposit accounts	266,235	241,619	244,831
Marine division broker origination fees	391,738	109,669	,
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	145,880	78,358	
Income (loss) on investment in real estate, LLC	56,278	(64)	_
Other fees and commissions	168,913	191,280	309,370
Total non-interest revenue	1,029,044	620,862	554,201
Non-interest expense			
Salaries	2,720,022	1,933,631	1,417,434
Employee benefits	732,447	333,788	245,162
Occupancy	533,020	235,979	202,627
Equipment	197,644	111,560	118,280
Data processing	176,928	132,209	126,552
Other operating	1,201,303	828,608	695,904
Total non-interest expense	5,561,364	3,575,775	2,805,959
Total Hon-interest expense	5,501,504		
Income before income taxes	2,422,047	1,716,590	1,254,844
Income taxes	848,196	577,651	438,203
Net income	\$ 1,573,851	\$ 1,138,939	\$ 816,641
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.38

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

					Additional				other		
	Comm	on sto	ck		paid-in		Retained	COI	mprehensive	Cor	nprehensive
	Shares	Par	value		capital		earnings		income		income
Balance, December 31, 2003	1,756,894.5	\$	17,569	\$	12,362,902	\$	517,096	\$	(69,914)		
Net income	-		•		-		816,641		•	\$	816,641
Unrealized (loss) on securities available for									(0.0.150)		(0.0.450)
sale, net of income taxes of \$11,024	-		-		-		•		(20,472)	_	(20,472)
Comprehensive income										\$	796,169
Cash dividend \$0.10 per share Stock options exercised, including tax	•		-		•		(213,032)		-		
benefit of \$10,322	19,500.0		195		83,327		-		-		
Balance, December 31, 2004	1,776,394.5	-	17,764	_	12,446,229		1,120,705		(90,386)		
Capital Offering	2,096,538.0		20,965		19,156,542		-		•		
Net income	-		-		•		1,138,939		-	\$	1,138,939
Unrealized (loss) on securities available for											
sale, net of income taxes of \$109,345			_		_				(164,204)		(164,204)
Comprehensive income			•						(107,201)	\$	974,735
· ·							(267,207)		•	<u>~</u>	37.1,750
Cash dividend \$0.10 per share Stock split effected in the form of	-		-		•		(201,201)		•		
a 20% stock dividend	355,266.0		3,553		(3,553)		(136)		•		
Stock options exercised, including tax							•				
benefit of \$46,123	20,700.0		207		136,409		-		-		
Balance, December 31, 2005	4,248,898.5		42,489		31,735,627		1,992,301		(254,590)	•	
Capital Offering (2005)	-		-		(1,891)		-		-		
Net income	-		-		•		1,573,851		•	\$	1,573,851
Unrealized gain on											
securities available for											
sale, net of income taxes of \$52,015	-		-		-		•		82,669	_	82,669
Comprehensive income										\$	1,656,520
Stock based compensation awards	-		-		107,258		-		-		
Cash dividend \$0.115 per share Stock options exercised, including tax	-		•		-		(488,839)		•		
benefit of \$1,008	4,800.0		48		27,031		-		-		
Balance, December 31, 2006	4,253,698.5	<u>\$</u>	42,537	<u>s</u>	31,868,025	<u>\$</u>	3,077,313	<u>\$</u>	(171,921)	<b>-</b>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities			
Interest received	\$ 10,849,461	\$ 6,821,355	\$ 4,722,940
Fees and commissions received	939,361	620,926	554,201
Interest paid	(3,436,988)		(1,139,764)
Cash paid to suppliers and employees	(4,915,395)	• • • •	(2,668,340)
Income taxes paid	(678,076)	• • • •	(400,677)
	2,758,363	1,352,417	1,068,360
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of investment securities			
Held to maturity	(599,758)	-	(842,422)
Available for sale	(2,000,000)		(1,253,113)
Proceeds from disposal of investment securities	(2,000,000)		(1,235,115)
Held to maturity at maturity or call	-	-	440,000
Available for sale at maturity or call	1,941,051	1,409,104	2,983,820
Loans made, net of principal collected	(46,647,671)	(22,900,811)	(22,081,500)
Purchase of equity securities	(472,800)	(22,800)	(261,500)
Investment in bank owned life insurance (BOLI)	-	(3,324,660)	(201,500)
Return of principal from (investment in) real estate, LLC	100,000	(287,500)	(550,000)
Redemption of certificates of deposit	-	300,000	400,000
Purchase of premises and equipment and software	(1,851,351)	(247,648)	(221,166)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	1,500	-	21,000
	(49,529,029)	(25,074,315)	(21,364,881)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Net increase (decrease) in			
Time deposits	39,038,125	19,383,732	11,162,317
Other deposits	10,962,122	11,322,752	8,477,720
Net increase in short-term borrowings	(99,115)	4,655,494	1,637,012
(Decrease) increase in long-term borrowings	(3,000,000)	-	2,000,000
Proceeds from stock options exercised, including tax benefit	27,079	90,493	73,200
(Costs) proceeds from stock offering	(1,891)	19,177,507	-
Dividends paid	(488,839)	(267,343)	(213,032)
	46,437,481	54,362,635	23,137,217
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(333,185)	30,640,737	2,840,696
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	39,961,380	9,320,643	6,479,947
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 39,628,195	\$ 39,961,380	\$ 9,320,643

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Net income	\$ 1,573,851	\$ 1,138,939	\$ 816,641
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net			
cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	250,420	155,795	149,709
Provision for loan losses	339,000	204,000	220,000
(Gain) Loss on sale of equipment	510	-	964
Change in deferred loan fees net of costs	140,837	(47,681)	(125,700)
Amortization of premiums and discounts	1,909	4,492	8,847
Deferred income taxes	(78,225)	(2,595)	(16,774)
Stock based compensation awards	107,258	-	-
Increase (decrease) in			
Accrued interest payable	292,689	163,548	23,489
Other liabilities	608,890	(42,413)	66,319
Decrease (increase) in			
Accrued interest receivable	(316,329)	(138,911)	(50,062)
Bank owned life insurance	(133,405)	-	•
Other assets	27,236	(82,821)	(25,073)
(Income) loss from investment in real estate, LLC	(56,278)	64	
	\$ 2,758,363	\$ 1,352,417	\$ 1,068,360

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

## Old Line Bancshares, Inc. & Subsidiary Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### 1. Organization and Description of Business

Old Line Bancshares, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on April 11, 2003 to serve as the holding company of Old Line Bank. The primary business of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. is to own all of the capital stock of Old Line Bank. Old Line Bancshares also has an approximately \$794,000 investment in a real estate investment limited liability company named Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC ("Pointer Ridge").

Old Line Bank is a full service commercial bank operating in the suburban Maryland (Washington, D.C. suburbs) counties of Prince George's, Charles and northern St. Mary's. The Bank offers deposit services and loans to individuals, small businesses, associations and government entities. Other services include direct deposit of payroll and social security checks, automatic drafts from accounts, automated teller machine services, cash management services, safe deposit boxes, money orders and travelers cheques. The Bank also offers credit card services and on-line account access with bill payer service.

# 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation-The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the activity of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Old Line Bank. We have eliminated all significant intercompany transactions and balances.

Use of estimates-The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions may affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and deferred tax assets.

Cash and cash equivalents-For purposes of the consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, and federal funds sold. Generally, we purchase and sell federal funds for one-day periods.

Investment securities-As we purchase securities, management determines if we should classify the securities as held to maturity, available for sale or trading. We record the securities which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity at amortized cost which is cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. We classify securities which we may sell before maturity as available for sale and carry these securities at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in stockholders' equity on an after tax basis. Management has not identified any investment securities as trading.

We record gains and losses on the sale of securities on the trade date and determine these gains or losses using the specific identification method. We amortize premiums and accrete discounts using the interest method.

Stock options- We account for employee stock options under the fair value method of accounting using a Black-Scholes valuation model to measure stock-based compensation expense at the date of grant. In the first quarter of 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 123R, Share-Based Payment, under the modified prospective method. Statement 123R requires companies to recognize compensation expense related to stock-based compensation awards in their income statements over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for such award. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, we recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$107,258.

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

We did not recognize any tax benefits associated with this expense. Under SFAS 123R, a company may only recognize tax benefits for options that ordinarily will result in a tax deduction when the grant is exercised (non-qualified options). There were no non-qualified options included in the expense calculation during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006.

Prior to the implementation of SFAS 123R, we applied APB No. 25 in accounting for stock options. Accordingly, we did not recognize compensation expense in periods prior to the first quarter of 2006 for stock options granted. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123) was issued in October 1995 to establish accounting and reporting standards for stock-based employee compensation plans. SFAS No. 123 required measurement of compensation expense provided by stock-based plans using a fair value based method of accounting, and recognition of compensation expense in the statement of income or disclosure in the notes to the financial statements.

Had we determined compensation in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, it would have reduced Old Line Bancshare's net income and earnings per share to the following pro forma amounts:

December 31,		2005	2004		
Net income		•			
As reported	\$	1,138,939	\$	816,641	
Stock-based compensation expense		(100,614)		(59,200)	
Income tax benefit of compensation expense		11,619		22,863	
Pro forma	. \$	1,049,944	\$	780,304	
Basic earnings per share				_	
As reported	\$	0.44	\$	0.38	
Pro forma		0.41		0.37	
Diluted earnings per share					
As reported	\$	0.44	\$	0.38	
Pro forma		0.41		0.36	

Bank premises and equipment-We record premises and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets.

Investment in real estate LLC-On July 22, 2004, Old Line Bancshares executed an Operating Agreement as a member to establish Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC ("Pointer Ridge"). The purpose of Pointer Ridge is to acquire, own, hold for profit, sell, assign, transfer, operate, lease, develop, mortgage, refinance, pledge and otherwise deal with real property located at the intersection of Pointer Ridge Road and Route 301 in Bowie, Md. In 2006, Pointer Ridge completed construction of a commercial office building on the property. The Bank leases approximately 50% of this building for its main office and a branch of Old Line Bank. Old Line Bancshares' ownership percentage is 50% and four other members hold the remaining 50% ownership. Old Line Bancshares records this investment using the equity method.

Foreclosed real estate-We record real estate acquired through foreclosure at the lower of cost or fair market value on the date acquired. We charge losses incurred at the time of acquisition of the property to the allowance for loan losses. We include subsequent reductions in the estimated value of the property in non-interest expense.

Advertising-We expense advertising costs over the life of ad campaigns. We expense general purpose advertising as we incur it.

# 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Loans and allowance for loan losses-We state loans at face value plus deferred origination costs, less deferred origination fees and the allowance for loan losses.

We accrue for interest on loans based on the principal amounts outstanding. We amortize origination fees and costs to income over the terms of the loans using an approximate interest method.

We discontinue the accrual of interest when any portion of the principal or interest is ninety days past due and collateral is insufficient to discharge the debt in full.

Based on current information, we consider loans impaired when management determines that it is unlikely that the borrower will make principal and interest payments according to contractual terms.

Generally, we do not review loans for impairment until we have discontinued the accrual of interest. If it is doubtful that we will collect principal, we apply all payments to principal.

The allowance for loan losses represents an amount which, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans and other extensions of credit that may become uncollectible. Management bases its judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance on evaluations of the collectibility of loans. Management takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrowers' ability to pay, overall portfolio quality, and review of specific problem areas. If the current economy or real estate market were to suffer a severe downturn, we would need to increase the estimate for uncollectible accounts. We charge off loans which we deem uncollectible and deduct them from the allowance. We add recoveries on loans previously charged off to the allowance.

Income taxes-The provision for income taxes includes taxes payable for the current year and deferred income taxes. We determine deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which we expect the differences to reverse. We allocate tax expense and tax benefits to the Bank and Bancshares based on their proportional share of taxable income.

Earnings per share-We determine basic earnings per common share by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding giving retroactive effect to the stock dividends.

We calculate diluted earnings per share by including the average dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. Dilutive common equivalent shares consist of stock options, calculated using the treasury stock method.

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Weighted average number of shares	4,250,239.9	2,559,627.1	2,127,837.4
Dilutive average number of shares	25,646.0	25,543.0	36,078.0

Comprehensive income-Comprehensive income includes net income and the unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities available for sale net of related income taxes.

Reclassifications-In 2005, management reclassified revenue from advances on construction loans to interest revenue. In 2005, management determined that this revenue relates more to the use of funds than to the commitment to make such funds available. The amount reclassified was \$71,598 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

# 3. Cash and Equivalents

The Bank may carry balances with other banks that exceed the federally insured limit. The average balance in 2006, 2005 and 2004 did not exceed the federally insured limit. The Bank also sells federal funds on an unsecured basis to the same banks. The average balance sold was \$26,348,931, \$18,195,459 and \$4,055,755, in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Federal banking regulators require banks to carry non-interest-bearing cash reserves at specified percentages of deposit balances. The Bank's normal amount of cash on hand and on deposit with other banks is sufficient to satisfy the reserve requirements.

# 4. Investment Securities

Investment securities are summarized as follows:

D 1 01 0001	•	Amortized	realized	τ	Inrealized		Fair
December 31, 2006	<del></del>	cost	 gains		losses		value
Available for sale							
U. S. Treasury	\$	1,998,743	\$ -	\$	(36,555)	\$	1,962,188
U. S. government agency		7,997,662	-		(158,813)		7,838,849
State, county, and municipal		2,928,312	758		(39,974)		2,889,096
Mortgage-backed	_	1,474,024	 		(45,508)	_	1,428,516
	\$_	14,398,741	\$ 758	<u>\$</u>	(280,850)	\$_	14,118,649
Held to maturity		-	 				
U. S. Treasury	\$	2,001,350	\$ -	\$	(49,006)	\$	1,952,344
U. S. government agency		500,000	530		-		500,530
State, county, and municipal		301,039	22		(7,263)	_	293,798
	\$	2,802,389	\$ 552	<u>\$</u>	(56,269)	\$	2,746,672
December 31, 2005		·····	 				
Available for sale							
U. S. Treasury	\$	1,998,232	\$ -	\$	(53,232)	\$	1,945,000
U. S. government agency		7,391,453	•		(243,647)		7,147,806
State, county, and municipal		3,153,183	3,327		(64,065)		3,092,445
Mortgage-backed		1,798,020	 <u> </u>	_	(57,160)	_	1,740,860
	\$	14,340,888	\$ 3,327	\$	(418,104)	\$	13,926,111
Held to maturity							
U. S. Treasury	\$	2,002,061	\$ -	\$	(54,014)	\$	1,948,047
State, county, and municipal		201,384	 		(3,788)		197,596
	<u>\$_</u>	2,203,445	\$ 	\$	(57,802)	\$_	2,145,643
December 31, 2004			 				
Available for sale							
U. S. Treasury	\$	1,997,721	\$ -	\$	(17,408)		1,980,313
U. S. government agency		7,885,261	191		(107,612)		7,777,840
State, county, and municipal		3,314,167	30,341		(22,006)		3,322,502
Mortgage-backed		2,556,491	 749		(25,484)	_	2,531,756
	<u>\$_</u>	15,753,640	\$ 31,281	\$	(172,510)	\$	15,612,411
Held to maturity		<del></del> _	 				
U. S. Treasury	\$	2,002,773	\$ 7,567	\$	(12,449)	\$	1,997,891
State, county, and municipal	<del></del>	201,517	 	_	(4,702)	_	196,815
	\$	2,204,290	\$ 7,567	\$	(17,151)	\$	2,194,706

# 4. Investment Securities (Continued)

The table below summarizes investment securities with unrealized losses as of December 31, 2006:

December 31, 2006		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		
Losses less than 12 months					
U.S. Treasury	\$	=	\$ -		
U.S. government agency		1,995,164	4,836		
State, county, and municipal		-	-		
Mortgage-backed					
Total less than 12 months		1,995,164	4,836		
Losses greater than 12 months					
U.S. Treasury		3,914,532	85,561		
U.S. government agency		5,843,685	153,977		
State, county, and municipal		2,580,808	47,237		
Mortgage-backed		1,428,516	45,508		
Total greater than 12 months		13,767,541	332,283		
Total losses					
U.S. Treasury		3,914,532	85,561		
U.S. government agency		7,838,849	158,813		
State, county, and municipal		2,580,808	47,237		
Mortgage-backed		1,428,516	45,508		
Total	<u>\$</u>	15,762,705	\$ 337,119		

We consider all unrealized losses on securities as of December 31, 2006 to be temporary losses because each security will be redeemed at face value at or prior to maturity. In most cases, market interest rate fluctuations cause a temporary impairment in value. The Bank has the intent and the ability to hold these securities until recovery or maturity.

There were no sales of securities in 2006, 2005 and 2004.

# 4. Investment Securities (Continued)

Contractual maturities and pledged securities at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, are shown below. Actual maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

		Available for Sale			Held to Maturity			
		Amortized	Fair	1	Amortized		Fair	
December 31, 2006		cost	value		cost		value	
Maturing				•				
Within one year	\$	2,942,673	\$ 2,905,978	\$	500,000	\$	500,530	
Over one to five years		10,756,631	10,523,594		2,101,138		2,052,154	
Over five to ten years		699,437	689,077		-		-	
Over ten years					201,251		193,988	
	\$	14,398,741	\$ 14,118,649	\$	2,802,389	\$	2,746,672	
Pledged securities	\$	8,709,125	\$ 8,502,696	\$	2,001,350	\$	1,952,344	
December 31, 2005	<u></u>							
December 31, 2003								
Maturing								
Within one year	\$	2,117,990	\$ 2,078,615	\$	-	\$	-	
Over one to five years		11,191,747	10,834,907		2,002,061	-	1,948,047	
Over five to ten years		1,031,151	1,012,589		-		-	
Over ten years		· <b>-</b>	·		201,384		197,596	
	<u>\$</u>	14,340,888	\$ 13,926,111	\$	2,203,445	\$	2,145,643	
Pledged securities	<u>\$</u>	11,829,113	\$ 11,463,555					
December 31, 2004								
14.								
Maturing	<b>.</b>	F7F 557	e 575.025	•		et .		
Within one year  Over one to five years	\$	575,557	\$ 575,035	\$	2 002 772	\$	1 007 001	
Over five to ten years		11,789,877 3,388,206	11,668,087 3,369,289		2,002,773		1,997,891	
Over ten years		3,300,200	3,309,269		201,517		196,815	
Over tell years	<u>-</u>	15,753,640	\$ 15,612,411	\$	2,204,290	\$	2,194,706	
	<u> </u>	10,.00,040	¥ 10,012, 111	Ť	الرسورة والموس	<u> </u>	-,177,100	
Pledged securities	<u>\$</u>	12,824,536	\$ 12,686,914					

Securities are pledged to secure a line of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

#### 5. Credit Commitments

The Bank is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business in order to meet the financing needs of customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, available credit lines and standby letters of credit.

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Commitments to extend credit and available credit lines: Commercial Real estate-undisbursed development and construction Real estate-undisbursed home equity lines of credit	\$ 13,094,966 27,295,149 4,524,621	\$ 5,224,841 13,921,598 4,885,663	\$ 2,895,972 7,418,916 3,426,235
	\$ 44,914,736	\$ 24,032,102	\$ 13,741,123
Standby letters of credit	\$ 1,514,811	\$ 1,807,337	\$ 1,307,459

Loan commitments and lines of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition to the contract. Loan commitments generally have variable interest rates, fixed expiration dates, and may require payment of a fee. Lines of credit generally have variable interest rates. Such lines do not represent future cash requirements because it is unlikely that all customers will draw upon their lines in full at any time. Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the customer is the contractual amount of the commitment. Loan commitments, lines of credit, and letters of credit are made on the same terms, including collateral, as outstanding loans. Management is not aware of any accounting loss it would incur by funding its outstanding commitments.

#### 6. Loans

Major classifications of loans are as follows:

December 31,	 2006	2005	 2004
Real estate			
Commercial	\$ 73,510,971	\$ 48,529,784	\$ 34,299,921
Construction	8,320,789	4,822,858	6,550,550
Residential	11,391,135	9,767,561	8,530,410
Commercial	35,914,383	18,871,232	11,190,012
Installment	 22,330,366	 22,841,848	21,355,734
	151,467,644	104,833,283	81,926,627
Allowance for loan losses	(1,280,396)	(954,706)	(744,862)
Deferred loan costs, net	 229,969	370,806	 323,125
	\$ 150,417,217	\$ 104,249,383	\$ 81,504,890

The maturity and rate repricing distribution of the loan portfolio follows:

December 31,	 2006	2005	 2004
Within one year	\$ 52,363,333	\$ 41,870,250	\$ 34,943,883
Over one to five years	73,127,562	36,182,828	22,861,858
Over five years	 25,976,749	 26,780,205	 24,120,886
	\$ 151,467,644	\$ 104,833,283	\$ 81,926,627

No loans were 90 days or more past due or considered impaired at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses were as follows:

December 31,	 2006	2005	2004	
Beginning balance	\$ 954,706	\$ 744,862	\$	547,690
Provisions charged to operations	339,000	204,000		220,000
Recoveries	 5,147	 5,979		16,179
	 1,298,853	954,841		783,869
Loans charged off	 18,457	 135		39,007
Ending balance	\$ 1,280,396	\$ 954,706	\$	744,862

The Bank makes loans to customers located in the Maryland suburbs of Washington D.C. Although the loan portfolio is diversified, the regional economy will influence its performance.

#### 7. Restricted Equity Securities

Restricted equity securities were as follows:

December 31,	2006			2005	 2004	
Federal Reserve Bank stock	\$	827,050	\$	356,450	\$ 356,450	
Atlantic Central Bankers Bank stock		12,000		12,000	12,000	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		561,500		559,300	511,500	
Maryland Financial Bank stock	_	175,000		175,000	 200,000	
Total	\$	1,575,550	<u>\$</u>	1,102,750	\$ 1,079,950	

#### 8. Investment in Real Estate, LLC

We have a \$793,714 investment in a real estate investment limited liability company named Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC ("Pointer Ridge"). We own 50% of Pointer Ridge. In connection with our execution of a guarantee for a construction loan made to Pointer Ridge by an unrelated bank, in November 2005 we reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge and determined that under FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("FIN46R"), Pointer Ridge was a variable interest entity, but that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary. Because we concluded that Old Line Bancshares was not the primary beneficiary of Pointer Ridge under FIN46R, we did not consolidate Pointer Ridge's results and financial position with that of Old Line Bancshares. Rather, we accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method.

On August 25, 2006, we executed a new Indemnity and Guaranty Agreement ("Guaranty Agreement") with a new lender that was effective upon Pointer Ridge's execution of an Amended Promissory Note and Amended Deed of Trust. As required under FIN46R, we once again reconsidered our investment in Pointer Ridge. Because the new Guaranty Agreement definitively limits our guaranty and the variability caused by previous contracts executed by Pointer Ridge ceased to exist, we have determined that Pointer Ridge is no longer a variable interest entity. Therefore, at December 31, 2006, we have accounted for our investment in Pointer Ridge using the equity method. The following table summarizes the condensed Balance Sheet and Statement of Income information for Pointer Ridge.

# Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC

Balance Sneet			
December 31,	2006	2005	 2004
Current assets	\$ 387,928	\$ 75,561	\$ 221,383
Non-current assets	7,837,157	3,407,098	881,324
Liabilities	6,637,657	1,807,787	2,707
Equity	1,587,428	1,674,872	1,100,000
Statement of Income			
Revenue	406,432	-	-
Expenses	293,877	128	-
Net income (loss)	\$ 112,555	\$ (128)	\$ -

#### Bank Premises and Equipment 9.

10.

December 31,	Useful lives		2006		2005		2004
Land		\$	487,673	\$	487,673	\$	487,673
Building	50 years		1,435,249		1,264,831		1,264,831
Leasehold improvements	5-30 years		1,221,877		601,388		523,019
Furniture and equipment	5-7 years		1,636,066		897,688		767,515
			4,780,865		3,251,580		3,043,038
Accumulated depreciation			731,472		814,928		690,690
Net bank premises and equipment		\$	4,049,393	\$	2,436,652	\$	2,352,348
Depreciation expense		<u>\$_</u>	216,195	<u>\$</u>	124,238	<u>\$</u>	123,673
Computer software included in other ass	ets, and related a	mor	tization, are	as fo	illows:		
Cost	3 years	\$	179,303	\$	240,542	\$	201,436
Accumulated amortization			132,241	_	179,660		148,103
Net computer software		\$	47,062	<u>\$</u>	60,882	\$	53,333
Amortization expense		<u>\$_</u>	34,225	\$	31,557	<u>\$</u>	26,036
Deposits							
Major classifications of interest bearing	deposits are as fe	ollov	vs:				
December 31,			2006		2005		2004
Money market and NOW		\$	25,637,107	\$	25,254,627	\$	16,475,269
Savings			11,237,282		8,202,848		10,652,997
Other time deposits-\$100,000 and over			31,799,466		25,338,694		17,269,348
Other time deposits			63,034,925		30,457,572		19,143,186
		\$ 1	31,708,780	\$	89,253,741		63,54 <u>0,</u> 800
Time deposits mature as follows:							
December 31,	·		2006		2005		2004
			<b>#</b> 0.040.601	\$	16,329,558	\$	10,636,46
		\$	70,850.631				
Within one year		\$	70,850,631 11,394,072	Ψ	14,398,127		9,445,81
Within one year Over one to two years Over two to three years		\$	11,394,072 4,985,990	Ψ	14,398,127 7,273,043		9,445,81 5,430,63
Within one year Over one to two years		\$	11,394,072	_	14,398,127	. <u>-</u>	9,445,81

#### 10. Deposits (Continued)

Interest on deposits for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 consisted of the following:

December 31,	<del></del>	2006		2005	2004	
Money market and NOW	\$	343,202	\$	156,523	\$	81,213
Savings		60,720		47,168		51,648
Other time deposits - \$100,000 and over		1,065,151		742,234		358,154
Other time deposits		1,750,753		844,770		442,542
•	\$	3,219,826	\$	1,790,695	\$	933,557

#### 11. Short-Term Borrowings

The Bank has available lines of credit including overnight federal funds and reverse repurchase agreements from its correspondent banks totaling \$22.8 million as of December 31, 2006. The Bank has an additional secured line of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (FHLB) of \$53.8 million of which the Bank had borrowed \$5 million as of December 31, 2006 as outlined below. As a condition of obtaining the line of credit from the FHLB, the FHLB required that the Bank purchase shares of capital stock in the FHLB. Therefore, we have purchased stock in the FHLB.

Short-term borrowings consist of promissory notes sold to the Bank's customers, federal funds purchased and advances from the FHLB. The Bank sells short-term promissory notes to its customers. These notes reprice daily and have maturities of 270 days or less. Federal funds purchased are unsecured, overnight borrowings from other financial institutions.

Information relating to short-term borrowings is a follows:

December 31,	2006	006 2005			2004		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
Amount outstanding at year-end	A # 102 201	2.460/	e 0.202.50 <i>6</i>	2.020/	ድ <i>ጎ ረጓግ</i> በ13	0.77%	
Short-term promissory notes	\$ 7,193,391	3.46%	\$ 9,292,506	2.02%	\$ 3,637,012	U.//70	
FHLB advance due Jan. 2007	2,000,000	5.65%	-		-		
FHLB federal funds purchased					1,000,000	1.64%	
Total	<u>\$ 9,193,391</u>		\$ 9,292,506		\$ 4,637,012		
Average for the Year							
Short-term promissory notes	\$ 9,116,705	3.00%	\$ 6,616,011	1.60%	\$ 115,320	0.74%	
FHLB advance due Jan. 2007	917,807	5.65%	-		-		
FHLB federal funds purchased			8,219	1.65%	85,117	1.74%	
Total	\$10,034,512		\$ 6,624,230		\$ 200,437		

#### 12. Long-Term Borrowings

At December 31, 2006, the Bank had one advance from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) totaling \$3,000,000. The 5.328% FHLB borrowing in the amount of \$3,000,000 is due July 20, 2009. Interest is payable January, April, July and October of each year. The FHLB has the one time option to require payment in full on July 20, 2009.

At December 31, 2005, the Bank had two long-term advances from the FHLB totaling \$6,000,000. The 1.79% FHLB borrowing in the amount of \$2,000,000 was due March 17, 2009. Interest was payable March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17 of each year. Effective March 16, 2006 and any payment date thereafter, the FHLB has the option to convert the interest rate into a three (3) month LIBOR based floating rate. This borrowing was repaid in March 2006.

The 4.80% FHLB borrowing in the amount of \$4,000,000 was due on January 3, 2011. Interest was payable January 3, April 3, July 3, and October 3 of each year. Effective January 3, 2002 and any payment date thereafter, the FHLB has the option to convert the interest rate into a three (3) month LIBOR based floating rate. This advance was repaid in July 2006.

December 31,	2006	2006 2005		_		
Amount outstanding at year-end	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
FHLB advance due March 2009 FHLB advance due July 2009	\$ - 3,000,000	5.328%	\$ 2,000,000	1.79%	\$ 2,000,000	1.79%
FHLB advance due Jan. 2011			4,000,000	4.80%	4,000,000	4.80%
Total	\$ 3,000,000		\$ 6,000,000	;	\$ 6,000,000	
Average for the Year						
FHLB advance due March 2009	\$ 416,438	1.790%	\$ 2,000,000	1.79%	\$ 1,836,066	1.78%
FHLB advance due July 2009	1,364,384	5.328%	-		•	
FHLB advance due Jan. 2011	2,016,438	4.800%	4,000,000	4.80%	4,000,000	4.80%
Total	\$ 3,797,260		\$ 6,000,000		\$ 5,836,066	

#### 13. Related Party Transactions

The Bank has entered into various transactions with firms in which owners are also members of the Board of Directors. Fees charged for these services are at similar rates charged by unrelated parties for similar work. Amounts paid to these related parties totaled \$13,951, \$10,248, and \$6,886, during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

We have a fifty percent or \$793,714 investment in Pointer Ridge. Frank Lucente, a director of Bancshares and the Bank, controls twenty five percent of Pointer Ridge. In 2006, the Bank paid Pointer Ridge \$272,070 in lease payments and \$253,761 for leasehold improvements.

Loans made to directors and executive officers or their affiliated companies totaled \$4,756,505, \$4,748,281, and \$2,888,793, at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The directors, executive officers and their affiliated companies maintained deposits with the Bank of \$9,407,392, \$10,814,062, and \$7,992,743 at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. In the opinion of management, these transactions were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated parties.

# 13. Related Party Transactions (Continued)

The schedule below summarizes changes in amounts of loans outstanding to directors and executive officers or their affiliated companies:

December 31,		2006	2005	2004
Balance at beginning of year	\$	4,748,281	\$ 2,888,793	\$ 1,959,356
Additions		2,294,222	3,888,529	2,661,910
Repayments	<del></del>	(2,285,998)	 (2,029,041)	 (1,732,473)
Balance at end of year	\$	4,756,505	\$ 4,748,281	\$ 2,888,793

### 14. Income Taxes

The components of income tax are as follows:

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Current			
Federal	\$ 832,30	2 \$ 505,213	\$ 386,878
State	94,11	75,033	68,099
	926,42	580,246	454,977
Deferred	(78,22	25) (2,595)	(16,774)
	\$ 848,19	96 \$ 577,651	\$ 438,203

The components of the deferred income taxes are as follows:

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Provision for loan losses	\$ (130,922) \$	(79,083) \$	(75,849)
Organization costs	5,897	5,897	5,897
Deferred loan origination costs, net	63,155	55,047	38,251
Depreciation	19,915	15,544	14,927
Supplemental executive retirement plan	(36,270)		-
	\$ (78,225) \$	(2,595) \$	(16,774)

#### 14. Income Taxes (Continued)

The components of the net deferred tax assets are as follows:

December 31,		2006		2005		2004
Deferred tax assets						
Allowance for loan losses	\$	463,342	\$	332,420	\$	253,337
Organization costs	•	10,322	•	16,219	•	22,116
Supplemental executive retirement plan		36,270		<b>-</b>		-
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale		108,172		160,187		50,842
	_	618,106		508,826	_	326,295
Deferred tax liabilities						
Deferred loan origination costs		255,224		192,069		137,022
Depreciation		136,009		116,094		100,550
		391,233		308,163		237,572
Net deferred tax asset	\$	226,873	\$	200,663	\$	88,723

The differences between the federal income tax rate of 34 percent and the effective tax rate for the Bank are reconciled as follows:

December 31,	2006	2005	2004
Statutory federal income tax rate	34.0 %	34.0 %	34.0 %
Increase (decrease) resulting from			
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	2.2	2.8	3.1
Tax exempt income	(1.2)	(2.1)	(2.6)
Stock based compensation awards	1.5	-	-
Other nondeductible expenses	0.4	0.5	0.3
Bank owned life insurance	(1.9)	(1.5)	-
Other	-	-	0.1
Effective tax rate	35.0 %	33.7 %	34.9 %

### 15. Retirement Plan

The Bank maintains a 401(k) profit sharing plan for employees who meet the eligibility requirements set forth in the plan. Pursuant to the plan, which was amended in March 2003 and effective January 1, 2003, the Bank matches the first 3% of employee contributions to the plan and 50% of the next 2% of employee contributions, for a maximum required contribution of 4% of employee eligible compensation. This plan, which covers substantially all employees, allows for elective employee deferrals. The Bank's contributions to the plan for 2006, 2005, and 2004, were \$88,621, \$57,641, and \$42,623, respectively.

In 2006, the Bank also offered Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs) to its executive officers providing for retirement income benefits as well as pre-retirement death benefits. We accrue the present value of these benefits over the remaining number of years to the executives' retirement dates. The Bank's expenses for the SERPs were \$93,916 in 2006.

#### 16. Capital Standards

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Federal Reserve Board have adopted risk-based capital standards for banking organizations. These standards require ratios of capital to assets for minimum capital adequacy and to be classified as well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions. As of December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the capital ratios and minimum capital requirements are as follows:

				M	finimum o			To be w	
(in thousands)		Actua	=		adequa	cy Ratio		capitali: mount	zeu Ratio
December 31, 2006		Mount	Ratio	F	mount	Katio		unount	Katio
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)									
Consolidated	\$	36,268	21.2%	\$	13,665	8.0%	\$	17,081	10.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	31,594	18.6%	Š	13,592	8.0%	\$	16,990	10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	Φ	31,334	16.070	Ψ	10,002	0.070	•	10,550	10.070
Consolidated	\$	34,988	20.5%	\$	6,832	4.0%	\$	10,249	6.0%
Old Line Bank	ъ \$	30,314	17.8%	\$	6,796	4.0%	\$	10,194	6.0%
<del> </del>	Þ	30,314	17.070	Ф	0,790	4.070	Ф	10,154	0.070
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	\$	24 000	17.5%	\$	8,019	4.0%	\$	10,023	5.0%
Consolidated	\$	34,988		\$ \$	7,980	4.0%	\$	9,975	5.0%
Old Line Bank	2	30,314	15.2%	. •	7,960	4.070	D	7,713	3.070
December 31, 2005	į	Amount	Ratio	A	Amount	Ratio	A	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)									
Consolidated	\$	34,725	28.3%	\$	9,826	8.0%	\$	12,283	10.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	30,254	24.8%	\$	9,766	8.0%	\$	12,208	10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)									
Consolidated	\$	33,770	27.5%	\$	4,913	4.0%	\$	7,370	6.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	29,299	24.0%	\$	4,883	4.0%	\$	7,325	6.0%
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)									
Consolidated	\$	33,770	21.5%	\$	6,292	4.0%	\$	7,865	5.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	29,299	18.7%	\$	6,268	4.0%	\$	7,835	5.0%
December 31, 2004	,	Amount	Ratio	Á	Amount	Ratio	,	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)									
Consolidated	\$	14,330	16.3%	\$	7,050	8.0%	\$	8,813	10.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	13,662	15.5%	\$	7,050	8.0%	\$	8,813	10.0%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)		,			,				
Consolidated	\$	13,585	15.4%	\$	3,525	4.0%	\$	5,288	6.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	12,917	14.7%	\$	3,525	4.0%	\$	5,288	6.0%
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)	~	,,-	,	•	- ,	· ·	ĺ	•	
Consolidated	\$	13,585	12.7%	\$	4,272	4.0%	\$	5,340	5.0%
Old Line Bank	\$	12,917	12.1%	Š	4.257	4.0%	Š	5,321	5.0%
Ole Dille Dalik	Ψ	,		~	.,,		•	- ,	

Tier 1 capital consists of common stock, surplus, and undivided profits. Total capital includes a limited amount of the allowance for loan losses. In calculating risk-weighted assets, specified risk percentages are applied to each category of asset and off-balance sheet items.

Failure to meet the capital requirement could affect our ability to pay dividends and accept deposits and may significantly affect our operations.

In the most recent regulatory report, we were categorized as well capitalized under the prompt corrective action regulations. Management knows of no events or conditions that should change this classification.

#### 17. Commitments and Contingencies

We lease three branch locations, two loan production offices, and our corporate headquarters under operating lease agreements expiring through 2040. Each of the leases provides extension options. In December, the Bank executed a lease for space located at 6301 Ivy Lane, Greenbelt, Maryland. We expect this lease to commence in July 2007. On January 31, 2007, the Bank also executed a lease for approximately 33,000 square feet of ground area and a free-standing bank building that Old Line Bank will construct. We plan to move the lvy Lane branch to this facility and expect this lease will commence in July 2009.

The approximate future minimum lease commitments under the operating leases as of December 31, 2006, are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	Amount
2007	\$ 648,895
2008	731,620
2009	749,290
2010	755,949
2011	774,530
Remaining	18,263,977
	\$ 21,924,261

Rent expense was \$345,636, \$87,821, and \$74,463 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

On August 25, 2006, Pointer Ridge entered into a loan agreement with an unrelated bank, in a principal amount of \$6.6 million to finance the commercial office building located at 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Maryland. We lease approximately half of this building for our main office and operate a branch from this address. Pursuant to the terms of a guaranty between the bank and Old Line Bancshares dated August 25, 2006, Old Line Bancshares has guaranteed up to 50% of the loan amount plus costs incurred by the lender resulting from any acts, omissions or alleged acts or omissions.

In the normal course of business the Bank is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Bank's financial statements.

#### 18. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of financial instruments equal the carrying value of the instruments except as noted.

Time Deposits-The fair value of time deposits with other banks is an estimate determined by discounting future cash flows using current rates offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Investment Securities-The fair values of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity are based upon quoted market prices or dealer quotes.

Loans-The fair value of loans is an estimate determined by discounting future cash flows using current rates for which the Bank would make similar loans to borrowers with similar credit histories.

**Deposits-**The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts is the amount payable on demand. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is an estimate using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowed funds-The fair value of short-term and long-term fixed rate FHLB advances is estimated by discounting the value of contractual cash flows using rates currently offered for advances with similar terms and remaining maturities.

		Decembe	r 3 I,	, 2006		Decembe	r 3 1	, 2005	Decembe	r 31	, 2004
		Carrying amount		Fair value		Carrying amount		Fair value	 Carrying amount		Fair value
Financial assets											
Time deposits	5		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ 300,000	S	301,469
Investment securities		16,921,038		16,865,321		16,129,556		16,071,754	17,816,701		17,807,117
Loans		150,417,217		147,638,509		104,249,383		102,750,575	81,504,890		81,800,291
Financial liabilities											
Interest bearing deposits	S	131,708,780	\$	132,234,852	S	89,253,741	\$	89,136,186	\$ 63,540,800	S	63,618,816
FHLB borrowings		5,000,000		5,018,219		6,000,000		5,738,859	6,000,000		6,024,540

## 19. Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses that are significant are as follows:

Year Ended December 31,	2006		2005		2004
Advertising	\$ 39	,759 \$	26,497	\$	9,813
Audit & exam fees	54	,500	62,000		60,000
Branch security costs	26	,947	27,743		35,499
Broker referrals	51	,481	11,101		-
Business development	81	,545	27,545		15,225
Courier fees	86	,975	45,457		27,144
Director fees	112	,000	107,700		93,359
Organizational & legal expenses	35	149	36,590		41,673
Stationery & supplies	75	,810	56,549		44,285
Other	637	,137	427,426		368,906
Total	\$ 1,201	303 \$	828,608	<u>\$</u>	695,904

### 20. Parent Company Financial Information

The balance sheet, statement of income, and statement of cash flows for Old Line Bancshares, Inc. (Parent Company) follow:

# Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

### **Balance Sheet**

December 31,		2006		2005	2004
	Ass	ets			
Cash and due from banks	\$	3,793,016	\$	3,591,373	\$ 47,715
Investment in real estate, LLC		793,714		837,436	550,000
Investment in Old Line Bank		30,142,215		29,043,818	12,826,689
Deferred income taxes		10,322		16,219	22,116
Other assets		110,948		60,523	 47,912
	\$	34,850,215	\$	33,549,369	\$ 13,494,432
Liabilities and	1 Sto	ckholders' Eq	uity	,	
Accounts payable	\$	34,261	\$	33,542	\$ 120
Stockholders' equity					
Common stock		4:2,537		42,489	17,764
Additional paid-in-capital		31,868,025		31,735,627	12,446,229
Retained earnings		3,077,313		1,992,301	1,120,705
		34,987,875		33,770,417	13,584,698
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(171,921)	_	(254,590)	 (90,386)
		34,815,9 <u>54</u>		33,515,827	13,494,312
	\$	34,850,215	\$	33,549,369	\$ 13,494,432

# 20. Parent Company Financial Information (Continued)

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

Stat	emen	t of	Income
------	------	------	--------

Years Ended December 31,	2006		2005		2004
	 <u> </u>		<del></del>		
Interest and dividend revenue					
Dividend from bank	\$ 488,839	\$	467,342	\$	413,032
U.S. Treasury securities	-		-		602
Interest on money market and certificates of deposit	 142,418		76,632		4,910
Total interest and dividend revenue	631,257		543,974		418,544
Non-interest revenue					
Income (loss) on investment in real estate, LLC	 56,278		(64)		-
Non-interest expense	 93,963		111,017		94,499
Income before income taxes	593,572		432,893		324,045
Income tax expense (benefit)	 35,449		(11,713)		(30,256)
• •	558,123		444,606		354,301
Undistributed net income of bank	 1,015,728		694,333		462,340
Net income	\$ 1,573,851	<u>\$</u>	1,138,939	<u>\$</u>	816,641

# 20. Parent Company Financial Information (Continued)

Old	Line	Bar	icsha	res,	Inc.
Stat	emen	t of	Cash	Flo	ws

Years Ended December 31,		2006		2005	2004
		2000		2003	 
Cash flows from operating activities					
Interest and dividends received	\$	631,257	\$	543,974	\$ 417,892
Income taxes		29,591		51,122	_
Cash paid for operating expenses		(95,554)		(77,595)	(94,379)
		565,294	_	517,501	323,513
		•			
Cash flows from investing activities					
Purchase of investment securities held to maturity Proceeds from maturity of investment securities held		-		•	(139,874)
to maturity		-		-	440,000
Investment in bank		-		(15,687,000)	-
Return of principal from (investment in) real estate, LLC		100,000	_	(287,500)	 (550,000)
		100,000		(15,974,500)	 (249,874)
Cash flows from financing activities					
Proceeds from stock options exercised		26,071		00.402	72.200
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		1,008		90,493	73,200
(Costs) proceeds from stock offering		(1,891)		- 19,177,507	•
Dividends paid		(488,839)		(267,343)	(212.022)
Dividentes para		(463,651)		19,000,657	(213,032) (139,832)
	_			<u></u>	
Net increase (decrease) in cash		201,643		3,543,658	 (66 <u>,193</u> )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		3,591,373		47,715	113,908
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	3,793,016	\$	3,591,373	\$ 47,715
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities					
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities	S	1,573,851	\$	1,138,939	\$ 816,641
Undistributed net income of subsidiary		(1,015,728)		(694,333)	(462,340)
Accretion of discount on debt securities		-		-	(652)
Stock based compensation awards		107,258		-	-
(Increase) decrease in deferred income taxes		5,897		5,897	5,897
(Income) Loss on real estate, LLC		(56,278)		64	•
				33,422	120
Increase in other liabilities		719		22,422	120
		(50,425)	_	33,512	(36,153)

### 21. Stockholders' Equity

#### **Stock Options**

We have two stock option plans under which we may issue options, the 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan, as amended, and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. Our Compensation Committee administers the stock option plans. As the plans outline, the Compensation Committee approves stock option grants to directors and employees, determines the number of shares, the type of option, the option price, the term (not to exceed 10 years from the date of issuance) and the vesting period of options issued. The Compensation Committee has approved and we have issued grants with options vesting immediately as well as over periods of two, three and five years. We recognize the compensation expense associated with these grants over their respective vesting period. As of December 31, 2006, there were 199,680 shares remaining available for future issuance under the stock option plans.

The intrinsic value of the 4,800 options that directors and officers exercised for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$29,730.

A summary of the status of the outstanding options follows:

	2006			2005			2004		
	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price		Weighted Number average of shares exercise price		Number of shares	a۱	Weighted average exercise price	
Outstanding, beginning of year	172,620	\$	8.60	114,420	\$	6.62	107,100	\$	4.93
Options granted	15,000		11.31	79,800		10.35	30,720		9.83
Options exercised	(4,800)		5.43	(20,700)		4.37	(23,400)		3.13
Options expired			-	(900)		9.58			-
Outstanding, end of year	182,820	\$	8.91	172,620	\$	8.60	114,420	\$	6.62

	Outstanding options					Exercisable options		
Exercise <u>price</u>	Number of shares at December 31, 2006		Veighted average emaining term	av ex	eighted verage ercise orice	Number of shares at December 31, 2006	av ex	eighted /erage ercise price
\$3.33-\$4.17 \$4.18-\$5.00 \$9.58-\$10.00 \$10.01-\$11.31	11,700 29,700 46,620 <u>94,800</u> 182,820		4.00 3.88 7.64 8.99 7.50	\$ \$	3.44 4.67 9.74 10.50 8.91	11,700 29,700 46,620 51,333 139,353		3.44 4.67 9.74 10.43 8.38
Intrinsic value of	outstanding options	\$	310,794					
Intrinsic value of	exercisable options	\$	310,757					

## 21. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

At December 31, 2006 there was \$160,390 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options. The following table summarizes the fair values of the options granted and weighted-average assumptions used to calculate the fair values. We used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

Year Ended December 31,	 2006	2005	2004
Dividend yield	1.06%	0.96%	1.02%
Risk-free interest rate	4.57%	4.39%	4.22%
Expected volatility	20.33%	22.27%	25.10%
Expected life in years	6.01	9.83	10.00
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 3.06	\$ 3.79	\$ 3.03

#### Preferred stock

We are authorized to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of one cent per share. There were no preferred shares outstanding at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

## 22. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly results of operations

#### Three months ended

2006	De	ecember 31,		September 30,		June 30,	 March 31,
	(Dolla	ırs in thousa	nds	except per share	data)		
Interest income	\$	3,180	\$	2,820	\$	2,643	\$ 2,380
Interest expense		1,218		932		853	727
Net interest income		1,962		1,888		1,790	1,653
Provision for loan losses		43		26		140	130
Net income		367		417		407	383
Earnings per share-basic		0.09		0.10		0.10	0.09
Earnings per share-diluted		0.09		0.10		0.10	0.09
2005		·					 <u> </u>
Interest income	\$	2,126	\$	1,800	\$	1,621	\$ 1,456
Interest expense		666		580		471	411
Net interest income		1,460		1,220		1,150	1,045
Provision for loan losses		39		40		75	50
Net income		388		281		243	227
Earnings per share-basic		0.10		0.13		0.11	0.11
Earnings per share-diluted		0.10		0.13		0.11	0.10
2004		<u> </u>					 
Interest income	\$	1,366	\$	1,283	\$	1,130	\$ 1,111
Interest expense		312		288		283	280
Net interest income		1,054		995		847	831
Provision for loan losses		45		85		45	45
Net income		248		239		150	180
Earnings per share-basic		0.12		0.11		0.07	0.08
Earnings per share-diluted		0.12		0.11		0.07	80.0

# Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements with accountants on accounting matters and financial disclosures for the reporting periods presented.

#### Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-KSB, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2006. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

In addition, there were no changes in Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during the quarter ended December 31, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 8B. Other Information

None

#### PART III.

# Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

#### Code of Ethics

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Board of Directors has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. That Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers has been posted on Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s internet website at <a href="https://www.oldlinebank.com">www.oldlinebank.com</a>. A copy of the Code of Conduct that applies to all of Old Line Bancshares' and Old Line Bank's officers, directors and employees is also available on Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s internet website.

The remaining information required by this Item 9 is incorporated by reference to the information appearing under the captions "Election of Directors," "Board Meetings and Committees", "Executive Compensation" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

#### Item 10. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item 10 is incorporated by reference to the information appearing under the captions "Director Compensation" and "Executive Compensation" and "Board Meetings and Committees" in the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

# Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

#### Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2006, with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of Old Line Bancshares are authorized for issuance.

# Equity Compensation Plan Information December 31, 2006

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance
Equity compensation			
plans approved by security holders <sup>(1)</sup>	182,820	\$8.91	199,680

(1) Includes the 1990 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan, as amended, and the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. The 1990 Stock Option Plan, as amended, and the 2001 Incentive Stock Option Plan, as amended, were approved by security holders of Old Line Bank and its predecessor, Old Line National Bank. Effective September 15, 2003, all of the then stockholders of Old Line Bank became stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. The 2004 Equity Incentive Plan was approved by security holders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

The remaining information required by this Item 11 is incorporated by reference to the information appearing under the captions "Security Ownership of Management and Certain Security Holders" in the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

#### Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item 12 is incorporated by reference to the information appearing under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Election of Directors" in the Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

# Item 13. Exhibits

### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibits
3.1(A)	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.1.1(L)	Articles of Amendment of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.1.2(1)	Articles of Amendment of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.2(A)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
4(A)	Specimen Stock Certificate for Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
10.1(A)	Executive Employment Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and
10.1(11)	James W. Cornelsen
10.2(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
10.2(0)	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelsen
10.3(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
10.0(11)	between Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelsen
10.3.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between
10.000	Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelson
10.4(K)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and James
	W. Cornelsen
10.5(K)	Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
, ,	and James W. Cornelsen
10.6(A)	Executive Employment Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and
	Joseph E. Burnett
10.7(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and Joseph W. Burnett
10.8(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
	between Old Line Bank and Joseph E. Burnett
10.8.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between
	Old Line Bank and Joseph E. Burnett
10.9(K)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and
	Joseph E. Burnett
10.10(K)	Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
	and Joseph E. Burnett
10.11(A)	Employment Agreement March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.12(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.13(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
10 10 60 5	between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.13.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10 14(7/)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and
10.14(K)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 16/W)	Christine M. Rush Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
10.15(K)	and Christine M. Rush
10.16(B)	2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended
10.17(B)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for 2001 Stock Option Plan
10.17(B) 10.18(B)	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for 2001 Stock Option Plan
10.19(C)	1990 Stock Option Plan, as amended
10.19(C) 10.20(C)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Grant Letter for 1990 Stock Option Plan
10.21(C)	Form of Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for 1990 Stock Option Plan
10.22(E)	2004 Equity Incentive Plan
10.23(G)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for 2004 Equity Incentive Plan
10.24(G)	Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Old Line Bank Director Compensation Policy
10.25(D)	Lease Agreement dated April 29, 1999 between Live Oak Limited Partnership and Old
(-)	Line National Bank
10.26(D)	Commercial Lease Agreement dated February 14, 2002 between Adams and Company
\ /	Commercial Brokers, Inc. and Old Line National Bank

10.27(F)	Commercial Lease Agreement dated July 7, 2004 by and between Ridgely I, LLC and Old Line Bank
10.28(F)	Operating Agreement for Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC among J. Webb Group, Inc., Michael M. Webb, Lucente Enterprises, Inc., Chesapeake Custom Homes, L.L.C. and Old Line Bancshares, Inc., all as Members and Chesapeake Pointer Ridge Manager, LLC
10.29(H)	AIA Construction Agreement dated April 14, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Waverly Construction & Management Company Inc.
10.30(H)	Incentive Plan Model and Stock Option Model
10.31(I)	Deed of Lease dated as of July 28, 2005 between Baltimore Boulevard Associates Limited Partnership and Old Line Bank
10.33	Deed of Trust note dated November 3, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company.
10.34	Completion Guaranty Agreement dated November 3, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company.
10.35(J)	Amendment of Lease Agreement dated July 5, 2006 between Ridgley I, LLC and Old Line Bank to the lease entered into July 7, 2004.
10.36(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (1st Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.37(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.38(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (4th Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.39(L)	Indemnity Agreement between Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Prudential Mortgage Capital Company, LLC dated August 25, 2006.
21(A)	Subsidiaries of Registrant
23.1	Consent of Rowles & Company, LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1(A)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Old Line Bank and Old Line Bancshares, Inc., including form of Articles of Share Exchange attached as Exhibit A thereto

- (A) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10-SB, as amended, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (File Number 000-50345).
- (B) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-111587).
- (C) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-113097).
- (D) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A filed on April 8, 2004.
- (E) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-116845).
- (F) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on November 8, 2004.

- (G) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 5, 2005.
- (H) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 10, 2005.
- (I) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form SB2, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-127792) filed on August 23, 2005.
- (J) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 10, 2006.
- (K) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2006.
- (L) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on November 9, 2006.
- (M) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007.

Note: Exhibits 10.1 through 10.24, and 10.30 relate to management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

#### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

#### Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Rowles & Company, LLP for the audit of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s annual consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and fees billed for other services rendered by Rowles & Company, LLP during those periods.

	Year Ended					
	December 31,					
		2005				
Audit Fees (1)	\$	45,100	\$	50,490		
Audit Related Fees (2)		-		12,570		
Tax Fees (3)		758		10,167		
All Other Fees (4)		2,440				
Total	\$	48,298	\$	73,227		

- (1) Audit Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s consolidated (or Old Line Bank's) annual financial statements and review of the interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, and services that are normally provided by Rowles & Company, LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s consolidated (or Old Line Bank's) financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees". In 2005, these fees included costs associated with reviews and advisory services related to the public offering.
- (3) Tax Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for federal and state tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.
- (4) All Other Fees in 2006 are for discussions regarding the consolidation of Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC under FIN 46R.

#### Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditor

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s audit committee approves the engagement before Old Line Bancshares, Inc. or Old Line Bank engages the independent auditor to render any audit or non-audit services.

#### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Old Line Bancshares, Inc.

Date: March 22, 2007

By: /s/ James W. Cornelsen

James W. Cornelsen, President (Principal Executive Officer)

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/James W. Cornelsen James W. Cornelsen	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 22, 2007
/s/Christine M. Rush Christine M. Rush	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)	March 22, 2007
/s/Charles A. Bongar, Jr. Charles A. Bongar, Jr.	Director .	March 22, 2007
/s/Craig E. Clark Craig E. Clark	Director and Chairman of the Board	March 22, 2007
/s/Daniel W. Deming Daniel W. Deming	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/James F. Dent James F. Dent	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/Nancy L. Gasparovic Nancy L. Gasparovic	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/Frank Lucente, Jr. Frank Lucente, Jr.	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/Gail D. Manuel Gail D. Manuel	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/John D. Mitchell John D. Mitchell	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/Gregory S. Proctor, Sr. Gregory S. Proctor, Sr.	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/Suhas R. Shah Suhas R. Shah	Director	March 22, 2007
/s/John M. Suit, II John M. Suit, II	Director	March 22, 2007

# **EXHIBIT INDEX**

	·
Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibits
3.1(A)	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.1.1(L)	Articles of Amendment of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.1.2(1)	Articles of Amendment of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
3.2(A)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
4(A)	Specimen Stock Certificate for Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
10.1(A)	Executive Employment Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and
10.1(A)	James W. Cornelsen
10.2(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
10.2(0)	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelsen
10.2(V)	
10.3(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
10 2 50 0	between Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelsen
10.3.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between
	Old Line Bank and James W. Cornelson
10.4(K)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and James
	W. Cornelsen
10.5(K)	Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
	and James W. Cornelsen
10.6(A)	Executive Employment Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and
	Joseph E. Burnett
10.7(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and Joseph W. Burnett
10.8(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
	between Old Line Bank and Joseph E. Burnett
10.8.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between
	Old Line Bank and Joseph E. Burnett
10.9(K)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and
• •	Joseph E. Burnett
10.10(K)	Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
. ,	and Joseph E. Burnett
10.11(A)	Employment Agreement March 31, 2003 between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.12(G)	First Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated
` ,	December 31, 2004 between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.13(K)	Second Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated December 30, 2005
	between Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.13.5(M)	Third Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007 between
	Old Line Bank and Christine M. Rush
10.14(K)	Salary Continuation Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank and
	Christine M. Rush
10.15(K)	Supplemental Life Insurance Agreement dated January 3, 2006 between Old Line Bank
10.15(11)	and Christine M. Rush
10.16(B)	2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended
10.17(B)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for 2001 Stock Option Plan
10.17(B) 10.18(B)	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for 2001 Stock Option Plan
10.19(C)	1990 Stock Option Plan, as amended
10.19(C) 10.20(C)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Grant Letter for 1990 Stock Option Plan
10.20(C) 10.21(C)	Form of Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for 1990 Stock Option Plan
10.21(C) 10.22(E)	2004 Equity Incentive Plan
	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for 2004 Equity Incentive Plan
10.23(G)	
10.24(G)	Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Old Line Bank Director Compensation Policy
10.25(D)	Lease Agreement dated April 29, 1999 between Live Oak Limited Partnership and Old
10.06(D)	Line National Bank
10.26(D)	Commercial Lease Agreement dated February 14, 2002 between Adams and Company
10 37(0)	Commercial Brokers, Inc. and Old Line National Bank
10.27(F)	Commercial Lease Agreement dated July 7, 2004 by and between Ridgely I, LLC and
	Old Line Bank

10.28(F)	Operating Agreement for Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC among J. Webb Group, Inc., Michael M. Webb, Lucente Enterprises, Inc., Chesapeake Custom Homes, L.L.C. and Old Line Bancshares, Inc., all as Members and Chesapeake Pointer Ridge Manager, LLC
10.29(H)	AIA Construction Agreement dated April 14, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Waverly Construction & Management Company Inc.
10.30(H)	Incentive Plan Model and Stock Option Model
10.31(I)	Deed of Lease dated as of July 28, 2005 between Baltimore Boulevard Associates Limited Partnership and Old Line Bank
10.33	Deed of Trust note dated November 3, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company.
10.34	Completion Guaranty Agreement dated November 3, 2005 between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company.
10.35(J)	Amendment of Lease Agreement dated July 5, 2006 between Ridgley I, LLC and Old Line Bank to the lease entered into July 7, 2004.
10.36(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (1 <sup>st</sup> Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.37(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.38(L)	Lease Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Pointer Ridge Office Investment, LLC and Old Line Bank (4 <sup>th</sup> Floor 1525 Pointer Ridge Place, Bowie, Md.).
10.39(L)	Indemnity Agreement between Old Line Bancshares, Inc. and Prudential Mortgage Capital Company, LLC dated August 25, 2006.
21(A)	Subsidiaries of Registrant
23.1	Consent of Rowles & Company, LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1(A)	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization between Old Line Bank and Old Line Bancshares, Inc., including form of Articles of Share Exchange attached as Exhibit A thereto

- (A) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 10-SB, as amended, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (File Number 000-50345).
- (B) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-111587).
- (C) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-113097).
- (D) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A filed on April 8, 2004.
- (E) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-116845).
- (F) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on November 8, 2004.
- (G) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as a part of, and incorporated by reference from, Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 5, 2005.

- (H) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 10, 2005.
- (I) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form SB2, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Registration Number 333-127792) filed on August 23, 2005.
- (J) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-QSB filed on August 10, 2006.
- (K) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2006.
- (L) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB filed on November 9, 2006.
- (M) Previously filed by Old Line Bancshares, Inc. as part of, and incorporated by reference from Old Line Bancshares, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007.

Note: Exhibits 10.1 through 10.24, and 10.30 relate to management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.



Exhibit 23.1

#### CONSENT OF REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors Old Line Bancshares, Inc. Waldorf, Maryland

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (No. 333-113097, 333-111587, 333-116845, and 333-127792) on Form S-8 of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. of our report dated January 31, 2007, relating to the consolidated financial statements of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. included in the Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Roules & Company, LLP

**ROWLES & COMPANY, LLP** 

Baltimore, Maryland March 22, 2007

#### I, James W. Cornelsen, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material
  fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the
  circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to
  the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) for the small business issuer and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) [Paragraph omitted pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934]
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 22, 2007

By: /s/ James W. Cornelsen
Name: James W. Cornelsen
Title: President and Chief Executive

Officer

#### Exhibit 31.2

#### I, Christine M. Rush, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Old Line Bancshares, Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or 2. omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) for the small business issuer and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared:
  - b) [Paragraph omitted pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934]
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 22, 2007 By: /s/ Christine M. Rush

Name: Christine M. Rush

Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

#### Exhibit 32

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officers of Old Line Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") each certifies to the best of his or her knowledge that the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006 fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and information contained in that Form 10-KSB fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

#### /s/ James W. Cornelsen

James W. Cornelsen President and Chief Executive Officer March 22, 2007

#### /s/ Christine M. Rush

Christine M. Rush Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer March 22, 2007

This certification is made solely for the purpose of 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and is not being filed as part of the Form 10-KSB or as a separate disclosure document, and may not be disclosed, distributed or used by any person for any reason other than as specifically required by law.

# Directors and Officers Old Line Bancshares & Subsidiary

#### **Directors**

Craig E. Clark

Chairman

Old Line Bancshares & Old Line Bank

Nancy L. Gasparovic

President

Title Professionals, Ltd.

Frank Lucente

Vice Chairman

Old Line Bancshares & Old Line Bank

Chairman

Lucente Enterprises, Inc.

Gail D. Manuel

Owner/Director

Trinity Memorial Gardens and Mausoleum

Charles A. Bongar, Jr. Esq.

Attorney

Andrews, Bongar, Starkey & Clagett, P.A.

John D. Mitchell, Jr.

President

JCV, Inc.

James W. Cornelsen

President & Chief Executive Officer Old Line Bancshares & Old Line Bank Gregory S. Proctor, Jr.

President & Chief Executive Officer G.S. Proctor & Associates, Inc.

Daniel W. Deming

President

Deming Associates, Inc.

Suhas R. Shah, CPA

Principal

Source One Business Services, LLC

James F. Dent

Retired

John M. Suit, III

Retired

#### **Executive Officers**

James W. Cornelsen

President & Chief Executive Officer

Joseph E. Burnett

Executive Vice President & Chief Lending Officer

Christine M. Rush

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Credit Officer & Secretary

# Directors and Officers Old Line Bancshares & Subsidiary

#### Officers

Sandi F. Burnett
Senior Vice President

Cynthia L. Walsh Vice President

Jeffrey D. Franklin Senior Vice President Branch Administration Jack G. Welborn Vice President

Erin G. Lyddane
Senior Vice President & Treasurer

Lynda B. Wellen
Vice President

**Bobby Blackwell** Senior Vice President Wendi Williams
Vice President

Edward S. Harrington Senior Vice President Cathy Coughlin
Assistant Vice President

Robin J. Cottmeyer Vice President Joy Hinton
Assistant Vice President

Thomas O. Herman Vice President

Rita F. King
Assistant Vice President

Stephen C. Kensinger Vice President

Brenda H. Martin
Assistant Vice President

Dion W. Smith Vice President

Barbara Sellner Assistant Vice President

Jeffrey D. Spalding
Vice President

Denise M. Miller
Commercial Banking Officer

Frank B. Thomas
Vice President

# Locations Old Line Bancshares & Subsidiary

#### Corporate Headquarters

1525 Pointer Ridge Place Bowie, Maryland 20716 (301) 430-2500 (301) 430-2599 Fax

#### **Branch Offices**

#### Accokeek Branch

15808 Livingston Road Accokeek, Maryland 20607 (301) 203-5149 Metro (301) 645-2954 Local (301) 645-9241 Fax

#### **Bowie Branch**

1525 Pointer Ridge Place Bowie, Maryland 20716 (301) 430-8720 (301) 430-8723 Fax

#### Clinton Branch

7801 Old Branch Avenue Clinton, Maryland 20735 (301) 856-5581 Metro (301) 856-5582 Fax

#### Crain Highway Branch

2995 Crain Highway Waldorf, Maryland 20601 (301) 843-4905 Metro (301) 645-2624 Local (301) 932-5458 Fax

#### Old Line Centre Branch

12080 Old Line Centre Waldorf, Maryland 20602 (301) 843-8446 Metro (301) 645-7600 Local (301) 645-9074 Fax

#### College Park Loan Production Office

Suite 450
9658 Baltimore Avenue
College Park, Maryland 20740
(301) 446-2820 Metro
(301) 446-2822 Fax

#### **Gaithersburg Loan Production Office**

12165 Darnestown Road Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878 (301) 208-0761 Metro (301) 208-0781 Fax

#### Old Line Marine

1525 Pointer Ridge Place Bowie, Maryland 20716 (800) 783-9676 Toll Free (301) 430-8709 Fax

# Corporate Information Old Line Bancshares & Subsidiary

#### **Annual Meeting**

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on Thursday May 24, 2007 at 5:00 p.m. at:
1525 Pointer Ridge Place
Bowie, Maryland 20716

#### Transfer Agent and Registrar

American Stock Transfer 3rd Floor, 6201 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11219

#### **Independent Auditors**

Rowles & Company, LLP 101 E. Chesapeake Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21286

#### General Counsel

Ober, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver, P.C. 120 East Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

#### Stock Exchange Listing

The Common Stock of Old Line Bancshares trades on The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC under the symbol "OLBK."

#### **Additional Information**

A copy of Old Line Bancshares' annual report and exhibits to the SEC on Form 10-K may be obtained without charge upon written request to:
Old Line Bancshares, Inc.
Attn: Corporate Secretary
1525 Pointer Ridge Place
Bowie, Maryland 20716
E-mail: Crush@oldlinebank.com

In addition, all reports filed with the SEC are available through Old Line Bancshares website at <u>oldlinebank.com</u>



(This page intentionally left blank)